

DEVP0003: Participatory Processes: Building for Development

View Online



1

A. Cuthbert. Chapter 6: Urban Design and Spatial Political Economy. In: Companion to Urban Design. Routledge 2014. doi:10.4324/9780203844434

2

Martin D, McCann E, Purcell M. Space, Scale, Governance, and Representation: Contemporary Geographical Perspectives on Urban Politics and Policy. Journal of Urban Affairs 2003;**25**:113-21. doi:10.1111/1467-9906.t01-1-00001

3

Sanoff H. Multiple views of participatory design. International Journal of Architectural Research: ArchNet-IJAR 2014;**2**:57-69. <http://www.archnet-ijar.net/index.php/IJAR/article/view/177>

4

Watson V. Seeing from the South: Refocusing Urban Planning on the Globe's Central Urban Issues. Urban Studies 2009;**46**:2259-75. doi:10.1177/0042098009342598

5

Schindler S. Towards a paradigm of Southern urbanism. City 2017;**21**:47-64. doi:10.1080/13604813.2016.1263494

6

Barry J, Horst M, Inch A, et al. Unsettling planning theory. *Planning Theory* 2018;**17**:418–38. doi:10.1177/1473095218763842

7

Doreen Massey. Politics and Space/Time. *New Left Review* 1992;**196**.
<https://newleftreview.org/l/196/doreen-massey-politics-and-space-time>

8

Rios M. Envisioning Citizenship: Toward a Polity Approach in Urban Design. *Journal of Urban Design* 2008;**13**:213–29. doi:10.1080/13574800801965692

9

Roy A. Who's Afraid of Postcolonial Theory? *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 2016;**40**:200–9. doi:10.1111/1468-2427.12274

10

McFarlane C. Knowledge, learning and development: a post-rationalist approach. *Progress in Development Studies* 2006;**6**:287–305. doi:10.1191/1464993406ps144oa

11

Cornwall A. Historical perspectives on participation in development. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics* 2006;**44**:62–83. doi:10.1080/14662040600624460

12

A. Escobar. The making and the unmaking of the third world through development. In: *The post-development reader*. London: : Zed Books 1996.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=92a6b119-10d2-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

13

Hickey S, Mohan G. Towards Participation as Transformation: Critical Themes and Challenges. In: Participation: from tyranny to transformation? : exploring new approaches to participation in development. London: : Zed 2004. 3-24. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=1893885c-8336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

14

Mitlin D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization* 2008;**20**:339-60. doi:10.1177/0956247808096117

15

Rendell J. Only resist: Five particular qualities might characterise a specifically feminist approach to critical spatial practice, suggests Jane Rendell. *Architectural Review* 2018;**243**:8-18. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&b=asu&AN=128870014&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

16

Miraftab F. Making neo-liberal governance: the disempowering work of empowerment. *International Planning Studies* 2004;**9**:239-59. doi:10.1080/13563470500050130

17

Derickson KD. On the politics of recognition in critical urban scholarship. *Urban Geography* 2016;**37**:824-9. doi:10.1080/02723638.2015.1105483

18

Irazábal C. Public, Private, People Partnerships (PPPPs): Reflections from Latin American Cases. In: Lehari A, ed. Private communities and urban governance: theoretical and comparative perspectives. Switzerland: : Springer 2016. 191-214. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1224661&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

19

Gaventa J, Barrett G. So What Difference Does it Make? Mapping the Outcomes of Citizen Engagement. *IDS Working Papers* 2010;**2010**:01–72.
doi:10.1111/j.2040-0209.2010.00347_2.x

20

Roy A. Civic Governmentality: The Politics of Inclusion in Beirut and Mumbai. *Antipode* 2009;**41**:159–79. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8330.2008.00660.x

21

Catalina Ortiz and Camillo Boano. The Medellín's shifting geopolitics of informality : The Encircled Garden as a dispositive of civil disenfranchisement? 189–207.
doi:10.4324/9781315659275-16

22

Marcuse P. From critical urban theory to the right to the city. *City* 2009;**13**:185–97.
doi:10.1080/13604810902982177

23

Sun X, Huang R. Spatial meaning-making and urban activism: Two tales of anti-PX protests in urban China. *Journal of Urban Affairs* 2018;:1–21. doi:10.1080/07352166.2018.1443010

24

Rolnik R. Place, inhabitation and citizenship: the right to housing and the right to the city in the contemporary urban world. *International Journal of Housing Policy* 2014;**14**:293–300.
doi:10.1080/14616718.2014.936178

25

Bell B, Wakeford K. *Expanding architecture: design as activism*. New York: : Metropolis Books 2008.

26

Miraftab F. Insurgency and Spaces of Active Citizenship: The Story of Western Cape Anti-eviction Campaign in South Africa. *Journal of Planning Education and Research* 2005; **25**:200–17. doi:10.1177/0739456X05282182

27

Winkler T. Black texts on white paper: Learning to read and write resistant texts as an approach towards decolonising planning. *Planning Theory Published Online First*: 4 November 2017. doi:10.1177/1473095217739335

28

Al-Harithy H. The participative discourse: community activism in post-war reconstruction. In: Saliba R, ed. *Urban design in the Arab world: reconceptualizing boundaries*. Farnham, Surrey, England: : Ashgate 2015. 39–50. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=3d19f9c2-7c36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

29

Carabelli G. Grassroots Movements and the Production of (Other) Space(s) - published in *The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar*. Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2018. http://ucl.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=3192314340004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760

30

Erfan A. Confronting collective traumas: an exploration of therapeutic planning. *Planning Theory & Practice* 2017; **18**:34–50. doi:10.1080/14649357.2016.1249909

31

Fawaz M. Hezbollah as Urban Planner? Questions To and From Planning Theory. *Planning Theory* 2009; **8**:323–34. doi:10.1177/1473095209341327

32

Lahoud A. Post-Traumatic Urbanism. *Architectural Design* 2010;**80**:14-23.
doi:10.1002/ad.1128

33

Thomas Abbot, Roxana Aslan, Riley O'Brien and Nathan Serafin. Embrace abolitionist planning to fight Trumpism.
<https://www.progressivecity.net/single-post/2018/04/06/EMBRACE-ABOLITIONIST-PLANNING-TO-FIGHT-TRUMPISM>

34

Petrescu D, Petcou C, Baibarac C. Co-producing commons-based resilience: lessons from R-Urban. *Building Research & Information* 2016;**44**:717-36.
doi:10.1080/09613218.2016.1214891

35

Carabelli G. *The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar*. Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2018.
http://ucl.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=3192314340004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760

36

de Souza ML. Together with the state, despite the state, against the state. *Social Movements as 'Critical Urban Planning' Agents*. *City* 2006;**10**:327-42.
doi:10.1080/13604810600982347

37

Awan N, Schneider T, Till J. Chapter 3: The operations of spatial agency. In: *Spatial agency: other ways of doing architecture*. London: : Routledge 2011.
69-82. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=491ebee7-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

38

Mukhija V. Urban Design for a Planet of Informal Cities. In: Companion to Urban Design. Routledge 2014. doi:10.4324/9780203844434.ch43

39

Mattila H. Aesthetic justice and urban planning: Who ought to have the right to design cities? *GeoJournal* 2002;**58**:131–8. doi:10.1023/B:GEJO.0000010832.88129.cc

40

Frediani AA. Re-imagining Participatory Design: Reflecting on the ASF-UK Change by Design Methodology. *Design Issues* 2016;**32**:98–111. doi:10.1162/DESI_a_00403

41

Appadurai A. Deep democracy: urban governmentality and the horizon of politics. *Environment and Urbanization* 2001;**13**:23–43. doi:10.1177/095624780101300203

42

Blundell Jones P, Petrescu D, Till J, editors. *Architecture and participation*. London: : Routledge 2012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203022863>

43

AbdouMaliq Simone , and Edgar Pieterse. *New Urban Worlds : Inhabiting Dissonant Times*. Polity Press 2017.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/UCL/reader.action?docID=4983712&ppg=173>

44

Laura Roth, Kate Shea Baird. *Municipalism and the Feminization of Politics*.
<https://roarmag.org/magazine/municipalism-feminization-urban-politics/>

45

Loo S. Design-Ing Ethics: the Good, the Bad and the Performative. In: Design and ethics: reflections on practice. London: : Routledge 2012.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f6e15cf3-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

46

Hou J. Citizen Design: participation and beyond. In: Companion to Urban Design. Routledge 2014. doi:10.4324/9780203844434.ch25

47

Luansang C, Boonmahathanakorn S, Domingo-Price ML. The role of community architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. Environment and Urbanization 2012;**24**:497-512. doi:10.1177/0956247812456125

48

Wahby N. Institutions and Populism in the Global South-Lessons for the Brexit-Trump Era. City & Community 2017;**16**:139-44. doi:10.1111/cico.12234

49

Umemoto K. Walking in Another's Shoes. Journal of Planning Education and Research 2001; **21**:17-31. doi:10.1177/0739456X0102100102

50

Lee Y. Design participation tactics: the challenges and new roles for designers in the co-design process. CoDesign 2008;**4**:31-50. doi:10.1080/15710880701875613

51

Choguill MBG. A ladder of community participation for underdeveloped countries. Habitat International 1996;**20**:431-44. doi:10.1016/0197-3975(96)00020-3

52

Frediani A, Boano C. Processes for Just Products: the Capability Space of Participatory

Design. In: The capability approach, technology and design. London: : Springer 2012.
<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-94-007-3879-9>

53

Boano C, Talocci G. Fences and Profanations: Questioning the Sacredness of Urban Design. *Journal of Urban Design* 2014;**19**:700–21. doi:10.1080/13574809.2014.943701

54

Hirst PQ. Space and power: politics, war and architecture. Cambridge: : Polity 2005.

55

View of Toward an Architecture of Dissensus: Participatory Urbanism in South-East Asia -
Boano, C. and Kelling, E. (2013).
<https://journals.open.tudelft.nl/index.php/footprint/article/view/Boano/945>

56

Doucet I. Understanding social engagement in architecture. In: Karim F, ed. *The Routledge companion to architecture and social engagement*. New York, NY: : Routledge 2018.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=3a3e354f-f5fd-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

57

Luansang C, Boonmahathanakorn S, Domingo-Price ML. The role of community architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. *Environment and Urbanization* 2012;**24**:497–512. doi:10.1177/0956247812456125

58

Till J. Architecture of the Impure Community. In: Hill J, ed. *Occupying architecture: between the architect and the user*. London: : Routledge 1998.
34–42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203983829>

59

Cleaver F. Institutions, Agency and the Limitations of Participatory Approaches to Development. In: Participation: The New Tyranny? London: : Zed Books 2001. 36–55.

60

Forester J. Making Participation Work When Interests Conflict: Moving from Facilitating Dialogue and Moderating Debate to Mediating Negotiations. Journal of the American Planning Association 2006;**72**:447–56. doi:10.1080/01944360608976765

61

Russell B. Radical municipalism: demanding the future. 2017.<https://www.opendemocracy.net/plan-c/radical-municipalism-demanding-future>

62

Siemiatycki M. The Role of the Planning Scholar. Journal of Planning Education and Research 2012;**32**:147–59. doi:10.1177/0739456X12440729

63

Fraser, Nancy. Rethinking Recognition. New Left Review;**3**:1103–24.<https://newleftreview.org/II/3/nancy-fraser-rethinking-recognition>

64

Martens K. Participatory Experiments from the Bottom up: The role of environmental NGOs and citizen groups. European Journal of Spatial Development 2005;**18**:1–20.<https://archive.nordregio.se/European-Journal-of-Spatial-Development/Archive/2005/index.html>

65

Watson V. Deep Difference: Diversity, Planning and Ethics. Planning Theory 2006;**5**:31–50. doi:10.1177/1473095206061020

66

Yacobi H. The NGOization of Space: Dilemmas of Social Change, Planning Policy, and the Israeli Public Sphere. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 2007;**25**:745–58. doi:10.1068/d459t

67

Yacobi H, Ventura J, Danzig S. Walls, enclaves and the (counter) politics of design. *Journal of Urban Design* 2016;**21**:481–94. doi:10.1080/13574809.2016.1184566

68

John Forester. Listening: the social policy of everyday life in Planning in the Face of Power. University of California Press, Berkeley. In: *Planning in the face of power*. Berkeley: : University of California Press 1989. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a080e248-73f4-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

69

Markus TA, Cameron D. Why language matters. In: *The words between the spaces: buildings and language*. London: : Routledge 2002. 1–17. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9f5a20ed-8b33-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

70

Fenster T. Cognitive Temporal Mapping: The Three Steps Method in Urban Planning. *Planning Theory & Practice* 2009;**10**:479–98. doi:10.1080/14649350903417266

71

Akhil Gupta and Ferguson, D. Discipline and Practice: "The Field" As Site, Method, and Location In *Anthropology*. ... locations: Boundaries and grounds of a ... http://www.academia.edu/252003/Discipline_and_Practice_The_Field_As_Site_Method_and_Location_In_Anthropology

72

McDowell, L (1992a), *Doing gender: Feminism, feminists and research methods in human geography*. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, New Series* 17 399–416. http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/pdf/Doing_Gender.pdf

73

Read C, Earnest J, Ali M, et al. Applying a Practical, Participatory Action Research Framework for Producing Knowledge, Action and Change in Communities: A Health Case Study from Gujarat, Western India. In: Tiwari R, Lommerse M, Smith D, eds. *M2 Models and Methodologies for Community Engagement*. Singapore: : Springer Singapore 2014. 91–105. doi:10.1007/978-981-4585-11-8_6

74

Leivas M. From the body to the city: participatory action research with social cartography for transformative education and global citizenship. *Educational Action Research* 2018;:1–16. doi:10.1080/09650792.2018.1519453

75

Amir S. Rethinking Design Policy in the Third World. *Design Issues* 2004;**20**:68–75. doi:10.1162/0747936042311995

76

Komarova M, McKnight M. 'We Are Watching You Too': Reflections on Doing Visual Research in a Contested City. *Sociological Research Online* 2013;**18**. doi:10.5153/sro.2877

77

Rose G. Situating knowledges: positionality, reflexivities and other tactics. *Progress in Human Geography* 1997;**21**:305–20. doi:10.1191/030913297673302122

78

Flowerdew R, Martin D. *Methods in human geography: a guide for students doing a research project*. 2nd ed. Harlow: : Prentice Hall 2005.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781405898416>

79

Hamdi N. Chapter 12. Insiders Out and Outsiders: Practical wisdom and the co-Production of Knowledge. In: *The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice*. New York, NY: : Routledge 2014.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315>

80

Mitlin D, Bartlett S. Editorial: Co-production – key ideas. *Environment and Urbanization* 2018;**30**:355–66. doi:10.1177/0956247818791931

81

Sarkissian, W. and Bunjamin-Mau, W. et al. Designing and managing a workshop. In: *SpeakOut: the step-by-step guide to SpeakOuts and community workshops*. London: : Earthscan 2009.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=55416db3-8436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

82

Saba Golchehr. Data-driven design for civic participation : Introducing digital methods for on-going civic engagement for design in public space. ;:56–70.
doi:10.4324/9781315110332-5

83

Burgess J, Harrison CM, Limb M. People, Parks and the Urban Green: A Study of Popular Meanings and Values for Open Spaces in the City. *Urban Studies* 1988;**25**:455–73.
doi:10.1080/00420988820080631

84

We Drew What We Imagined: Participatory Mapping Performance, and Arts of Landscape Making, □□Sletto, B. I., (2009).
http://www.iapad.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Sletto_CA_August_20091.pdf

85

Freire P, Bergman Ramos M. Pedagogy of the oppressed. New revised edition. London: : Penguin Books 1996.

86

Haraway D. Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective. Feminist Studies 1988;**14**. doi:10.2307/3178066

87

SARA KINDON. Participatory Action Research: Origins, approaches and methods. ;:35-44. doi:10.4324/9780203933671-13

88

Jeremy Till. Imperfect ethics. In: Architecture depends. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2009. 171-87. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=730082ec-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

89

Miessen M. Collaboration and the conflictual, Published in The nightmare of participation - p. 91-104. Berlin: : Sternberg Press 2010.

90

Cooke B, Kothari U. The case for participation as tyranny. In: Participation: the new tyranny? London: : Zed Books 2001. 1-15. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c4bd2ae2-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

91

Mouffe C. Agonistics: thinking the world politically. London: : Verso 2013.

92

Kaminer T. Theories of participation, theories of contestation. In: The efficacy of architecture: political contestation and agency. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2017.

93

Moser CON. Community participation in urban projects in the Third World. Progress in Planning 1989;**32**:71–133. doi:10.1016/0305-9006(89)90010-X

94

Sandercock L. Re/presenting Planning's Histories. In: Towards cosmopolis: planning for multicultural cities. Chichester: : John Wiley & Sons 1998. 33–54. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a57f9aa3-151e-ed11-bd6e-a04a5e5d2f8d>

95

Yacobi H. Social Exclusion, Housing Environment and Tolerant Planning: The Case of the Jahelin Bedouin Tribe. Hagar - International Social Science Review. 69-84. Hagar international social science review = Hājir 2004;**5**:69–83.

96

Abu-Orf H. Is planning possible in cities divided by violent conflict? International Development Planning Review 2011;**33**:321–42. doi:10.3828/idpr.2011.16

97

Bower R. Architecture and space re-imagined: learning from the difference, multiplicity, and otherness of development practice. New York: : Routledge 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315678146>

98

Hamdi N, Goethert R. Chapter 2. Action Planning In Theory. In: Action planning for cities: a guide to community practice. Chichester: : John Wiley 1997. 23–59.

99

Hamdi N. Chapter 3. Deciding how to decide. In: The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice. New York, NY: : Routledge 2014.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315>

100

Hamdi N. Chapter 6. In Search of a Community and Structure of Place. In: Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities. London: : Earthscan 2004. 58–72.

101

Luck R. Learning to talk to users in participatory design situations. Design Studies 2007;**28**:217–42. doi:10.1016/j.destud.2007.02.002

102

Environment and Urbanization. <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/eau>

103

Camillo Boano and William Hunter. Collaboration and the conflictual. In: The nightmare of participation: [crossbench praxis as a mode of criticality]. Berlin: : Sternberg Press 2010. 91–104.

104

Hamdi N. Chapter 7. Participation in practice. In: The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice. New York, NY: : Routledge 2014.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315>

105

Petrescu D, Chiles P. Agency: alternative practices and alternative worlds. Architectural Research Quarterly 2009;**13**. doi:10.1017/S1359135509990194

106

Hamdi N. Chapter 8. PEAS and the Sociable Side of Practice. In: The placemaker's guide to building community. London: : Earthscan 2010. 141–52.

107

Sandercock L, Attili G. Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice* 2010;**11**:23–45. doi:10.1080/14649350903538012

108

Hamdi N. Chapter 9. Reasoning to Scale. In: The placemaker's guide to building community . London: : Earthscan 2010. 160–153.

109

Hamdi N. Chapter 11. Governance and networks: organizing from inside out. In: Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities. London: : Earthscan 2004. 107–15.

110

Environment and Urbanization. <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/eau>

111

Mitlin D, Thompson J. Participatory approaches in urban areas: strengthening civil society or reinforcing the status quo? *Environment and Urbanization* 1995;**7**:231–50. doi:10.1177/095624789500700113

112

Petrescu D, Petcou C. Tactics for a Transgressive Practice. *Architectural Design* 2013;**83**:58–65. doi:10.1002/ad.1675

113

Mitlin D, Satterthwaite D. Empowering squatter citizen: local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction. London: : Earthscan 2004.

114

Cowan R. Placecheck: a user's guide. . 2001.

115

Robinson J. White women researching/representing 'others': from antiapartheid to postcolonialism? In: Writing women and space: colonial and postcolonial geographies. New York: : Guilford Press 1994. 197-228.

116

Foucault M. The eye of power. In: Power/knowledge: selected interviews and other writings, 1972-1977. Harlow: : Longman 1980. 146-65.

117

Burgess R, Carmona M, Kolstee T. Contemporary policies for enablement and participation : a critical review. In: The challenge of sustainable cities: neoliberalism and urban strategies in developing countries. London: : Zed 1997.
138-62.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=9c4bae9a-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

118

D. M. Petrescu, K. Trogal. The social (re)production of Architecture in 'crisis-riddled' times. In: Petrescu D, Trogal K, eds. The social (re)production of architecture: politics, values and actions in contemporary practice. London: : Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group 2017.
doi:10.4324/9781315717180

119

Jenkins P, Smith H, Wang YP. Post-1990 issues in planning and housing. In: Planning and housing in the rapidly urbanising world. London: : Routledge 2007.
178-203.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ee5bfbd5-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

120

Cooke B, Kothari U. The case for participation as tyranny. In: Participation: the new tyranny? London: : Zed Books 2001.
1-15.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c4bd2ae2-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

121

Blunt A, Dowling RM. Setting up home: an introduction. In: Home. London: : Routledge 2006.
1-31.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=2394bfc2-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

122

Lane BM. What is home? In: Housing and dwelling: perspectives on modern domestic architecture. Abingdon: : Routledge 2007.
50-73.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=f87a55cc-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

123

Coole D. Experiencing Discourse: Corporeal Communicators and the Embodiment of Power. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations 2007;**9**:413-33.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2006.00258.x

124

Kumar S. Conceptual specifications. In: Methods for community participation: a complete guide for practitioners. Rugby: : Practical Action Publishing 2002.
23-52.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=ca0786a4-8836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

125

Hamdi N. Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities. London: : Earthscan 2004.

126

Sundberg J. Looking for the critical geographer, or why bodies and geographies matter to the emergence of critical geographies of Latin America. *Geoforum* 2005;**36**:17-28.
doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2004.03.006

127

Swyngedouw E, Wilson J. Insurgent architects and the spectral return of the urban political. In: Metzger J, Allmendinger P, Oosterlynck S, eds. *Planning against the political: democratic deficits in European territorial governance*. New York: : Routledge 2015. 215-25.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=20930d20-33c9-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

128

Kindon SL, Pain R, Kesby M. Participatory action research: origins, approaches and methods. In: *Participatory action research approaches and methods: connecting people, participation and place*. Abingdon: : Routledge 2007. 9-18.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781134135561>

129

Patel S, Mitlin D. Grassroots-driven development: The alliance of SPARC, the National Slum Dwellers Federation and Mahila Milan. In: *Empowering squatter citizen: local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction*. London: : Earthscan 2004. 216-41.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=5b1d1c38-8436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

130

Wates N. General principles A-Z. In: *Community planning handbook : how people can shape their cities, towns and villages in any part of the world*. London: : Earthscan Publications Ltd 2000. 11-21.

131

Frediani AA, Boano C. Processes for just products: the capability space of participatory design. In: *The capability approach, technology and design*. Dordrecht: : Springer 2012.

203–22. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-94-007-3879-9>

132

Zetter R. Market enablement or sustainable development : the conflicting paradigms of urbanization. In: Planning in cities: sustainability and growth in the developing world. London: : ITDG 2002.

31–42. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=bea9ee93-8836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

133

Cornwall A, Coelho VSP. Spaces for change?: The politics of participation in new democratic arenas. In: Spaces for change?: the politics of citizen participation in new democratic arenas. London: : Zed 2007.

1–29. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=722bea86-8336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

134

Glass J. Facing the Future by Designing in Resilience: An Architectural Perspective. In: Hazards and the built environment: attaining built-in resilience. Abingdon: : Routledge 2008.

172–88. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ad954536-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

135

Seymour W. Exhuming the Body: Revisiting the Role of the Visible Body in Ethnographic Research. Qualitative Health Research 2007;**17**:1188–97. doi:10.1177/1049732307308517

136

Ramirez R. Integrated Informality in the Barrios of Havana. In: Rethinking the informal city: critical perspectives from Latin America. New York: : Berghahn Books 2010.

137–62. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=222f22f0-8536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

137

Turner JFC. Issues in Self-Help and Self-Managed Housing. In: Self-help housing: a critique. London: : Mansell 1982.
99-113.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c2ed8bb4-6536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

138

Madanipour A. Introduction. In: Whose public space?: international case studies in urban design and development. London: : Routledge 2010.
1-15.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=e4a879a0-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

139

Dovey K. Home and homelessness. In: Home environments. New York: : Plenum Press 1985.
33-64.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=8e4fc2f6-4f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

140

Eyben R, Harris C, Pettit J. Introduction: Exploring Power for Change. IDS Bulletin 2006;**37**:1-10. doi:10.1111/j.1759-5436.2006.tb00318.x

141

Till J. Imperfect ethics. In: Architecture depends. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2009.
171-87.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=730082ec-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

142

Schuermans N, Newton C. Being a young and foreign researcher in South Africa: Towards a postcolonial dialogue. Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography 2012;**33**:295-300.
doi:10.1111/sjtg.12005_4

143

Progress in Human Geography. <https://search.proquest.com/publication/37137>