

DEVP0003: Participatory Processes: Building for Development

View Online



-
- A. Cuthbert. (2014). Chapter 6: Urban Design and Spatial Political Economy. In Companion to Urban Design. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203844434>
- A. Escobar. (1996). The making and the unmaking of the third world through development. In The post-development reader. Zed Books.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=92a6b119-10d2-e811-80cd-005056af4099>
- AbdouMaliq Simone , and Edgar Pieterse. (2017). New Urban Worlds : Inhabiting Dissonant Times. Polity Press.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/UCL/reader.action?docID=4983712&ppg=173>
- Abu-Orf, H. (2011). Is planning possible in cities divided by violent conflict? International Development Planning Review, 33(3), 321–342. <https://doi.org/10.3828/idpr.2011.16>
- Akhil Gupta and Ferguson, D. (n.d.). Discipline and Practice:"The Field" As Site, Method, and Location In Anthropology. ... Locations: Boundaries and Grounds of a
http://www.academia.edu/252003/Discipline_and_Practice_The_Field_As_Site_Method_and_Location_In_Anthropology
- Al-Harithy, H. (2015). The participative discourse: community activism in post-war reconstruction. In R. Saliba (Ed.), Urban design in the Arab world: reconceptualizing boundaries: Vol. Design and the built environment series (pp. 39–50). Ashgate.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=3d19f9c2-7c36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>
- Amir, S. (2004). Rethinking Design Policy in the Third World. Design Issues, 20(4), 68–75.
<https://doi.org/10.1162/0747936042311995>
- Appadurai, A. (2001). Deep democracy: urban governmentality and the horizon of politics. Environment and Urbanization, 13(2), 23–43.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/095624780101300203>
- Awan, N., Schneider, T., & Till, J. (2011). Chapter 3: The operations of spatial agency. In Spatial agency: other ways of doing architecture (pp. 69–82). Routledge.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=491e7bea7-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>
- Barry, J., Horst, M., Inch, A., Legacy, C., Rishi, S., Rivero, J. J., Taufen, A., Zanotto, J. M., & Zitcer, A. (2018). Unsettling planning theory. Planning Theory, 17(3), 418–438.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095218763842>
- Bell, B., & Wakeford, K. (2008). Expanding architecture: design as activism. Metropolis Books.

Blundell Jones, P., Petrescu, D., & Till, J. (Eds.). (2012). *Architecture and participation*. Routledge. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203022863>

Blunt, A., & Dowling, R. M. (2006). Setting up home: an introduction. In *Home: Vol. Key ideas in geography* (pp. 1–31). Routledge.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=2394bfc2-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Boano, C., & Talocci, G. (2014). Fences and Profanations: Questioning the Sacredness of Urban Design. *Journal of Urban Design*, 19(5), 700–721.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13574809.2014.943701>

Bower, R. (2017). *Architecture and space re-imagined: learning from the difference, multiplicity, and otherness of development practice: Vol. Routledge Research in Place, Space and Politics*. Routledge. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315678146>

Burgess, J., Harrison, C. M., & Limb, M. (1988). People, Parks and the Urban Green: A Study of Popular Meanings and Values for Open Spaces in the City. *Urban Studies*, 25(6), 455–473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00420988820080631>

Burgess, R., Carmona, M., & Kolstee, T. (1997). Contemporary policies for enablement and participation: a critical review. In *The challenge of sustainable cities: neoliberalism and urban strategies in developing countries* (pp. 138–162). Zed.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=9c4bae9a-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Camillo Boano and William Hunter. (2010). Collaboration and the conflictual. In *The nightmare of participation: [crossbench praxis as a mode of criticality]* (pp. 91–104). Sternberg Press.

Carabelli, G. (2018a). *Grassroots Movements and the Production of (Other) Space(s) - published in The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar*. Palgrave Macmillan.
http://ucl.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=3192314340004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760

Carabelli, G. (2018b). *The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar*. Palgrave Macmillan.
http://ucl.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=3192314340004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760

Catalina Ortiz and Camillo Boano. (n.d.). The Medellín's shifting geopolitics of informality: The Encircled Garden as a dispositive of civil disenfranchisement? (pp. 189–207). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315659275-16>

Choguill, M. B. G. (1996). A ladder of community participation for underdeveloped countries. *Habitat International*, 20(3), 431–444.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-3975\(96\)00020-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-3975(96)00020-3)

Cleaver, F. (2001). Institutions, Agency and the Limitations of Participatory Approaches to Development. In *Participation: The New Tyranny?* (pp. 36–55). Zed Books.

- Cooke, B., & Kothari, U. (2001a). The case for participation as tyranny. In *Participation: the new tyranny?* (pp. 1–15). Zed Books.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c4bd2ae2-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>
- Cooke, B., & Kothari, U. (2001b). The case for participation as tyranny. In *Participation: the new tyranny?* (pp. 1–15). Zed Books.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c4bd2ae2-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>
- Cooles, D. (2007). Experiencing Discourse: Corporeal Communicators and the Embodiment of Power. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 9(3), 413–433.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2006.00258.x>
- Cornwall, A. (2006). Historical perspectives on participation in development. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*, 44(1), 62–83.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14662040600624460>
- Cornwall, A., & Coelho, V. S. P. (2007). Spaces for change?: The politics of participation in new democratic arenas. In *Spaces for change?: the politics of citizen participation in new democratic arenas: Vol. Claiming citizenship* (pp. 1–29). Zed.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=722bea86-8336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>
- Cowan, R. (2001). *Placecheck: a user's guide*. Urban Design Alliance .
- D. M. Petrescu & K. Trogal. (2017). The social (re)production of Architecture in 'crisis-riddled' times. In D. Petrescu & K. Trogal (Eds.), *The social (re)production of architecture: politics, values and actions in contemporary practice*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315717180>
- de Souza, M. L. (2006). Together with the state, despite the state, against the state. *Social Movements as 'Critical Urban Planning' Agents*. *City*, 10(3), 327–342.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13604810600982347>
- Derickson, K. D. (2016). On the politics of recognition in critical urban scholarship. *Urban Geography*, 37(6), 824–829. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02723638.2015.1105483>
- Doreen Massey. (1992). Politics and Space/Time. *New Left Review*, 196.
<https://newleftreview.org/l/196/doreen-massey-politics-and-space-time>
- Doucet, I. (2018). Understanding social engagement in architecture. In F. Karim (Ed.), *The Routledge companion to architecture and social engagement*. Routledge.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=3a3e354f-f5fd-e811-80cd-005056af4099>
- Dovey, K. (1985). Home and homelessness. In *Home environments: Vol. Human behavior and environment* (pp. 33–64). Plenum Press.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=8e4fc2f6-4f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>
- Environment and Urbanization. (n.d.-a). <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/eau>
- Environment and Urbanization. (n.d.-b). <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/eau>
- Erfan, A. (2017). Confronting collective traumas: an exploration of therapeutic planning.

- Planning Theory & Practice, 18(1), 34–50. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649357.2016.1249909>
- Eyben, R., Harris, C., & Pettit, J. (2006). Introduction: Exploring Power for Change. *IDS Bulletin*, 37(6), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1759-5436.2006.tb00318.x>
- Fawaz, M. (2009). Hezbollah as Urban Planner? Questions To and From Planning Theory. *Planning Theory*, 8(4), 323–334. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095209341327>
- Fenster, T. (2009). Cognitive Temporal Mapping: The Three Steps Method in Urban Planning. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 10(4), 479–498. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903417266>
- Flowerdew, R., & Martin, D. (2005). *Methods in human geography: a guide for students doing a research project* (2nd ed). Prentice Hall. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781405898416>
- Forester, J. (2006). Making Participation Work When Interests Conflict: Moving from Facilitating Dialogue and Moderating Debate to Mediating Negotiations. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 72(4), 447–456. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944360608976765>
- Foucault, M. (1980). The eye of power. In *Power/knowledge: selected interviews and other writings, 1972-1977* (pp. 146–165). Longman.
- Fraser, Nancy. (n.d.). Rethinking Recognition. *New Left Review*, 3, 1103–1124. <https://newleftreview.org/II/3/nancy-fraser-rethinking-recognition>
- Frediani, A. A. (2016). Re-imagining Participatory Design: Reflecting on the ASF-UK Change by Design Methodology. *Design Issues*, 32(3), 98–111. https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI_a_00403
- Frediani, A. A., & Boano, C. (2012). Processes for just products: the capability space of participatory design. In *The capability approach, technology and design: Vol. Philosophy of engineering and technology* (pp. 203–222). Springer. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-94-007-3879-9>
- Frediani, A., & Boano, C. (2012). Processes for Just Products: the Capability Space of Participatory Design. In *The capability approach, technology and design*. Springer. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-94-007-3879-9>
- Freire, P., & Bergman Ramos, M. (1996). *Pedagogy of the oppressed* (New revised edition). Penguin Books.
- Gaventa, J., & Barrett, G. (2010). So What Difference Does it Make? Mapping the Outcomes of Citizen Engagement. *IDS Working Papers*, 2010(347), 01–72. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2040-0209.2010.00347_2.x
- Glass, J. (2008). Facing the Future by Designing in Resilience: An Architectural Perspective. In *Hazards and the built environment: attaining built-in resilience* (pp. 172–188).

Routledge.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ad954536-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Hamdi, N. (2004a). Chapter 6. In Search of a Community and Structure of Place. In *Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities* (pp. 58–72). Earthscan.

Hamdi, N. (2004b). Chapter 11. Governance and networks: organizing from inside out. In *Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities* (pp. 107–115). Earthscan.

Hamdi, N. (2004c). *Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities*. Earthscan.

Hamdi, N. (2010a). Chapter 8. PEAS and the Sociable Side of Practice. In *The placemaker's guide to building community: Vol. Tools for community planning* (pp. 141–152). Earthscan.

Hamdi, N. (2010b). Chapter 9. Reasoning to Scale. In *The placemaker's guide to building community: Vol. Tools for community planning* (pp. 160–153). Earthscan.

Hamdi, N. (2014a). Chapter 3. Deciding how to decide. In *The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice: Vol. Earthscan tools for community planning*. Routledge. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315>

Hamdi, N. (2014b). Chapter 7. Participation in practice. In *The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice: Vol. Earthscan tools for community planning*. Routledge. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315>

Hamdi, N. (2014c). Chapter 12. Insiders Out and Outsiders: Practical wisdom and the co-Production of Knowledge. In *The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice: Vol. Earthscan tools for community planning*. Routledge. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315>

Hamdi, N., & Goethert, R. (1997). Chapter 2. Action Planning In Theory. In *Action planning for cities: a guide to community practice* (pp. 23–59). John Wiley.

Haraway, D. (1988). Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective. *Feminist Studies*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.2307/3178066>

Hickey, S., & Mohan, G. (2004). Towards Participation as Transformation: Critical Themes and Challenges. In *Participation: from tyranny to transformation? : exploring new approaches to participation in development* (pp. 3–24). Zed. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=1893885c-8336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Hirst, P. Q. (2005). *Space and power: politics, war and architecture*. Polity.

Hou, J. (2014). Citizen Design: participation and beyond. In *Companion to Urban Design*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203844434.ch25>

Irazábal, C. (2016). Public, Private, People Partnerships (PPPPs): Reflections from Latin American Cases. In A. Lehari (Ed.), *Private communities and urban governance: theoretical*

and comparative perspectives (pp. 191–214). Springer.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1224661&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

Jenkins, P., Smith, H., & Wang, Y. P. (2007). Post-1990 issues in planning and housing. In *Planning and housing in the rapidly urbanising world: Vol. Housing, planning, and design series* (pp. 178–203). Routledge.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ee5bfbd5-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Jeremy Till. (2009). *Imperfect ethics*. In *Architecture depends* (pp. 171–187). MIT Press.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=730082ec-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

John Forester. (1989). *Listening: the social policy of everyday life in Planning in the Face of Power*. University of California Press, Berkeley. In *Planning in the face of power*. University of California Press.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a080e248-73f4-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

Kaminer, T. (2017). Theories of participation, theories of contestation. In *The efficacy of architecture: political contestation and agency*. Routledge.

Kindon, S. L., Pain, R., & Kesby, M. (2007). Participatory action research: origins, approaches and methods. In *Participatory action research approaches and methods: connecting people, participation and place: Vol. Routledge studies in human geography* (pp. 9–18). Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781134135561>

Komarova, M., & McKnight, M. (2013). 'We Are Watching You Too': Reflections on Doing Visual Research in a Contested City. *Sociological Research Online*, 18(1).

<https://doi.org/10.5153/sro.2877>

Kumar, S. (2002). Conceptual specifications. In *Methods for community participation: a complete guide for practitioners* (pp. 23–52). Practical Action Publishing.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ca0786a4-8836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Lahoud, A. (2010). Post-Traumatic Urbanism. *Architectural Design*, 80(5), 14–23.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.1128>

Lane, B. M. (2007). What is home? In *Housing and dwelling: perspectives on modern domestic architecture* (pp. 50–73). Routledge.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f87a55cc-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Laura Roth, & Kate Shea Baird. (n.d.-a). *Municipalism and the Feminization of Politics*. ROAR Magazine. <https://roarmag.org/magazine/municipalism-feminization-urban-politics/>

Lee, Y. (2008). Design participation tactics: the challenges and new roles for designers in the co-design process. *CoDesign*, 4(1), 31–50.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/15710880701875613>

Leivas, M. (2018). From the body to the city: participatory action research with social cartography for transformative education and global citizenship. *Educational Action Research*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2018.1519453>

- Loo, S. (2012). Design-Ing Ethics: the Good, the Bad and the Performative. In Design and ethics: reflections on practice. Routledge.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f6e15cf3-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>
- Luansang, C., Boonmahathanakorn, S., & Domingo-Price, M. L. (2012a). The role of community architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. *Environment and Urbanization*, 24(2), 497–512. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247812456125>
- Luansang, C., Boonmahathanakorn, S., & Domingo-Price, M. L. (2012b). The role of community architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. *Environment and Urbanization*, 24(2), 497–512. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247812456125>
- Luck, R. (2007). Learning to talk to users in participatory design situations. *Design Studies*, 28(3), 217–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2007.02.002>
- Madanipour, A. (2010). Introduction. In *Whose public space?: international case studies in urban design and development* (pp. 1–15). Routledge.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=e4a879a0-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>
- Marcuse, P. (2009). From critical urban theory to the right to the city. *City*, 13(2–3), 185–197. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604810902982177>
- Markus, T. A., & Cameron, D. (2002). Why language matters. In *The words between the spaces: buildings and language: Vol. The architext series* (pp. 1–17). Routledge.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9f5a20ed-8b33-e911-80cd-005056af4099>
- Martens, K. (2005). Participatory Experiments from the Bottom up: The role of environmental NGOs and citizen groups. *European Journal of Spatial Development*, 18, 1–20.
<https://archive.nordregio.se/European-Journal-of-Spatial-Development/Archive/2005/index.html>
- Martin, D., McCann, E., & Purcell, M. (2003). Space, Scale, Governance, and Representation: Contemporary Geographical Perspectives on Urban Politics and Policy. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 25(2), 113–121. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9906.t01-1-00001>
- Mattila, H. (2002). Aesthetic justice and urban planning: Who ought to have the right to design cities? *GeoJournal*, 58(2/3), 131–138.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:GEJO.0000010832.88129.cc>
- McDowell, L (1992a), *Doing gender: Feminism, feminists and research methods in human geography*. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, New Series* 17 399–416. (n.d.). http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/pdf/Doing_Gender.pdf
- McFarlane, C. (2006). Knowledge, learning and development: a post-rationalist approach. *Progress in Development Studies*, 6(4), 287–305.
<https://doi.org/10.1191/1464993406ps144oa>
- Miessen, M. (2010). Collaboration and the conflictual, Published in *The nightmare of participation* - p. 91-104. Sternberg Press.

- Miraftab, F. (2004). Making neo-liberal governance: the disempowering work of empowerment. *International Planning Studies*, 9(4), 239–259.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13563470500050130>
- Miraftab, F. (2005). Insurgency and Spaces of Active Citizenship: The Story of Western Cape Anti-eviction Campaign in South Africa. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 25(2), 200–217. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X05282182>
- Mitlin, D. (2008). With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization*, 20(2), 339–360. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247808096117>
- Mitlin, D., & Bartlett, S. (2018). Editorial: Co-production – key ideas. *Environment and Urbanization*, 30(2), 355–366. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247818791931>
- Mitlin, D., & Satterthwaite, D. (2004). Empowering squatter citizen: local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction. Earthscan.
- Mitlin, D., & Thompson, J. (1995). Participatory approaches in urban areas: strengthening civil society or reinforcing the status quo? *Environment and Urbanization*, 7(1), 231–250. <https://doi.org/10.1177/095624789500700113>
- Moser, C. O. N. (1989). Community participation in urban projects in the Third World. *Progress in Planning*, 32, 71–133. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-9006\(89\)90010-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-9006(89)90010-X)
- Mouffe, C. (2013). *Agonistics: thinking the world politically*. Verso.
- Mukhija, V. (2014). Urban Design for a Planet of Informal Cities. In *Companion to Urban Design*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203844434.ch43>
- Patel, S., & Mitlin, D. (2004). Grassroots-driven development: The alliance of SPARC, the National Slum Dwellers Federation and Mahila Milan. In *Empowering squatter citizen: local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction* (pp. 216–241). Earthscan.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=5b1d1c38-8436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>
- Petrescu, D., & Chiles, P. (2009). Agency: alternative practices and alternative worlds. *Architectural Research Quarterly*, 13(02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1359135509990194>
- Petrescu, D., & Petcou, C. (2013). Tactics for a Transgressive Practice. *Architectural Design*, 83(6), 58–65. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.1675>
- Petrescu, D., Petcou, C., & Baibarac, C. (2016). Co-producing commons-based resilience: lessons from R-Urban. *Building Research & Information*, 44(7), 717–736.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2016.1214891>
- Progress in Human Geography*. (n.d.). <https://search.proquest.com/publication/37137>
- Ramirez, R. (2010). Integrated Informality in the Barrios of Havana. In *Rethinking the informal city: critical perspectives from Latin America: Vol. Remapping cultural history* (pp. 137–162). Berghahn Books.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=222f22f0-8536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Read, C., Earnest, J., Ali, M., & Poonacha, V. (2014). Applying a Practical, Participatory Action Research Framework for Producing Knowledge, Action and Change in Communities: A Health Case Study from Gujarat, Western India. In R. Tiwari, M. Lommerse, & D. Smith (Eds.), *M2 Models and Methodologies for Community Engagement* (pp. 91–105). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-4585-11-8_6

Rendell, J. (2018). Only resist: Five particular qualities might characterise a specifically feminist approach to critical spatial practice, suggests Jane Rendell. *Architectural Review*, 243(1449), 8–18.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu&AN=128870014&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

Rios, M. (2008). Envisioning Citizenship: Toward a Polity Approach in Urban Design. *Journal of Urban Design*, 13(2), 213–229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13574800801965692>

Robinson, J. (1994). White women researching/representing 'others': from antiapartheid to postcolonialism? In *Writing women and space: colonial and postcolonial geographies: Vol. Mappings* (pp. 197–228). Guilford Press.

Rolnik, R. (2014). Place, inhabitation and citizenship: the right to housing and the right to the city in the contemporary urban world. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 14(3), 293–300. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616718.2014.936178>

Rose, G. (1997). Situating knowledges: positionality, reflexivities and other tactics. *Progress in Human Geography*, 21(3), 305–320.
<https://doi.org/10.1191/030913297673302122>

Roy, A. (2009). Civic Governmentality: The Politics of Inclusion in Beirut and Mumbai. *Antipode*, 41(1), 159–179. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8330.2008.00660.x>

Roy, A. (2016). Who's Afraid of Postcolonial Theory? *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 40(1), 200–209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2427.12274>

Russell, B. (2017, July 26). Radical municipalism: demanding the future. *openDemocracy*. <https://www.opendemocracy.net/plan-c/radical-municipalism-demanding-future>

Saba Golchehr. (n.d.). Data-driven design for civic participation : Introducing digital methods for on-going civic engagement for design in public space. 56–70.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315110332-5>

Sandercock, L. (1998). Re/representing Planning's Histories. In *Towards cosmopolis: planning for multicultural cities* (pp. 33–54). John Wiley & Sons.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a57f9aa3-151e-ed11-bd6e-a04a5e5d2f8d>

Sandercock, L., & Attili, G. (2010). Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 11(1), 23–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903538012>

Sanoff, H. (2014). Multiple views of participatory design. *International Journal of Architectural Research: ArchNet-IJAR*, 2(1), 57–69.
<http://www.archnet-ijar.net/index.php/IJAR/article/view/177>

SARA KINDON. (n.d.). Participatory Action Research: Origins, approaches and methods. 35–44. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203933671-13>

Sarkissian, W. and Bunjamin-Mau, W. et al. (2009). Designing and managing a workshop. In *SpeakOut: the step-by-step guide to SpeakOuts and community workshops: Vol. Tools for community planning*. Earthscan.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=55416db3-8436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Schindler, S. (2017). Towards a paradigm of Southern urbanism. *City*, 21(1), 47–64.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2016.1263494>

Schuermans, N., & Newton, C. (2012). Being a young and foreign researcher in South Africa: Towards a postcolonial dialogue. *Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography*, 33(3), 295–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/sjtg.12005_4

Seymour, W. (2007). Exhuming the Body: Revisiting the Role of the Visible Body in Ethnographic Research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 17(9), 1188–1197.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732307308517>

Siemiatycki, M. (2012). The Role of the Planning Scholar. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 32(2), 147–159. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X12440729>

Sun, X., & Huang, R. (2018). Spatial meaning-making and urban activism: Two tales of anti-PX protests in urban China. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 1–21.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2018.1443010>

Sundberg, J. (2005). Looking for the critical geographer, or why bodies and geographies matter to the emergence of critical geographies of Latin America. *Geoforum*, 36(1), 17–28.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2004.03.006>

Swyngedouw, E., & Wilson, J. (2015). Insurgent architects and the spectral return of the urban political. In J. Metzger, P. Allmendinger, & S. Oosterlynck (Eds.), *Planning against the political: democratic deficits in European territorial governance* (pp. 215–225). Routledge.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=20930d20-33c9-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

Thomas Abbot, Roxana Aslan, Riley O'Brien and Nathan Serafin. (n.d.-b). Embrace abolitionist planning to fight Trumpism. *Progressive City*.
<https://www.progressivecity.net/single-post/2018/04/06/EMBRACE-ABOLITIONIST-PLANNING-TO-FIGHT-TRUMPISM>

Till, J. (1998). Architecture of the Impure Community. In J. Hill (Ed.), *Occupying architecture: between the architect and the user* (pp. 34–42). Routledge.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203983829>

Till, J. (2009). Imperfect ethics. In *Architecture depends* (pp. 171–187). MIT Press.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=730082ec-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Turner, J. F. C. (1982). Issues in Self-Help and Self-Managed Housing. In *Self-help housing: a critique* (pp. 99–113). Mansell.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c2ed8bb4-6536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Umemoto, K. (2001). Walking in Another's Shoes. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 21(1), 17–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X0102100102>

View of Toward an Architecture of Dissensus: Participatory Urbanism in South-East Asia - Boano, C. and Kelling, E. (2013). (n.d.). <https://journals.open.tudelft.nl/index.php/footprint/article/view/Boano/945>

Wahby, N. (2017). Institutions and Populism in the Global South-Lessons for the Brexit-Trump Era. *City & Community*, 16(2), 139–144. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cico.12234>
Wates, N. (2000). General principles A-Z. In *Community planning handbook : how people can shape their cities, towns and villages in any part of the world* (pp. 11–21). Earthscan Publications Ltd.

Watson, V. (2006). Deep Difference: Diversity, Planning and Ethics. *Planning Theory*, 5(1), 31–50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095206061020>

Watson, V. (2009). Seeing from the South: Refocusing Urban Planning on the Globe's Central Urban Issues. *Urban Studies*, 46(11), 2259–2275. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098009342598>

We Drew What We Imagined: Participatory Mapping Performance, and Arts of Landscape Making, Sletto, B. I., (2009)(n.d.). http://www.iapad.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Sletto_CA_August_20091.pdf

Winkler, T. (2017). Black texts on white paper: Learning to be resistant texts as an approach towards decolonising planning. *Planning Theory*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095217739335>

Yacobi, H. (2004). Social Exclusion, Housing Environment and Tolerant Planning: The Case of the Jahelin Bedouin Tribe. *Hagar - International Social Science Review*. 69-84. *Hagar International Social Science Review = Hājir*, 5(1), 69–83.

Yacobi, H. (2007). The NGOization of Space: Dilemmas of Social Change, Planning Policy, and the Israeli Public Sphere. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 25(4), 745–758. <https://doi.org/10.1068/d459t>

Yacobi, H., Ventura, J., & Danzig, S. (2016). Walls, enclaves and the (counter) politics of design. *Journal of Urban Design*, 21(4), 481–494. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13574809.2016.1184566>

Zetter, R. (2002). Market enablement or sustainable development : the conflicting paradigms of urbanization. In *Planning in cities: sustainability and growth in the developing world: Vol. Urban management series* (pp. 31–42). ITDG. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=bea9ee93-8836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>