

DEVP0003: Participatory Processes: Building for Development

[View Online](#)

1.

A. Cuthbert. Chapter 6: Urban Design and Spatial Political Economy. In: Companion to Urban Design [Internet]. Routledge; 2014. Available from:
<http://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9780203844434>
doi:10.4324/9780203844434

2.

Martin D, McCann E, Purcell M. Space, Scale, Governance, and Representation: Contemporary Geographical Perspectives on Urban Politics and Policy. *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 2003;25(2):113–21. doi:10.1111/1467-9906.t01-1-00001

3.

Sanoff H. Multiple views of participatory design. *International Journal of Architectural Research: ArchNet-IJAR* [Internet]. 2014;2(1):57–69. Available from:
<http://www.archnet-ijar.net/index.php/IJAR/article/view/177>

4.

Watson V. Seeing from the South: Refocusing Urban Planning on the Globe's Central Urban Issues. *Urban Studies*. 2009 Oct 1;46(11):2259–75. doi:10.1177/0042098009342598

5.

Schindler S. Towards a paradigm of Southern urbanism. *City*. 2017 Jan 2;21(1):47–64. doi:10.1080/13604813.2016.1263494

6.

Barry J, Horst M, Inch A, Legacy C, Rishi S, Rivero JJ, et al. Unsettling planning theory. *Planning Theory*. 2018 Aug;17(3):418–38. doi:10.1177/1473095218763842

7.

Doreen Massey. Politics and Space/Time. *New Left Review* [Internet]. 1992;196. Available from: <https://newleftreview.org/l/196/doreen-massey-politics-and-space-time>

8.

Rios M. Envisioning Citizenship: Toward a Polity Approach in Urban Design. *Journal of Urban Design*. 2008;13(2):213–29. doi:10.1080/13574800801965692

9.

Roy A. Who's Afraid of Postcolonial Theory? *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 2016 Jan;40(1):200–9. doi:10.1111/1468-2427.12274

10.

McFarlane C. Knowledge, learning and development: a post-rationalist approach. *Progress in Development Studies*. 2006;6(4):287–305. doi:10.1191/1464993406ps144oa

11.

Cornwall A. Historical perspectives on participation in development. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*. 2006;44(1):62–83. doi:10.1080/14662040600624460

12.

A. Escobar. The making and the unmaking of the third world through development. In: *The post-development reader* [Internet]. London: Zed Books; 1996. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=92a6b119-10d2-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

13.

Hickey S, Mohan G. Towards Participation as Transformation: Critical Themes and Challenges. In: Participation: from tyranny to transformation? : exploring new approaches to participation in development [Internet]. London: Zed; 2004. p. 3–24. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=1893885c-8336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

14.

Mitlin D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization*. 2008 Oct 1;20(2):339–60. doi:10.1177/0956247808096117

15.

Rendell J. Only resist: Five particular qualities might characterise a specifically feminist approach to critical spatial practice, suggests Jane Rendell. *Architectural Review* [Internet]. 2018;243(1449):8–18. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu&AN=128870014&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

16.

Mirafteb F. Making neo-liberal governance: the disempowering work of empowerment. *International Planning Studies*. 2004;9(4):239–59. doi:10.1080/13563470500050130

17.

Derickson KD. On the politics of recognition in critical urban scholarship. *Urban Geography*. 2016 Aug 17;37(6):824–9. doi:10.1080/02723638.2015.1105483

18.

Irazábal C. Public, Private, People Partnerships (PPPPs): Reflections from Latin American Cases. In: Lehari A, editor. *Private communities and urban governance: theoretical and comparative perspectives* [Internet]. Switzerland: Springer; 2016. p. 191–214. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1224661&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

19.

Gaventa J, Barrett G. So What Difference Does it Make? Mapping the Outcomes of Citizen Engagement. IDS Working Papers. 2010 Oct;2010(347):01–72.
doi:10.1111/j.2040-0209.2010.00347_2.x

20.

Roy A. Civic Governmentality: The Politics of Inclusion in Beirut and Mumbai. *Antipode*. 2009;41(1):159–79. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8330.2008.00660.x

21.

Catalina Ortiz and Camillo Boano. The Medellín's shifting geopolitics of informality : The Encircled Garden as a dispositive of civil disenfranchisement? In. p. 189–207. Available from:
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781317333562/chapters/10.4324%2F9781315659275-16> doi:10.4324/9781315659275-16

22.

Marcuse P. From critical urban theory to the right to the city. *City*. 2009;13(2–3):185–97.
doi:10.1080/13604810902982177

23.

Sun X, Huang R. Spatial meaning-making and urban activism: Two tales of anti-PX protests in urban China. *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 2018 Mar 22;1–21.
doi:10.1080/07352166.2018.1443010

24.

Rolnik R. Place, inhabitation and citizenship: the right to housing and the right to the city in the contemporary urban world. *International Journal of Housing Policy*. 2014 Jul 3;14(3):293–300. doi:10.1080/14616718.2014.936178

25.

Bell B, Wakeford K. Expanding architecture: design as activism. New York: Metropolis Books; 2008.

26.

Miraftab F. Insurgency and Spaces of Active Citizenship: The Story of Western Cape Anti-eviction Campaign in South Africa. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*. 2005;25(2):200-17. doi:10.1177/0739456X05282182

27.

Winkler T. Black texts on white paper: Learning to resistant texts as an
approach towards decolonising planning. *Planning Theory*. 2017 Nov 4.
doi:10.1177/1473095217739335

28.

Al-Harithy H. The participative discourse: community activism in post-war reconstruction. In: Saliba R, editor. *Urban design in the Arab world: reconceptualizing boundaries* [Internet]. Farnham, Surrey, England: Ashgate; 2015. p. 39-50. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=3d19f9c2-7c36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

29.

Carabelli G. Grassroots Movements and the Production of (Other) Space(s) - published in *The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar*. In. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2018. Available from: http://ucl.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=3192314340004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760

30.

Erfan A. Confronting collective traumas: an exploration of therapeutic planning. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 2017 Jan 2;18(1):34-50. doi:10.1080/14649357.2016.1249909

31.

Fawaz M. Hezbollah as Urban Planner? Questions To and From Planning Theory. *Planning Theory*. 2009 Nov;8(4):323–34. doi:10.1177/1473095209341327

32.

Lahoud A. Post-Traumatic Urbanism. *Architectural Design*. 2010 Sep;80(5):14–23. doi:10.1002/ad.1128

33.

Thomas Abbot, Roxana Aslan, Riley O'Brien and Nathan Serafin. Embrace abolitionist planning to fight Trumpism [Internet]. *Progressive City*. Available from: <https://www.progressivecity.net/single-post/2018/04/06/EMBRACE-ABOLITIONIST-PLANNING-TO-FIGHT-TRUMPISM>

34.

Petrescu D, Petcou C, Baibarac C. Co-producing commons-based resilience: lessons from R-Urban. *Building Research & Information*. 2016 Oct 2;44(7):717–36. doi:10.1080/09613218.2016.1214891

35.

Carabelli G. The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar [Internet]. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2018. Available from: http://ucl.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=3192314340004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760

36.

de Souza ML. Together with the state, despite the state, against the state. *Social Movements as 'Critical Urban Planning' Agents*. *City*. 2006 Dec;10(3):327–42. doi:10.1080/13604810600982347

37.

Awan N, Schneider T, Till J. Chapter 3: The operations of spatial agency. In: *Spatial agency: other ways of doing architecture* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2011. p. 69–82. Available from:

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=491e7ea7-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

38.

Mukhija V. Urban Design for a Planet of Informal Cities. In: Companion to Urban Design [Internet]. Routledge; 2014. Available from: <http://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9780203844434.ch43>
doi:10.4324/9780203844434.ch43

39.

Mattila H. Aesthetic justice and urban planning: Who ought to have the right to design cities? *GeoJournal*. 2002;58(2/3):131–8. doi:10.1023/B:GEJO.0000010832.88129.cc

40.

Frediani AA. Re-imagining Participatory Design: Reflecting on the ASF-UK Change by Design Methodology. *Design Issues*. 2016 Jul;32(3):98–111. doi:10.1162/DESI_a_00403

41.

Appadurai A. Deep democracy: urban governmentality and the horizon of politics. *Environment and Urbanization*. 2001;13(2):23–43. doi:10.1177/095624780101300203

42.

Blundell Jones P, Petrescu D, Till J, editors. *Architecture and participation* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2012. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203022863>

43.

AbdouMaliq Simone , and Edgar Pieterse. *New Urban Worlds : Inhabiting Dissonant Times* [Internet]. Polity Press; 2017. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/UCL/reader.action?docID=4983712&ppg=173>

44.

Laura Roth, Kate Shea Baird. Municipalism and the Feminization of Politics [Internet]. ROAR Magazine. Available from:
<https://roarmag.org/magazine/municipalism-feminization-urban-politics/>

45.

Loo S. Design-Ing Ethics: the Good, the Bad and the Performative. In: Design and ethics: reflections on practice [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2012. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f6e15cf3-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

46.

Hou J. Citizen Design: participation and beyond. In: Companion to Urban Design [Internet]. Routledge; 2014. Available from:
<http://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9780203844434.ch25>
doi:10.4324/9780203844434.ch25

47.

Luansang C, Boonmahathanakorn S, Domingo-Price ML. The role of community architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. Environment and Urbanization. 2012;24(2):497–512. doi:10.1177/0956247812456125

48.

Wahby N. Institutions and Populism in the Global South-Lessons for the Brexit-Trump Era. City & Community. 2017 Jun;16(2):139–44. doi:10.1111/cico.12234

49.

Umemoto K. Walking in Another's Shoes. Journal of Planning Education and Research. 2001 Sep;21(1):17–31. doi:10.1177/0739456X0102100102

50.

Lee Y. Design participation tactics: the challenges and new roles for designers in the co-design process. CoDesign. 2008;4(1):31–50. doi:10.1080/15710880701875613

51.

Choguill MBG. A ladder of community participation for underdeveloped countries. *Habitat International*. 1996;20(3):431-44. doi:10.1016/0197-3975(96)00020-3

52.

Frediani A, Boano C. Processes for Just Products: the Capability Space of Participatory Design. In: *The capability approach, technology and design* [Internet]. London: Springer; 2012. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-94-007-3879-9>

53.

Boano C, Talocci G. Fences and Profanations: Questioning the Sacredness of Urban Design. *Journal of Urban Design*. 2014;19(5):700-21. doi:10.1080/13574809.2014.943701

54.

Hirst PQ. *Space and power: politics, war and architecture*. Cambridge: Polity; 2005.

55.

View of Toward an Architecture of Dissensus: Participatory Urbanism in South-East Asia - Boano, C. and Kelling, E. (2013) [Internet]. Available from: <https://journals.open.tudelft.nl/index.php/footprint/article/view/Boano/945>

56.

Doucet I. Understanding social engagement in architecture. In: Karim F, editor. *The Routledge companion to architecture and social engagement*. New York, NY: Routledge; 2018.

57.

Luansang C, Boonmahathanakorn S, Domingo-Price ML. The role of community architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. *Environment and Urbanization*. 2012 Oct;24(2):497-512. doi:10.1177/0956247812456125

58.

Till J. Architecture of the Impure Community. In: Hill J, editor. Occupying architecture: between the architect and the user [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1998. p. 34-42. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203983829>

59.

Cleaver F. Institutions, Agency and the Limitations of Participatory Approaches to Development. In: Participation: The New Tyranny? London: Zed Books; 2001. p. 36-55.

60.

Forester J. Making Participation Work When Interests Conflict: Moving from Facilitating Dialogue and Moderating Debate to Mediating Negotiations. Journal of the American Planning Association. 2006 Dec 31;72(4):447-56. doi:10.1080/019443606008976765

61.

Russell B. Radical municipalism: demanding the future [Internet]. openDemocracy; 2017. Available from: <https://www.opendemocracy.net/plan-c/radical-municipalism-demanding-future>

62.

Siemiatycki M. The Role of the Planning Scholar. Journal of Planning Education and Research. 2012 Jun;32(2):147-59. doi:10.1177/0739456X12440729

63.

Fraser, Nancy. Rethinking Recognition. New Left Review [Internet]. 3:1103-24. Available from: <https://newleftreview.org/II/3/nancy-fraser-rethinking-recognition>

64.

Martens K. Participatory Experiments from the Bottom up: The role of environmental NGOs and citizen groups. European Journal of Spatial Development [Internet]. 2005;18:1-20.

Available from:

<https://archive.nordregio.se/European-Journal-of-Spatial-Development/Archive/2005/index.html>

65.

Watson V. Deep Difference: Diversity, Planning and Ethics. *Planning Theory*. 2006 Mar;5(1):31–50. doi:10.1177/1473095206061020

66.

Yacobi H. The NGOization of Space: Dilemmas of Social Change, Planning Policy, and the Israeli Public Sphere. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. 2007 Aug;25(4):745–58. doi:10.1068/d459t

67.

Yacobi H, Ventura J, Danzig S. Walls, enclaves and the (counter) politics of design. *Journal of Urban Design*. 2016 Jul 3;21(4):481–94. doi:10.1080/13574809.2016.1184566

68.

John Forester. Listening: the social policy of everyday life in Planning in the Face of Power. University of California Press, Berkeley. In: *Planning in the face of power* [Internet]. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1989. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a080e248-73f4-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

69.

Markus TA, Cameron D. Why language matters. In: *The words between the spaces: buildings and language* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 1–17. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9f5a20ed-8b33-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

70.

Fenster T. Cognitive Temporal Mapping: The Three Steps Method in Urban Planning. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 2009 Dec;10(4):479–98. doi:10.1080/14649350903417266

71.

Akhil Gupta and Ferguson, D. Discipline and Practice: "The Field" As Site, Method, and Location In Anthropology. ... locations: Boundaries and grounds of a ... [Internet]. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/252003/Discipline_and_Practice_The_Field_As_Site_Method_and_Location_In_Anthropology

72.

McDowell, L (1992a), Doing gender: Feminism, feminists and research methods in human geography. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, New Series 17 399–416 [Internet]. Available from: http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/pdf/Doing_Gender.pdf

73.

Read C, Earnest J, Ali M, Poonacha V. Applying a Practical, Participatory Action Research Framework for Producing Knowledge, Action and Change in Communities: A Health Case Study from Gujarat, Western India. In: Tiwari R, Lommerse M, Smith D, editors. M2 Models and Methodologies for Community Engagement [Internet]. Singapore: Springer Singapore; 2014. p. 91–105. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-981-4585-11-8_6 doi:10.1007/978-981-4585-11-8_6

74.

Leivas M. From the body to the city: participatory action research with social cartography for transformative education and global citizenship. Educational Action Research. 2018 Sep 21;1–16. doi:10.1080/09650792.2018.1519453

75.

Amir S. Rethinking Design Policy in the Third World. Design Issues. 2004;20(4):68–75. doi:10.1162/0747936042311995

76.

Komarova M, McKnight M. 'We Are Watching You Too': Reflections on Doing Visual Research in a Contested City. Sociological Research Online. 2013;18(1). doi:10.5153/sro.2877

77.

Rose G. Situating knowledges: positionality, reflexivities and other tactics. *Progress in Human Geography*. 1997;21(3):305–20. doi:10.1191/030913297673302122

78.

Flowerdew R, Martin D. *Methods in human geography: a guide for students doing a research project* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Harlow: Prentice Hall; 2005. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781405898416>

79.

Hamdi N. Chapter 12. Insiders Out and Outsiders: Practical wisdom and the co-Production of Knowledge. In: *The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice* [Internet]. New York, NY: Routledge; 2014. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315>

80.

Mitlin D, Bartlett S. Editorial: Co-production – key ideas. *Environment and Urbanization*. 2018 Oct;30(2):355–66. doi:10.1177/0956247818791931

81.

Sarkissian, W. and Bunjamin-Mau, W. et al. Designing and managing a workshop. In: *SpeakOut: the step-by-step guide to SpeakOuts and community workshops* [Internet]. London: Earthscan; 2009. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=55416db3-8436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

82.

Saba Golchehr. Data-driven design for civic participation : Introducing digital methods for on-going civic engagement for design in public space [Internet]. 56–70. doi:10.4324/9781315110332-5

83.

Burgess J, Harrison CM, Limb M. *People, Parks and the Urban Green: A Study of Popular*

Meanings and Values for Open Spaces in the City. *Urban Studies*. 1988 Dec;25(6):455-73. doi:10.1080/00420988820080631

84.

We Drew What We Imagined: Participatory Mapping Performance, and Arts of Landscape Making, □□Sletto, B. I., (2009) [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.iapad.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Sletto_CA_August_20091.pdf

85.

Freire P, Bergman Ramos M. *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. New revised edition. London: Penguin Books; 1996.

86.

Haraway D. Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective. *Feminist Studies*. 1988 Autumn;14(3). doi:10.2307/3178066

87.

SARA KINDON. Participatory Action Research: Origins, approaches and methods [Internet]. 35-44. doi:10.4324/9780203933671-13

88.

Jeremy Till. Imperfect ethics. In: *Architecture depends* [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2009. p. 171-87. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=730082ec-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

89.

Miessen M. Collaboration and the conflictual. In: *The nightmare of participation : [crossbench praxis as a mode of criticality]*. Berlin: Sternberg Press; 2010. p. 91-104.

90.

Cooke B, Kothari U. The case for participation as tyranny. In: Participation: the new tyranny? [Internet]. London: Zed Books; 2001. p. 1–15. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c4bd2ae2-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

91.

Mouffe C. Agonistics: thinking the world politically. London: Verso; 2013.

92.

Kaminer T. Theories of participation, theories of contestation. In: The efficacy of architecture: political contestation and agency. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

93.

Moser CON. Community participation in urban projects in the Third World. Progress in Planning. 1989 Jan;32:71–133. doi:10.1016/0305-9006(89)90010-X

94.

Sandercock L. Re/presenting Planning's Histories. In: Towards cosmopolis: planning for multicultural cities. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 1998. p. 33–54.

95.

Yacobi H. Social Exclusion, Housing Environment and Tolerant Planning: The Case of the Jahelin Bedouin Tribe. Hagar - International Social Science Review. 69-84. Hagar international social science review = Hājir. 2004;5(1):69–83.

96.

Abu-Orf H. Is planning possible in cities divided by violent conflict? International Development Planning Review. 2011 Jan;33(3):321–42. doi:10.3828/idpr.2011.16

97.

Bower R. Architecture and space re-imagined: learning from the difference, multiplicity, and otherness of development practice [Internet]. Routledge Research in Place, Space and Politics. New York: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315678146>

98.

Hamdi N, Goethert R. Chapter 2. Action Planning In Theory. In: Action planning for cities: a guide to community practice. Chichester: John Wiley; 1997. p. 23–59.

99.

Hamdi N. Chapter 3. Deciding how to decide. In: The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice [Internet]. New York, NY: Routledge; 2014. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315>

100.

Hamdi N. Chapter 6. In Search of a Community and Structure of Place. In: Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities. London: Earthscan; 2004. p. 58–72.

101.

Luck R. Learning to talk to users in participatory design situations. Design Studies. 2007;28(3):217–42. doi:10.1016/j.destud.2007.02.002

102.

Environment and Urbanization [Internet]. Available from: <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/eau>

103.

Miessen M. Collaboration and the Conflictual. In: The nightmare of participation: [crossbench praxis as a mode of criticality]. Berlin: Sternberg Press; 2010. p. 91–104.

104.

Hamdi N. Chapter 7. Participation in practice. In: The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice [Internet]. New York, NY: Routledge; 2014. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315>

105.

Petrescu D, Chiles P. Agency: alternative practices and alternative worlds. Architectural Research Quarterly. 2009 Jun;13(02). doi:10.1017/S1359135509990194

106.

Hamdi N. Chapter 8. PEAS and the Sociable Side of Practice. In: The placemaker's guide to building community. London: Earthscan; 2010. p. 141–52.

107.

Sandercock L, Attili G. Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. Planning Theory & Practice. 2010 Mar;11(1):23–45. doi:10.1080/14649350903538012

108.

Hamdi N. Chapter 9. Reasoning to Scale. In: The placemaker's guide to building community. London: Earthscan; 2010. p. 160–153.

109.

Hamdi N. Chapter 11. Governance and networks: organizing from inside out. In: Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities. London: Earthscan; 2004. p. 107–15.

110.

Environment and Urbanization [Internet]. Available from: <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/eau>

111.

Mitlin D, Thompson J. Participatory approaches in urban areas: strengthening civil society or reinforcing the status quo? *Environment and Urbanization*. 1995;7(1):231-50. doi:10.1177/095624789500700113

112.

Petrescu D, Petcou C. Tactics for a Transgressive Practice. *Architectural Design*. 2013 Nov;83(6):58-65. doi:10.1002/ad.1675

113.

Mitlin D, Satterthwaite D. Empowering squatter citizen: local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction. London: Earthscan; 2004. doi:10.4324/9781849771108

114.

Cowan R. Placecheck: a user's guide. . Urban Design Alliance ; 2001.

115.

Robinson J. White women researching/representing 'others': from antiapartheid to postcolonialism? In: *Writing women and space: colonial and postcolonial geographies*. New York: Guilford Press; 1994. p. 197-228.

116.

Foucault M. The eye of power. In: *Power/knowledge: selected interviews and other writings, 1972-1977*. Harlow: Longman; 1980. p. 146-65.

117.

Burgess R, Carmona M, Kolstee T. Contemporary policies for enablement and participation : a critical review. In: *The challenge of sustainable cities: neoliberalism and urban strategies in developing countries [Internet]*. London: Zed; 1997. p. 138-62. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=9c4bae9a-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

118.

D. M. Petrescu, K. Trogal. The social (re)production of Architecture in 'crisis-riddled' times. In: Petrescu D, Trogal K, editors. The social (re)production of architecture: politics, values and actions in contemporary practice [Internet]. London: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2017. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315717180>
doi:10.4324/9781315717180

119.

Jenkins P, Smith H, Wang YP. Post-1990 issues in planning and housing. In: Planning and housing in the rapidly urbanising world [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2007. p. 178–203. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ee5bfbd5-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

120.

Cooke B, Kothari U. The case for participation as tyranny. In: Participation: the new tyranny? London: Zed Books; 2001. p. 1–15.

121.

Blunt A, Dowling RM. Setting up home: an introduction. In: Home [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. p. 1–31. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=2394bfc2-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

122.

Lane BM. What is home? In: Housing and dwelling: perspectives on modern domestic architecture [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2007. p. 50–73. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f87a55cc-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

123.

Cool D. Experiencing Discourse: Corporeal Communicators and the Embodiment of Power. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations. 2007;9(3):413–33.

doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2006.00258.x

124.

Kumar S. Conceptual specifications. In: *Methods for community participation: a complete guide for practitioners* [Internet]. Rugby: Practical Action Publishing; 2002. p. 23–52. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=ca0786a4-8836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

125.

Hamdi N. *Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities*. London: Earthscan; 2004.

126.

Sundberg J. Looking for the critical geographer, or why bodies and geographies matter to the emergence of critical geographies of Latin America. *Geoforum*. 2005;36(1):17–28. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2004.03.006

127.

Swyngedouw E, Wilson J. Insurgent architects and the spectral return of the urban political. In: Metzger J, Allmendinger P, Oosterlynck S, editors. *Planning against the political: democratic deficits in European territorial governance* [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2015. p. 215–25. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=20930d20-33c9-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

128.

Kindon SL, Pain R, Kesby M. Participatory action research: origins, approaches and methods. In: *Participatory action research approaches and methods: connecting people, participation and place* [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2007. p. 9–18. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781134135561>

129.

Patel S, Mitlin D. Grassroots-driven development: The alliance of SPARC, the National Slum Dwellers Federation and Mahila Milan. In: Empowering squatter citizen: local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction [Internet]. London: Earthscan; 2004. p. 216–41. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=5b1d1c38-8436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

130.

Wates N. General principles A-Z. In: Community planning handbook : how people can shape their cities, towns and villages in any part of the world. London: Earthscan Publications Ltd; 2000. p. 11–21.

131.

Frediani AA, Boano C. Processes for just products: the capability space of participatory design. In: The capability approach, technology and design [Internet]. Dordrecht: Springer; 2012. p. 203–22. Available from:
<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-94-007-3879-9>

132.

Zetter R. Market enablement or sustainable development : the conflicting paradigms of urbanization. In: Planning in cities: sustainability and growth in the developing world [Internet]. London: ITDG; 2002. p. 31–42. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=bea9ee93-8836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

133.

Cornwall A, Coelho VSP. Spaces for change?: The politics of participation in new democratic arenas. In: Spaces for change?: the politics of citizen participation in new democratic arenas [Internet]. London: Zed; 2007. p. 1–29. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=722bea86-8336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

134.

Glass J. Facing the Future by Designing in Resilience: An Architectural Perspective. In: Hazards and the built environment: attaining built-in resilience [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2008. p. 172–88. Available from:

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ad954536-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

135.

Seymour W. Exhuming the Body: Revisiting the Role of the Visible Body in Ethnographic Research. *Qualitative Health Research*. 2007;17(9):1188–97.
doi:10.1177/1049732307308517

136.

Ramirez R. Integrated Informality in the Barrios of Havana. In: *Rethinking the informal city: critical perspectives from Latin America* [Internet]. New York: Berghahn Books; 2010. p. 137–62. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=222f22f0-8536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

137.

Turner JFC. Issues in Self-Help and Self-Managed Housing. In: *Self-help housing: a critique* [Internet]. London: Mansell; 1982. p. 99–113. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c2ed8bb4-6536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

138.

Madanipour A. Introduction. In: *Whose public space?: international case studies in urban design and development* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2010. p. 1–15. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=e4a879a0-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

139.

Dovey K. Home and homelessness. In: *Home environments* [Internet]. New York: Plenum Press; 1985. p. 33–64. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=8e4fc2f6-4f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

140.

Eyben R, Harris C, Pettit J. Introduction: Exploring Power for Change. *IDS Bulletin*. 2006;37(6):1–10. doi:10.1111/j.1759-5436.2006.tb00318.x

141.

Till J. Imperfect ethics. In: Architecture depends [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2009. p. 171–87. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=730082ec-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

142.

Schuermans N, Newton C. Being a young and foreign researcher in South Africa: Towards a postcolonial dialogue. Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography. 2012;33(3):295–300.
doi:10.1111/sjtg.12005_4

143.

Progress in Human Geography [Internet]. Available from:
<https://search.proquest.com/publication/37137>