

# PSYC3201: Applied Decision-making

Dr Adam Harris

View Online



---

1.

Koehler, Derek J., Harvey, Nigel: Blackwell handbook of judgment and decision making. Wiley InterScience, Hoboken, NJ (2008).

2.

Hastie, Reid, Dawes, Robyn M.: Rational choice in an uncertain world: the psychology of judgment and decision making. Sage, Thousand Oaks, Calif (2001).

3.

Ray W. Cooksey: Judgment analysis. Academic Press, San Diego (1996).

4.

Gigerenzer, Gerd, Todd, Peter M., ABC Research Group: Simple heuristics that make us smart. Oxford University Press, New York (1999).

5.

Klein, G.A.: Decision making in action: models and methods. Ablex Pub, Norwood, N.J (1993).

6.

Kahneman, Daniel, Slovic, Paul, Tversky, Amos: Judgment under uncertainty: heuristics and biases. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1982).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511809477>.

7.

Klein, Gary A.: Sources of power: how people make decisions. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (1999). <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1v2xt08>.

8.

Montgomery, H., Lipshitz, R., Brehmer, B., Naturalistic Decision Making Conference: How professionals make decisions. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, N.J. (2005).

9.

John W. Payne: The adaptive decision maker. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139173933>.

10.

Simon, Herbert A.: Models of bounded rationality. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (1982).

11.

Harvey, Nigel: Thinking & reasoning: Volume 7, issue 1: Studying judgement : models and methods. Psychology Press, Basingstoke (2001).

12.

Hammond, K.R.: Computer Graphics as an Aid to Learning. Science. 172, 903–908 (1971). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.172.3986.903>.

13.

In one word: Not from experience %u2606. Acta Psychologica. [https://doi.org/0001-6918\(80\)90034-7](https://doi.org/0001-6918(80)90034-7).

14.

Harries, C., Harvey, N.: Taking advice, using information and knowing what you are doing. *Acta Psychologica*. 104, 399–416 (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-6918\(00\)00038-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-6918(00)00038-X).

15.

Kluger, A.N., DeNisi, A.: The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological Bulletin*. 119, 254–284 (1996).

16.

Harvey, N., Fischer, I.: Development of experience-based decision making: The role of outcome feedback. In: *The routines of decision making*. pp. 119–137. Psychology Press, New York (2008).

17.

Camerer, C.F., Hogarth, R.M.: The effects of financial incentives. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*. 19, 7–42 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007850605129>.

18.

Dawes, R., Faust, D., Meehl, P.: Clinical versus actuarial judgment. *Science*. 243, 1668–1674 (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2648573>.

19.

DECISION TECHNOLOGY - *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52(1):581, <http://www.annualreviews.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.581>.

20.

Lerner, Jennifer S.1,3: Accounting for the Effects of Accountability. *Psychological Bulletin*. 125, 255–275.

21.

Larrick, Rick: Debiasing. In: Blackwell handbook of judgment and decision making. Wiley InterScience, Hoboken, NJ (2008).

22.

Soll, Jack B.1: Strategies for Revising Judgment: How (and How Well) People Use Others' Opinions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*. 35, 780–805.

23.

Bonaccio, S., Dalal, R.S.: Advice taking and decision-making: An integrative literature review, and implications for the organizational sciences. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 101, 127–151 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2006.07.001>.

24.

Yaniv, I.: Receiving other people's advice: Influence and benefit. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 93, 1–13 (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2003.08.002>.

25.

Yaniv, I., Milyavsky, M.: Using advice from multiple sources to revise and improve judgments. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 103, 104–120 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2006.05.006>.

26.

Yaniv, I., Kleinberger, E.: Advice Taking in Decision Making: Egocentric Discounting and Reputation Formation. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 83, 260–281 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.2000.2909>.

27.

Ericsson & Lehman (1996),  
<http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/pdf/10.1146/annurev.psych.47.1.273>.

28.

Gobet, F., Simon, H.A.: Templates in Chess Memory: A Mechanism for Recalling Several Boards. *Cognitive Psychology*. 31, 1–40 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1006/cogp.1996.0011>.

29.

Schmidt, H.G., Boshuizen, H.P.A.: On the origin of intermediate effects in clinical case recall. *Memory & Cognition*. 21, 338–351 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03208266>.

30.

Schmidt, H.G., Boshuizen, H.P.A.: On acquiring expertise in medicine. *Educational Psychology Review*. 5, 205–221 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01323044>.

31.

Phillips, J.K.: Expertise in judgement and decision making: a case for training intuitive decision skills. In: *Blackwell handbook of judgment and decision making*. pp. 297–315. Wiley InterScience, Hoboken, NJ (2008).

32.

Chi, M.T.H., Glasner, R., Rees, E.: Expertise in problem solving. In: *Advances in the psychology of human intelligence*. pp. 7–75. L. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, N.J. (1982).

33.

Ericsson, K Anders, Krampe, Ralf Th. Tesch-Romer, Clemens: The Role of Deliberate Practice in the Acquisition of Expert Performance. *Psychological Review*. 100, 363–406.

34.

Harvey, N.: Why Are Judgments Less Consistent in Less Predictable Task Situations? *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 63, 247–263 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1995.1077>.

35.

Lawrence, M., Goodwin, P., O'Connor, M., Önköl, D.: Judgmental forecasting: A review of progress over the last 25 years. *International Journal of Forecasting*. 22, 493–518 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2006.03.007>.

36.

Reimers, S., Harvey, N.: Sensitivity to autocorrelation in judgmental time series forecasting. *International Journal of Forecasting*. 27, 1196–1214 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2010.08.004>.

37.

Harvey, N.: Use of heuristics: Insights from forecasting research. *Thinking & Reasoning*. 13, 5–24 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13546780600872502>.

38.

Harvey, N., Reimers, S.: Trend damping: Under-adjustment, experimental artifact, or adaptation to features of the natural environment? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*. 39, 589–607 (2013).

39.

Dijksterhuis, A.: On Making the Right Choice: The Deliberation-Without-Attention Effect. *Science*. 311, 1005–1007 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1121629>.

40.

Johansson, P.: Failure to Detect Mismatches Between Intention and Outcome in a Simple Decision Task. *Science*. 310, 116–119 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1111709>.

41.

Newell, B.R., Wong, K.Y., Cheung, J.C.H., Rakow, T.: Think, blink or sleep on it? The impact of modes of thought on complex decision making. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*. 62, 707–732 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17470210802215202>.

42.

Newell, B.R., Shanks, D.R.: Unconscious influences on decision making: A critical review. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 38, 1–19 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X12003214>.

43.

Petitmengin, C., Remillieux, A., Cahour, B., Carter-Thomas, S.: A gap in Nisbett and Wilson's findings? A first-person access to our cognitive processes. *Consciousness and Cognition*. 22, 654–669 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2013.02.004>.

44.

NieuwensteinEtAl\_2015.pdf.

45.

Bechara, A.: Deciding Advantageously Before Knowing the Advantageous Strategy. *Science*. 275, 1293–1295 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5304.1293>.

46.

Bechara, A., Damasio, H., Tranel, D., Damasio, A.R.: The Iowa Gambling Task and the somatic marker hypothesis: some questions and answers. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 9, 159–162 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.02.002>.

47.

Busemeyer, J.R., Stout, J.C.: A contribution of cognitive decision models to clinical assessment: Decomposing performance on the Bechara gambling task. *Psychological Assessment*. 14, 253–262 (2002).

48.

Damasio, Antonio R.: *Descartes' error: emotion, reason, and the human brain*. Vintage, London (2006).

49.

Dunn, B.D., Dalgleish, T., Lawrence, A.D.: The somatic marker hypothesis: A critical evaluation. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 30, 239–271 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2005.07.001>.

50.

Maia, T.V.: From the Cover: A reexamination of the evidence for the somatic marker hypothesis: What participants really know in the Iowa gambling task. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 101, 16075–16080 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0406666101>.

51.

SteingroeverEtAl2013PA.pdf.

52.

Hastie, Reid: *Inside the juror: the psychology of juror decision making*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge [England] (1992).

53.

Heller, Kevin Jon: *The Cognitive Psychology of Circumstantial Evidence*. *Michigan Law Review*. 105, 241–305.

54.

Order effects in belief updating: The belief-adjustment model. *Cognitive Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogpsych.2010.02.002>.

55.

Kassin, S.M., Sommers, S.R.: Inadmissible Testimony, Instructions to Disregard, and the Jury: Substantive Versus Procedural Considerations. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*. 23, 1046–1054 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672972310005>.

56.

Pennington, N., Hastie, R.: Explaining the evidence: Tests of the Story Model for juror decision making. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 62, 189–206 (1992).

57.

Baron, Jonathan<sup>1,2</sup>: Biases in the Quantitative Measurement of Values for Public Decisions. *Psychological Bulletin*. 122, 72–88.

58.

Jane Beattie, Judith Covey, Paul Dolan, Lorraine Hopkins, Michael Jones-Lee, Graham Loomes, Nick Pidgeon, Angela Robinson, Anne Spencer: On the contingent valuation of safety and the safety of contingent valuation. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*. 17, 5–26 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007711416843>.

59.

Skeem, J.L., Polaschek, D.L.L., Patrick, C.J., Lilienfeld, S.O.: Psychopathic Personality: Bridging the Gap Between Scientific Evidence and Public Policy. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*. 12, 95–162 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100611426706>.

60.

Parsons, D. W.: *Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham (1995).

61.

Mitchell, Robert Cameron, Carson, Richard T.: *Using surveys to value public goods: the contingent valuation method*. Resources for the future, Washington, D.C. (1989).

62.

Haskell, Robert E.: *Transfer of learning: cognition, instruction, and reasoning*. Academic Press, San Diego, Calif (2001).

63.

Slovic, Paul: The perception of risk. Earthscan, London (2000).

64.

Thaler, Richard H., Sunstein, Cass R.: Nudge: improving decisions about health, wealth and happiness. Penguin, London (2009).

65.

Michie, S., West, R.: Behaviour change theory and evidence: a presentation to Government. *Health Psychology Review*. 7, 1-22 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2011.649445>.

66.

Pidgeon, N, Gregory, R.: Judgment, decision making, and public policy. In: Blackwell handbook of judgment and decision making. pp. 547-566. Wiley InterScience, Hoboken, NJ (2008).

67.

Download the MINDSPACE report | mindspace online,  
<http://www.mindspace-online.org/?p=23>.

68.

Behavioural Insights Team annual update,  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/behavioural-insights-team-annual-update>.

69.

Ladouceur, R., Sévigny, S.: Structural Characteristics of Video Lotteries: Effects of a Stopping Device on Illusion of Control and Gambling Persistence. *Journal of Gambling Studies*. 21, 117-131 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10899-005-3028-5>.

70.

Matute, Vadillo, Blanco, & Musca (2007).,  
<http://necplusultra.comze.com/publis/MatuteVadilloBlancoMusca2007.pdf>.

71.

Ayton, P., Fischer, I.: The hot hand fallacy and the gambler's fallacy: Two faces of subjective randomness? *Memory & Cognition*. 32, 1369–1378 (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03206327>.

72.

Clark, L., Lawrence, A.J., Astley-Jones, F., Gray, N.: Gambling Near-Misses Enhance Motivation to Gamble and Recruit Win-Related Brain Circuitry. *Neuron*. 61, 481–490 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.031>.

73.

Delfabbro, P.: The Stubborn Logic of Regular Gamblers: Obstacles and Dilemmas in Cognitive Gambling Research. *Journal of Gambling Studies*. 20, 1–21 (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOGS.0000016701.17146.d0>.

74.

The hot hand in basketball: On the misperception of random sequences %u2606. *Cognitive Psychology*. [https://doi.org/0010-0285\(85\)90010-6](https://doi.org/0010-0285(85)90010-6).

75.

Griffiths, M.: Fruit machine gambling: The importance of structural characteristics. *Journal of Gambling Studies*. 9, 101–120 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01014863>.

76.

Griffiths, M.D.: The cognitive psychology of gambling. *Journal of Gambling Studies*. 6, 31–42 (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01015747>.

77.

Langer, E.J.: The illusion of control. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 32, 311–328 (1975).

78.

Garling, T., Kirchler, E., Lewis, A., van Raaij, F.: Psychology, Financial Decision Making, and Financial Crises. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*. 10, 1–47 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100610378437>.

79.

Barberis, N., Huang, M.: Mental Accounting, Loss Aversion, and Individual Stock Returns. *The Journal of Finance*. 56, 1247–1292 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00367>.

80.

Hirshleifer, D.: Investor Psychology and Asset Pricing. *The Journal of Finance*. 56, 1533–1597 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00379>.

81.

Shleifer, A., Vishny, R.W.: The Limits of Arbitrage. *The Journal of Finance*. 52, 35–55 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1997.tb03807.x>.

82.

Shafir, E.: Money Illusion.

83.

Muradoglu, G.: Behavioural finance: the role of psychological factors in financial decisions - ProQuest.

84.

Benartzi, S.: Myopic Loss Aversion and the Equity Premium Puzzle.

85.

Benartzi, Shlomo, Benartzi, s: Naive diversification strategies in defined contribution saving plans. *The American Economic Review*. 91, 79–98.

86.

Glaser, M, Noth, M., Weber, M.: Chapter. In: *Blackwell handbook of judgment and decision making*. Wiley InterScience, Hoboken, NJ (2008).

87.

Shefrin, H.: *Beyond greed and fear: understanding behavioral finance and the psychology of investing*. Oxford Scholarship Online, [Oxford] (2003).

88.

Konečni, Vladimir J., Ebbesen, Ebbe B.: *The Criminal justice system: a social-psychological approach*. Freeman, San Francisco (1982).

89.

DHAMI, M.K.: Lay Magistrates' Interpretations of 'Substantial Grounds' for Denying Bail. *The Howard Journal of Criminal Justice*. no-no (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2311.2010.00623.x>.

90.

Hucklesby, Anthea: *Bail or Jail - The Practical Operation of the Bail Act 1976*. 23, (1996).

91.

Brooks-Gordon, Belinda, Freeman, Michael D. A.: *Law and psychology*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2006).

92.

Association of American Medical Colleges: *Academic medicine*.

93.

Coderre, S., Mandin, H., Harasym, P.H., Fick, G.H.: Diagnostic reasoning strategies and diagnostic success. *Medical Education*. 37, 695–703 (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2003.01577.x>.

94.

Custers et al. (1996).

95.

Arthur S. Elstein and Alan Schwarz: Evidence Base Of Clinical Diagnosis: Clinical Problem Solving And Diagnostic Decision Making: Selective Review Of The Cognitive Literature. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*. 324, 729–732 (2002).

96.

A Kushniruk, V Patel, A Marley: Small worlds and medical expertise: implications for medical cognition and knowledge engineering. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 49, 255–271 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1386-5056\(98\)00044-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1386-5056(98)00044-6).

97.

Chapman, G.B.: The psychology of medical decision making. In: *Blackwell handbook of judgment and decision making*. pp. 585–603. Wiley InterScience, Hoboken, NJ (2008).

98.

Bettman, J.R., Luce, M.F., Payne, J.W.: Constructive Consumer Choice Processes. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 25, 187–217 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1086/209535>.

99.

Iyengar, S.S., Lepper, M.R.: When choice is demotivating: Can one desire too much of a good thing? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 79, 995–1006 (2000).

100.

Scheibehenne, B., Greifeneder, R., Todd, P.M.: Can There Ever Be Too Many Options? A Meta-Analytic Review of Choice Overload. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 37, 409–425 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1086/651235>.

101.

Reason-based choice %u2606. *Cognition*. [https://doi.org/0010-0277\(93\)90034-S](https://doi.org/0010-0277(93)90034-S).