

DEVP0003: Participatory Processes: Building for Development

View Online



1.

A. Cuthbert: Chapter 6: Urban Design and Spatial Political Economy. In: Companion to Urban Design. Routledge (2014). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203844434>.

2.

Martin, D., McCann, E., Purcell, M.: Space, Scale, Governance, and Representation: Contemporary Geographical Perspectives on Urban Politics and Policy. *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 25, 113–121 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9906.t01-1-00001>.

3.

Sanoff, H.: Multiple views of participatory design. *International Journal of Architectural Research: ArchNet-IJAR*. 2, 57–69 (2014).

4.

Watson, V.: Seeing from the South: Refocusing Urban Planning on the Globe's Central Urban Issues. *Urban Studies*. 46, 2259–2275 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098009342598>.

5.

Schindler, S.: Towards a paradigm of Southern urbanism. *City*. 21, 47–64 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2016.1263494>.

6.

Barry, J., Horst, M., Inch, A., Legacy, C., Rishi, S., Rivero, J.J., Taufen, A., Zanotto, J.M., Zitcer, A.: Unsettling planning theory. *Planning Theory*. 17, 418–438 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095218763842>.

7.

Doreen Massey: Politics and Space/Time. *New Left Review*. 196, (1992).

8.

Rios, M.: Envisioning Citizenship: Toward a Polity Approach in Urban Design. *Journal of Urban Design*. 13, 213–229 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13574800801965692>.

9.

Roy, A.: Who's Afraid of Postcolonial Theory? *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 40, 200–209 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2427.12274>.

10.

McFarlane, C.: Knowledge, learning and development: a post-rationalist approach. *Progress in Development Studies*. 6, 287–305 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1191/1464993406ps144oa>.

11.

Cornwall, A.: Historical perspectives on participation in development. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*. 44, 62–83 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14662040600624460>.

12.

A. Escobar: The making and the unmaking of the third world through development. In: *The post-development reader*. Zed Books, London (1996).

13.

Hickey, S., Mohan, G.: *Towards Participation as Transformation: Critical Themes and*

Challenges. In: Participation: from tyranny to transformation?: exploring new approaches to participation in development. pp. 3–24. Zed, London (2004).

14.

Mitlin, D.: With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization*. 20, 339–360 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247808096117>.

15.

Rendell, J.: Only resist: Five particular qualities might characterise a specifically feminist approach to critical spatial practice, suggests Jane Rendell. *Architectural Review*. 243, 8–18 (2018).

16.

Mirafteb, F.: Making neo-liberal governance: the disempowering work of empowerment. *International Planning Studies*. 9, 239–259 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563470500050130>.

17.

Derickson, K.D.: On the politics of recognition in critical urban scholarship. *Urban Geography*. 37, 824–829 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02723638.2015.1105483>.

18.

Irazábal, C.: Public, Private, People Partnerships (PPPPs): Reflections from Latin American Cases. In: Lehari, A. (ed.) *Private communities and urban governance: theoretical and comparative perspectives*. pp. 191–214. Springer, Switzerland (2016).

19.

Gaventa, J., Barrett, G.: So What Difference Does it Make? Mapping the Outcomes of Citizen Engagement. *IDS Working Papers*. 2010, 01–72 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2040-0209.2010.00347_2.x.

20.

Roy, A.: Civic Governmentality: The Politics of Inclusion in Beirut and Mumbai. *Antipode*. 41, 159–179 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8330.2008.00660.x>.

21.

Catalina Ortiz and Camillo Boano: The Medellín's shifting geopolitics of informality : The Encircled Garden as a dispositive of civil disenfranchisement? Presented at the . <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315659275-16>.

22.

Marcuse, P.: From critical urban theory to the right to the city. *City*. 13, 185–197 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604810902982177>.

23.

Sun, X., Huang, R.: Spatial meaning-making and urban activism: Two tales of anti-PX protests in urban China. *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 1–21 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2018.1443010>.

24.

Rolnik, R.: Place, inhabitation and citizenship: the right to housing and the right to the city in the contemporary urban world. *International Journal of Housing Policy*. 14, 293–300 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616718.2014.936178>.

25.

Bell, B., Wakeford, K.: *Expanding architecture: design as activism*. Metropolis Books, New York (2008).

26.

Miraftab, F.: Insurgency and Spaces of Active Citizenship: The Story of Western Cape Anti-eviction Campaign in South Africa. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*. 25, 200–217 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X05282182>.

27.

Winkler, T.: Black texts on white paper: Learning to resist resistant texts as an approach towards decolonising planning. *Planning Theory*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095217739335>.

28.

Al-Harithy, H.: The participative discourse: community activism in post-war reconstruction. In: Saliba, R. (ed.) *Urban design in the Arab world: reconceptualizing boundaries*. pp. 39–50. Ashgate, Farnham, Surrey, England (2015).

29.

Carabelli, G.: Grassroots Movements and the Production of (Other) Space(s) - published in *The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar*. Presented at the (2018).

30.

Erfan, A.: Confronting collective traumas: an exploration of therapeutic planning. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 18, 34–50 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649357.2016.1249909>.

31.

Fawaz, M.: Hezbollah as Urban Planner? Questions To and From Planning Theory. *Planning Theory*. 8, 323–334 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095209341327>.

32.

Lahoud, A.: Post-Traumatic Urbanism. *Architectural Design*. 80, 14–23 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.1128>.

33.

Thomas Abbot, Roxana Aslan, Riley O'Brien and Nathan Serafin: Embrace abolitionist planning to fight Trumpism, <https://www.progressivecity.net/single-post/2018/04/06/EMBRACE-ABOLITIONIST-PLANNING>

-TO-FIGHT-TRUMPISM.

34.

Petrescu, D., Petcou, C., Baibarac, C.: Co-producing commons-based resilience: lessons from R-Urban. *Building Research & Information*. 44, 717–736 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2016.1214891>.

35.

Carabelli, G.: *The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, Hampshire (2018).

36.

de Souza, M.L.: Together with the state, despite the state, against the state. *Social Movements as 'Critical Urban Planning' Agents*. *City*. 10, 327–342 (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13604810600982347>.

37.

Awan, N., Schneider, T., Till, J.: Chapter 3: The operations of spatial agency. In: *Spatial agency: other ways of doing architecture*. pp. 69–82. Routledge, London (2011).

38.

Mukhija, V.: *Urban Design for a Planet of Informal Cities*. In: *Companion to Urban Design*. Routledge (2014). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203844434.ch43>.

39.

Mattila, H.: Aesthetic justice and urban planning: Who ought to have the right to design cities? *GeoJournal*. 58, 131–138 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:GEJO.0000010832.88129.cc>.

40.

Frediani, A.A.: Re-imagining Participatory Design: Reflecting on the ASF-UK Change by Design Methodology. *Design Issues*. 32, 98–111 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI_a_00403.

41.

Appadurai, A.: Deep democracy: urban governmentality and the horizon of politics. *Environment and Urbanization*. 13, 23–43 (2001).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/095624780101300203>.

42.

Blundell Jones, P., Petrescu, D., Till, J. eds: *Architecture and participation*. Routledge, London (2012).

43.

AbdouMaliq Simone , and Edgar Pieterse: *New Urban Worlds : Inhabiting Dissonant Times*. Polity Press (2017).

44.

Laura Roth, Kate Shea Baird: *Municipalism and the Feminization of Politics*,
<https://roarmag.org/magazine/municipalism-feminization-urban-politics/>.

45.

Loo, S.: *Design-Ing Ethics: the Good, the Bad and the Performative*. In: *Design and ethics: reflections on practice*. Routledge, London (2012).

46.

Hou, J.: *Citizen Design: participation and beyond*. In: *Companion to Urban Design*. Routledge (2014). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203844434.ch25>.

47.

Luansang, C., Boonmahathanakorn, S., Domingo-Price, M.L.: The role of community architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. *Environment and Urbanization*. 24, 497–512 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247812456125>.

48.

Wahby, N.: Institutions and Populism in the Global South-Lessons for the Brexit-Trump Era. *City & Community*. 16, 139–144 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/cico.12234>.

49.

Umemoto, K.: Walking in Another's Shoes. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*. 21, 17–31 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X0102100102>.

50.

Lee, Y.: Design participation tactics: the challenges and new roles for designers in the co-design process. *CoDesign*. 4, 31–50 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/15710880701875613>.

51.

Choguill, M.B.G.: A ladder of community participation for underdeveloped countries. *Habitat International*. 20, 431–444 (1996). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-3975\(96\)00020-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-3975(96)00020-3).

52.

Frediani, A., Boano, C.: Processes for Just Products: the Capability Space of Participatory Design. In: *The capability approach, technology and design*. Springer, London (2012).

53.

Boano, C., Talocci, G.: Fences and Profanations: Questioning the Sacredness of Urban Design. *Journal of Urban Design*. 19, 700–721 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13574809.2014.943701>.

54.

Hirst, P.Q.: Space and power: politics, war and architecture. Polity, Cambridge (2005).

55.

View of Toward an Architecture of Dissensus: Participatory Urbanism in South-East Asia -
Boano, C. and Kelling, E. (2013).

56.

Doucet, I.: Understanding social engagement in architecture. In: Karim, F. (ed.) The
Routledge companion to architecture and social engagement. Routledge, New York, NY
(2018).

57.

Luansang, C., Boonmahathanakorn, S., Domingo-Price, M.L.: The role of community
architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. Environment and
Urbanization. 24, 497–512 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247812456125>.

58.

Till, J.: Architecture of the Impure Community. In: Hill, J. (ed.) Occupying architecture:
between the architect and the user. pp. 34–42. Routledge, London (1998).

59.

Cleaver, F.: Institutions, Agency and the Limitations of Participatory Approaches to
Development. In: Participation: The New Tyranny? pp. 36–55. Zed Books, London (2001).

60.

Forester, J.: Making Participation Work When Interests Conflict: Moving from Facilitating
Dialogue and Moderating Debate to Mediating Negotiations. Journal of the American
Planning Association. 72, 447–456 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944360608976765>.

61.

Russell, B.: Radical municipalism: demanding the future,
<https://www.opendemocracy.net/plan-c/radical-municipalism-demanding-future>.

62.

Siemiatycki, M.: The Role of the Planning Scholar. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*. 32, 147–159 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X12440729>.

63.

Fraser, Nancy: Rethinking Recognition. *New Left Review*. 3, 1103–1124.

64.

Martens, K.: Participatory Experiments from the Bottom up: The role of environmental NGOs and citizen groups. *European Journal of Spatial Development*. 18, 1–20 (2005).

65.

Watson, V.: Deep Difference: Diversity, Planning and Ethics. *Planning Theory*. 5, 31–50 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095206061020>.

66.

Yacobi, H.: The NGOization of Space: Dilemmas of Social Change, Planning Policy, and the Israeli Public Sphere. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. 25, 745–758 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1068/d459t>.

67.

Yacobi, H., Ventura, J., Danzig, S.: Walls, enclaves and the (counter) politics of design. *Journal of Urban Design*. 21, 481–494 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13574809.2016.1184566>.

68.

John Forester: Listening: the social policy of everyday life in Planning in the Face of Power. University of California Press, Berkeley. In: Planning in the face of power. University of California Press, Berkeley (1989).

69.

Markus, T.A., Cameron, D.: Why language matters. In: The words between the spaces: buildings and language. pp. 1–17. Routledge, London (2002).

70.

Fenster, T.: Cognitive Temporal Mapping: The Three Steps Method in Urban Planning. Planning Theory & Practice. 10, 479–498 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903417266>.

71.

Akhil Gupta and Ferguson, D.: Discipline and Practice: "The Field" As Site, Method, and Location In Anthropology. ... locations: Boundaries and grounds of a

72.

McDowell, L (1992a), Doing gender: Feminism, feminists and research methods in human geography. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, New Series 17 399–416.

73.

Read, C., Earnest, J., Ali, M., Poonacha, V.: Applying a Practical, Participatory Action Research Framework for Producing Knowledge, Action and Change in Communities: A Health Case Study from Gujarat, Western India. In: Tiwari, R., Lommerse, M., and Smith, D. (eds.) M2 Models and Methodologies for Community Engagement. pp. 91–105. Springer Singapore, Singapore (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-4585-11-8_6.

74.

Leivas, M.: From the body to the city: participatory action research with social cartography

for transformative education and global citizenship. *Educational Action Research*. 1-16 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2018.1519453>.

75.

Amir, S.: Rethinking Design Policy in the Third World. *Design Issues*. 20, 68-75 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1162/0747936042311995>.

76.

Komarova, M., McKnight, M.: 'We Are Watching You Too': Reflections on Doing Visual Research in a Contested City. *Sociological Research Online*. 18, (2013). <https://doi.org/10.5153/sro.2877>.

77.

Rose, G.: Situating knowledges: positionality, reflexivities and other tactics. *Progress in Human Geography*. 21, 305-320 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1191/030913297673302122>.

78.

Flowerdew, R., Martin, D.: *Methods in human geography: a guide for students doing a research project*. Prentice Hall, Harlow (2005).

79.

Hamdi, N.: Chapter 12. Insiders Out and Outsiders: Practical wisdom and the co-Production of Knowledge. In: *The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice*. Routledge, New York, NY (2014).

80.

Mitlin, D., Bartlett, S.: Editorial: Co-production – key ideas. *Environment and Urbanization*. 30, 355-366 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247818791931>.

81.

Sarkissian, W. and Bunjamin-Mau, W. et al.: Designing and managing a workshop. In: SpeakOut: the step-by-step guide to SpeakOuts and community workshops. Earthscan, London (2009).

82.

Saba Golchehr: Data-driven design for civic participation : Introducing digital methods for on-going civic engagement for design in public space. 56-70.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315110332-5>.

83.

Burgess, J., Harrison, C.M., Limb, M.: People, Parks and the Urban Green: A Study of Popular Meanings and Values for Open Spaces in the City. *Urban Studies*. 25, 455-473 (1988). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00420988820080631>.

84.

We Drew What We Imagined: Participatory Mapping Performance, and Arts of Landscape Making, □□Sletto, B. I., (2009).

85.

Freire, P., Bergman Ramos, M.: *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Penguin Books, London (1996).

86.

Haraway, D.: Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective. *Feminist Studies*. 14, (1988). <https://doi.org/10.2307/3178066>.

87.

SARA KINDON: Participatory Action Research: Origins, approaches and methods. 35-44.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203933671-13>.

88.

Jeremy Till: Imperfect ethics. In: Architecture depends. pp. 171–187. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (2009).

89.

Miessen, M.: Collaboration and the conflictual, Published in The nightmare of participation - p. 91-104. Presented at the (2010).

90.

Cooke, B., Kothari, U.: The case for participation as tyranny. In: Participation: the new tyranny? pp. 1–15. Zed Books, London (2001).

91.

Mouffe, C.: Agonistics: thinking the world politically. Verso, London (2013).

92.

Kaminer, T.: Theories of participation, theories of contestation. In: The efficacy of architecture: political contestation and agency. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon (2017).

93.

Moser, C.O.N.: Community participation in urban projects in the Third World. Progress in Planning. 32, 71–133 (1989). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-9006\(89\)90010-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-9006(89)90010-X).

94.

Sandercock, L.: Re/representing Planning's Histories. In: Towards cosmopolis: planning for multicultural cities. pp. 33–54. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester (1998).

95.

Yacobi, H.: Social Exclusion, Housing Environment and Tolerant Planning: The Case of the Jahelin Bedouin Tribe. Hagar - International Social Science Review. 69-84. Hagar international social science review = Hājir. 5, 69–83 (2004).

96.

Abu-Orf, H.: Is planning possible in cities divided by violent conflict? *International Development Planning Review*. 33, 321–342 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.3828/idpr.2011.16>.

97.

Bower, R.: *Architecture and space re-imagined: learning from the difference, multiplicity, and otherness of development practice*. Routledge, New York (2017).

98.

Hamdi, N., Goethert, R.: Chapter 2. Action Planning In Theory. In: *Action planning for cities: a guide to community practice*. pp. 23–59. John Wiley, Chichester (1997).

99.

Hamdi, N.: Chapter 3. Deciding how to decide. In: *The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice*. Routledge, New York, NY (2014).

100.

Hamdi, N.: Chapter 6. In Search of a Community and Structure of Place. In: *Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities*. pp. 58–72. Earthscan, London (2004).

101.

Luck, R.: Learning to talk to users in participatory design situations. *Design Studies*. 28, 217–242 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2007.02.002>.

102.

Environment and Urbanization.

103.

Camillo Boano and William Hunter: Collaboration and the conflictual. In: *The nightmare of participation: [crossbench praxis as a mode of criticality]*. pp. 91–104. Sternberg Press, Berlin (2010).

104.

Hamdi, N.: Chapter 7. Participation in practice. In: *The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice*. Routledge, New York, NY (2014).

105.

Petrescu, D., Chiles, P.: Agency: alternative practices and alternative worlds. *Architectural Research Quarterly*. 13, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1359135509990194>.

106.

Hamdi, N.: Chapter 8. PEAS and the Sociable Side of Practice. In: *The placemaker's guide to building community*. pp. 141–152. Earthscan, London (2010).

107.

Sandercock, L., Attili, G.: Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 11, 23–45 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903538012>.

108.

Hamdi, N.: Chapter 9. Reasoning to Scale. In: *The placemaker's guide to building community*. pp. 160–153. Earthscan, London (2010).

109.

Hamdi, N.: Chapter 11. Governance and networks: organizing from inside out. In: *Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities*. pp. 107–115. Earthscan, London (2004).

110.

Environment and Urbanization.

111.

Mitlin, D., Thompson, J.: Participatory approaches in urban areas: strengthening civil society or reinforcing the status quo? *Environment and Urbanization*. 7, 231–250 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1177/095624789500700113>.

112.

Petrescu, D., Petcou, C.: Tactics for a Transgressive Practice. *Architectural Design*. 83, 58–65 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.1675>.

113.

Mitlin, D., Satterthwaite, D.: *Empowering squatter citizen: local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction*. Earthscan, London (2004).

114.

Cowan, R.: *Placecheck: a user's guide*. , (2001).

115.

Robinson, J.: White women researching/representing 'others': from antiapartheid to postcolonialism? In: *Writing women and space: colonial and postcolonial geographies*. pp. 197–228. Guilford Press, New York (1994).

116.

Foucault, M.: The eye of power. In: *Power/knowledge: selected interviews and other writings, 1972-1977*. pp. 146–165. Longman, Harlow (1980).

117.

Burgess, R., Carmona, M., Kolstee, T.: Contemporary policies for enablement and participation : a critical review. In: The challenge of sustainable cities: neoliberalism and urban strategies in developing countries. pp. 138–162. Zed, London (1997).

118.

D. M. Petrescu, K. Trogal: The social (re)production of Architecture in 'crisis-riddled' times. In: Petrescu, D. and Trogal, K. (eds.) The social (re)production of architecture: politics, values and actions in contemporary practice. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, London (2017). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315717180>.

119.

Jenkins, P., Smith, H., Wang, Y.P.: Post-1990 issues in planning and housing. In: Planning and housing in the rapidly urbanising world. pp. 178–203. Routledge, London (2007).

120.

Cooke, B., Kothari, U.: The case for participation as tyranny. In: Participation: the new tyranny? pp. 1–15. Zed Books, London (2001).

121.

Blunt, A., Dowling, R.M.: Setting up home: an introduction. In: Home. pp. 1–31. Routledge, London (2006).

122.

Lane, B.M.: What is home? In: Housing and dwelling: perspectives on modern domestic architecture. pp. 50–73. Routledge, Abingdon (2007).

123.

Coole, D.: Experiencing Discourse: Corporeal Communicators and the Embodiment of Power. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 9, 413–433 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2006.00258.x>.

124.

Kumar, S.: Conceptual specifications. In: *Methods for community participation: a complete guide for practitioners*. pp. 23–52. Practical Action Publishing, Rugby (2002).

125.

Hamdi, N.: *Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities*. Earthscan, London (2004).

126.

Sundberg, J.: Looking for the critical geographer, or why bodies and geographies matter to the emergence of critical geographies of Latin America. *Geoforum*. 36, 17–28 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2004.03.006>.

127.

Swyngedouw, E., Wilson, J.: Insurgent architects and the spectral return of the urban political. In: Metzger, J., Allmendinger, P., and Oosterlynck, S. (eds.) *Planning against the political: democratic deficits in European territorial governance*. pp. 215–225. Routledge, New York (2015).

128.

Kindon, S.L., Pain, R., Kesby, M.: Participatory action research: origins, approaches and methods. In: *Participatory action research approaches and methods: connecting people, participation and place*. pp. 9–18. Routledge, Abingdon (2007).

129.

Patel, S., Mitlin, D.: Grassroots-driven development: The alliance of SPARC, the National Slum Dwellers Federation and Mahila Milan. In: *Empowering squatter citizen: local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction*. pp. 216–241. Earthscan, London (2004).

130.

Wates, N.: General principles A-Z. In: *Community planning handbook : how people can*

shape their cities, towns and villages in any part of the world. pp. 11–21. Earthscan Publications Ltd, London (2000).

131.

Frediani, A.A., Boano, C.: Processes for just products: the capability space of participatory design. In: *The capability approach, technology and design*. pp. 203–222. Springer, Dordrecht (2012).

132.

Zetter, R.: Market enablement or sustainable development : the conflicting paradigms of urbanization. In: *Planning in cities: sustainability and growth in the developing world*. pp. 31–42. ITDG, London (2002).

133.

Cornwall, A., Coelho, V.S.P.: Spaces for change?: The politics of participation in new democratic arenas. In: *Spaces for change?: the politics of citizen participation in new democratic arenas*. pp. 1–29. Zed, London (2007).

134.

Glass, J.: Facing the Future by Designing in Resilience: An Architectural Perspective. In: *Hazards and the built environment: attaining built-in resilience*. pp. 172–188. Routledge, Abingdon (2008).

135.

Seymour, W.: Exhuming the Body: Revisiting the Role of the Visible Body in Ethnographic Research. *Qualitative Health Research*. 17, 1188–1197 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732307308517>.

136.

Ramirez, R.: Integrated Informality in the Barrios of Havana. In: *Rethinking the informal city: critical perspectives from Latin America*. pp. 137–162. Berghahn Books, New York (2010).

137.

Turner, J.F.C.: Issues in Self-Help and Self-Managed Housing. In: Self-help housing: a critique. pp. 99–113. Mansell, London (1982).

138.

Madanipour, A.: Introduction. In: Whose public space?: international case studies in urban design and development. pp. 1–15. Routledge, London (2010).

139.

Dovey, K.: Home and homelessness. In: Home environments. pp. 33–64. Plenum Press, New York (1985).

140.

Eyben, R., Harris, C., Pettit, J.: Introduction: Exploring Power for Change. IDS Bulletin. 37, 1–10 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1759-5436.2006.tb00318.x>.

141.

Till, J.: Imperfect ethics. In: Architecture depends. pp. 171–187. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (2009).

142.

Schuermans, N., Newton, C.: Being a young and foreign researcher in South Africa: Towards a postcolonial dialogue. Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography. 33, 295–300 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1111/sjtg.12005_4.

143.

Progress in Human Geography.