

ARCLG107: Technology and Analysis of Archaeological Material: Marcos Martinon-Torres

[View Online](#)

1.

STRAND A - TECHNOLOGY WITHIN SOCIETY .

2.

Appadurai, Arjun. The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.

3.

Bentley RA, Maschner HDG, Chippindale C, editors. Handbook of archaeological theories [Internet]. Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press; 2008. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780759113602>

4.

Caple, Chris. Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203409060>

5.

Ewen, Charles Robin. Artifacts. Vol. Archaeologist's toolkit. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press; 2003.

6.

Hodder I, Wiley InterScience (Online service). Entangled: an archaeology of the relationships between humans and things [Internet]. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781118241912>

7.

Hurcombe, L. M. Archaeological artefacts as material culture. London: Routledge; 2007.

8.

Jones A. Archaeometry and materiality: materials-based analysis in theory and practice. Archaeometry. 2004;46(3):327–38.

9.

Kingery, W. D. Learning from things: method and theory of material culture studies. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1996.

10.

Latour, Bruno. Pandora's hope: essays on the reality of science studies. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1999.

11.

Lemonnier P. The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology [Internet]. 1986; Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0278416586900127>

12.

Martinón-Torres M, Killick D. Archaeological Theories and Archaeological Sciences. The Oxford Handbook of Archaeological Theory [Internet]. 2015; Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199567942.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199567942-e-004>

13.

Miller, Heather Margaret-Louise. Archaeological approaches to technology. Amsterdam: Elsevier/Academic Press; 2007.

14.

Nanoglou S. Qualities of Humanness: Material Aspects of Greek Neolithic Anthropomorphic Imagery. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2008;13(3):311–34.

15.

Orton C, Hughes M. Pottery in archaeology. 2nd ed. Vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

16.

Olsen, Bjørnar. In defense of things: archaeology and the ontology of objects. Vol. Archaeology in society series. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2010.

17.

Schick KD, Toth NP. Making silent stones speak: human evolution and the dawn of technology. London: Phoenix; 1995.

18.

Schiffer, Michael B., Miller, Andrea R. The material life of human beings: artifacts, behavior, and communication. London: Routledge; 1999.

19.

Sigaut F. Technology. In: Companion encyclopedia of anthropology [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–59. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=80c47916-5436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

20.

Tilley, Christopher Y. Handbook of material culture [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2006.

Available from:

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781446206430>

21.

Thornton C. Archaeometallurgy. In: Metals and societies: studies in honour of Barbara S Ottaway. Bonn: R. Habelt; 2009. p. 25–33.

22.

Boivin, Nicole. Material cultures, material minds: the impact of things on human thought, society, and evolution. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2008.

23.

Conneller C. An archaeology of materials: substantial transformations in early prehistoric Europe. Vol. Routledge studies in archaeology. New York: Routledge; 2011.

24.

Dant, Tim. Material culture in the social world: values, activities, lifestyles. Buckingham: Open University Press; 1999.

25.

Donald M, Hurcombe LM. Gender and material culture in historical perspective. Vol. Studies in gender and material culture. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 2000.

26.

Henare, Amiria J. M., Holbraad, Martin, Wastell, Sari. Thinking through things: theorising artefacts ethnographically [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2007. Available from:

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781446206430>

w/S9780203088791

27.

Hallam E, Ingold T, editors. Making and growing: anthropological studies of organisms and artefacts. Vol. Anthropological studies of creativity and perception. Farnham, Surrey, England: Ashgate; 2014.

28.

Lubar, Steven D., Kingery, W. D. History from things: essays on material culture. Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1993.

29.

Meskel, Lynn. Archaeologies of materiality. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2006.

30.

Miller, Daniel. Materiality. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 2005.

31.

Olsen B. In defense of things: archaeology and the ontology of objects. Vol. Archaeology in society series. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2010.

32.

Andrefsky, William. Lithics: macroscopic approaches to analysis. 2nd ed. Vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005.

33.

Henderson, Julian. The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: Routledge; 2000.

34.

Orton, Clive, Hughes, Mike. Pottery in archaeology. 2nd ed. Vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

35.

Rice, Prudence M. Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1987.

36.

Schick, Kathy Diane, Toth, Nicholas Patrick. Making silent stones speak: human evolution and the dawn of technology. London: Phoenix; 1993.

37.

Ingold T. Materials against materiality. Archaeological Dialogues. 2007;14(01).

38.

Kopytoff I. The cultural biology of things: commoditization as a process. In: The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 64–94. Available from:
<http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/pageviewer-idx?c=acls;cc=acls;rgn=full%20text;idno=heb32141.0001.001;didno=heb32141.0001.001;node=heb32141.0001.001%3A5.2;view=image;seq=00000079>

39.

Meskell, Lynn. Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present. Vol. Materializing culture. Oxford: Berg; 2004.

40.

Meskell L. Introduction, object orientations. In: Archaeologies of materiality. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2006. p. 1–17.

41.

Olsen, Bjørnar. In defense of things: archaeology and the ontology of objects. Vol. Archaeology in society series. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2010.

42.

Adams WY. Archaeological classification: theory versus practice. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1988;56(234):40–56. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293842480?accountid=14511>

43.

Brian Hayden. Are Emic Types Relevant to Archaeology? *Ethnohistory* [Internet]. 1984;31(2):79–92. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/482057>

44.

Sørensen M. 'Paradigm lost' - on the state of typology within archaeological theory. In: *Paradigm found: archaeological theory present, past and future : essays in honour of Even Neustupn*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2015. p. 84–94.

45.

Adams, William Yewdale, Adams, Ernest W. Archaeological typology and practical reality: a dialectical approach to artifact classification and sorting. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991.

46.

Barrett JC. Bronze Age pottery and the problem of classification. In: *Papers on the prehistoric archaeology of Cranborne Chase* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow; 1991. p. 201–30. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=57e50199-1364-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

47.

Biers, William R. Art, artefacts, and chronology in classical archaeology. Vol. Approaching the ancient world. London: Routledge; 1992.

48.

Lewis R. Binford. Archaeology as Anthropology. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1962;28(2):217–25. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/278380>

49.

Binford LR. Archaeological perspectives. In: *New perspectives in archaeology* [Internet]. Chicago: Aldine; 1968. p. 155–86. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=2168a4ec-4d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

50.

Michael S. Bisson. Nineteenth Century Tools for Twenty-First Century Archaeology? Why the Middle Paleolithic Typology of François Bordes Must Be Replaced. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 2000;7(1):1–48. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177411?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

51.

Buck, Caitlin E., Millard, Andrew. Tools for constructing chronologies: crossing disciplinary boundaries. Vol. *Lecture notes in statistics*. London: Springer; 2004.

52.

Carver MOH. Theory and practice in urban pottery seriation. *Journal of Archaeological Science* [Internet]. 1985;12:353–66. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0305440385900640>

53.

Chapman WR. Arranging ethnology: A.H.L.F. Pitt Rivers and the typological tradition. In: *Objects and others: essays on museums and material culture* [Internet]. Madison, Wis: University of Wisconsin Press; 1985. p. 15–48. Available from: <https://muse.jhu.edu/books/9780299103231/9780299103231-3.pdf>

54.

Clarke DL, Chapman B. *Analytical archaeology*. 2nd ed. London: Methuen; 1978.

55.

Cumberpatch CG. Towards a phenomenological approach to medieval pottery. In: *Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow; 1997. p. 125–52. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=039ae9df-8f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

56.

Dunnell RC. Methodological issues in Americanist artifact classification. *Advances in archaeological method and theory* [Internet]. 1986;9:149–207. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20210077>

57.

Fish PR. Consistency in Archaeological Measurement and Classification: A Pilot Study. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1978;43(1):86–9. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/279635>

58.

Gräslund, Bo. *The birth of prehistoric chronology: dating methods and dating systems in nineteenth-century Scandinavian archaeology*. Vol. *New studies in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

59.

Ian Hodder. The Narrative and Rhetoric of Material Culture Sequences. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 1993;25(2):268–82. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/124819?&Search=yes&searchText=narrative&searchText=sequences&searchText=rhetoric&searchText=culture&searchText=material&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253DThe%252Bnarrative%252Band%252Brhetoric%252Bof%252Bmaterial%252Bculture%252Bsequences%2526Search%253DSearch%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff%2526globalSearch%253D%2526sbbBox%253D%2526sbjBox%253D%2526sbpBox%253D&prevSearch=&item=3&ttl=3322&returnArticleService=showFullText>

60.

Kempton, Willett. The folk classification of ceramics: a study of cognitive prototypes. Vol. Language, thought, and culture. New York: Academic Press; 1981.

61.

Kleĭn LS. Archaeological typology. Vol. BAR international series. Oxford: B.A.R.; 1982.

62.

Margolis, Eric, Laurence, Stephen. Creations of the mind: theories of artifacts and their representation. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007.

63.

Miller D. Artefacts as products of human categorisation processes. In: Symbolic and structural archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 17-25.

64.

Miller, Daniel. Artefacts as categories: a study of ceramic variability in central India. Vol. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.

65.

Montelius O, Lindqvist S. Die älteren Kulturperioden im Orient und in Europa I. Stockholm: Selbstverlag des verfassers; 1903.

66.

Stephen Plog. Analysis of Style in Artifacts. Annual Review of Anthropology [Internet]. 1983;12:125-42. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2155643>

67.

Stephen Plog and Jeffrey L. Hantman. Chronology Construction and the Study of Prehistoric Culture Change. *Journal of Field Archaeology* [Internet]. 1990;17(4):439-56. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/530005>

68.

Read, Dwight W. *Artifact classification: a conceptual and methodological approach*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007.

69.

Prudence M. Rice. Rethinking the Ware Concept. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1976;41(4):538-43. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/279024>

70.

Rowley-Conwy P. *From Genesis to prehistory: the archaeological Three Age System and its contested reception in Denmark, Britain, and Ireland*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007.

71.

Sackett J. Approaches to style in lithic archaeology. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* [Internet]. 1982;59-112. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0278416582900083>

72.

Schnapp A. Between antiquarians and archaeologists--continuities and ruptures. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2002;76(291):134-40. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217579824?accountid=14511>

73.

Wendrich W. *The World According to Basketry* [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/6n42w0rg>

74.

Wheat JB. Ceramic classification: Bradfield and Shepard, types and varieties. In: The ceramic legacy of Anna O Shepard. Niwot, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 1991. p. 121-31.

75.

White JP, Thomas DH. What mean these stones? Ethnotaxonomic models and archaeological interpretations in the New Guinea Highlands. In: Models in archaeology [Internet]. London: Methuen; 1972. p. 275-308. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=8ad5028d-5636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

76.

Widemann F. Why is archaeometry so boring for archaeologists? In: Archaeological ceramics: papers presented at a seminar on Ceramics as Archaeological Material held at the Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1982. p. 29-36.

77.

Wylie A. The typology debate. In: Thinking from things: essays in the philosophy of archaeology. Berkeley, Calif: University of California Press; 2002. p. 42-56.

78.

Benco NL. Worked bone tools: Linking metal artisans and animal processors in medieval Islamic Morocco. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2002;76(292):447-57. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217562124?accountid=14511>

79.

Schlanger N. The Chaîne Opératoire. In: Archaeology: the key concepts [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2005. p. 14-8. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/readonline/9780203491096/startPage/15>

80.

SILLAR B, TITE MS. THE CHALLENGE OF 'TECHNOLOGICAL CHOICES' FOR MATERIALS SCIENCE APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY. *Archaeometry*. 2000;42(1):2–20.

81.

Collins MB. Lithic technology as a means of processual inference. In: *Lithic technology: making and using stone tools*. The Hague: Mouton; 1975. p. 15–34.

82.

Françoise Audouze. Leroi-Gourhan, a Philosopher of Technique and Evolution. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. 2002;10(4):277–306. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/41053189>

83.

Bar-Yosef O, Van Peer P. The Chaîne Operatoire Approach in Middle Paleolithic Archaeology. *Current Anthropology*. 2009 Feb;50(1):103–31.

84.

Binford RL. Hunters in a landscape. In: *In pursuit of the past: decoding the archaeological record* [Internet]. [London]: Thames and Hudson; 1983. p. 109–43. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=1905bffa-5736-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

85.

Chanteller C. Lithic technology and the Chaîne Opératoire. In: *Prehistoric Britain*. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2008. p. 160–76.

86.

Crabtree DE. Comments of lithic technology and experimental archaeology. In: *Lithic technology: making and using stone tools*. The Hague: Mouton; 1975. p. 105–13.

87.

David N, Kramer C. Studying artifacts: functions, operating sequences, taxonomy. In:

Ethnoarchaeology in action. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. p. 138-67.

88.

Elizabeth DeMarrais, Luis Jaime Castillo and Timothy Earle. Ideology, Materialization, and Power Strategies. *Current Anthropology* [Internet]. 1996;37(1):15-31. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2744153>

89.

Dobres MA. Technology's links and chaînes : the processual unfolding of technique and technician. In: *The social dynamics of technology: practice, politics, and world views*. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1999. p. 124-46.

90.

Chris Gosden and Yvonne Marshall. The Cultural Biography of Objects. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 1999;31(2):169-78. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/125055>

91.

Holtorf C. Notes on the Life History of a Pot Sherd. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2002;7(1):49-71.

92.

Hoskins, Janet. *Biographical objects: how things tell the stories of people's lives*. New York: Routledge; 1998.

93.

Hurcombe L. Plant processing for cordage and textiles using serrated flint edges: new chaînes opératoires suggested by ethnographic, archaeological and experimental evidence for bast fibre processing. In: *Plant processing from a prehistoric and ethnographic perspective =: Préhistoire et ethnographie du travail des plantes : proceedings of a workshop at Ghent University (Belgium) November 28, 2006*. Oxford: John & Erica Hedges; 2007. p. 41-66.

94.

Ingold T. 'Tools for the Hand, Language for the Face': An Appreciation of Leroi-Gourhan's Gesture and Speech. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*. 1999;30(4):411–53.

95.

Jeffra CD. Experimental approaches to archaeological ceramics: unifying disparate methodologies with the chaîne opératoire. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences*. 2015 Mar;7(1):141–9.

96.

Jennings J, Antrobus KL, Atencio SJ, Glavich E, Johnson R, Loffler G, et al. "Drinking Beer in a Blissful Mood" Alcohol Production, Operational Chains, and Feasting in the Ancient World. *Current Anthropology*. 2005;46(2):275–303.

97.

Lemonnier, Pierre. Elements for an anthropology of technology. Vol. Anthropological papers / Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan. Ann Arbor, Mich: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1992.

98.

Lemonnier, Pierre. Technological choices: transformation in material cultures since the Neolithic. Vol. Material cultures. London: Routledge; 1993.

99.

Lucas G. Case study: the life and times of a Roman jar. In: *The archaeology of time* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2005. p. 95–113. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45056.pdf

100.

Meskell, Lynn. Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present. Vol. Materializing culture. Oxford: Berg; 2004.

101.

Rye, Owen S. Pottery technology: principles and reconstruction. Vol. Manuals on archeology. Washington, D.C.: Taraxacum; 1981.

102.

Shanks M. The life of an artefact in an interpretive archaeology [Internet]. Available from: <http://documents.stanford.edu/michaelshanks/229>

103.

Schiffer MB. Behavioral chain analysis: activities, organization and the use of space. In: Behavioral archaeology: first principles. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 103–74.

104.

Schlanger N. Mindful technology: unleashing the chaîne opératoire for an archaeology of mind. In: The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 143–51.

105.

Schlanger N, Silclair A. Technology in the Humanities. Archaeological review from Cambridge. 1990;9(1):3–167.

106.

Skibo JM, Schiffer MB. Understanding artifact variability and change: a behavioral framework. In: Anthropological perspectives on technology. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 2001. p. 139–49.

107.

Vidale M. Operational sequences beyond linearity. In: Papers from the EAA Third Annual Meeting at Ravenna 1997 [Internet]. Oxford: Archaeopress; 1998. p. 179–84. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45067.pdf

108.

Rapp, George Robert. Archaeomineralogy. 2nd ed. Vol. Natural science in archaeology. Berlin: Springer; 2009.

109.

Ashurst, John, Dimes, Francis G., Honeyborne, D. B. Conservation of building and decorative stone: Vol. 1. Vol. Butterworths series in conservation and museology. London: Butterworths; 1990.

110.

Bachmann, H. G. The identification of slags from archaeological sites. Vol. Occasional publication. London: Institute of Archaeology; 1982.

111.

Bayley J, Dungworth D, Paynter S. Centre for Archaeology Guidelines: Archaeometallurgy [Internet]. English Heritage; 2001. Available from: <http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications>

112.

Leo Biek and Justine Bayley. Glass and other Vitreous Materials. World Archaeology [Internet]. 1979;11(1):1–25. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124331>

113.

Freestone, Ian, Gaimster, David R. M. Pottery in the making: world ceramic traditions. London: British Museum Press; 1997.

114.

Gleba, Margarita. Textile production in pre-Roman Italy. Vol. Ancient textiles series. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2008.

115.

Hurcombe, L. M. Archaeological artefacts as material culture. London: Routledge; 2007.

116.

Orton, Clive, Hughes, Mike. Pottery in archaeology. 2nd ed. Vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

117.

Paynter S, Dungworth D. Archaeological Evidence for Glassworking [Internet]. English Heritage; 2011. Available from:
<http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications/glassworkingguidelines/glassworking-guidelines.pdf>

118.

Thomson, Roy, Mould, Quita. Leather tanneries: the archaeological evidence. London: Archetype; 2011.

119.

Tomber, R., Dore, John, English Heritage, British Museum, National Roman Fabric Reference Collection, Museum of London. The National Roman Fabric Reference Collection: a handbook. Vol. MoLAS monograph. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service; 1998.

120.

BGS Rock Classification Scheme - British Geological Survey [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.bgs.ac.uk/bgsrscs/>

121.

Archaeological Geology of Ancient Egypt [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.eeescience.utoledo.edu/Faculty/Harrell/Egypt/AGRHome.html>

122.

Utilitarian Stones [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<http://escholarship.org/uc/item/77t294df#page-1>

123.

Building Stones [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<http://escholarship.org/uc/item/3fd124g0?query=building%20stones#page-1>

124.

Pigments through the Ages - detailed pigment histories, recipes [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.webexhibits.org/pigments/>

125.

Mineralogy Database - Mineral Collecting, Localities, Mineral Photos and Data [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.mindat.org/>

126.

Freestone I. Chapter 4: Pliny on Roman glassmaking. In: Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 77–100. Available from:
<http://www.UCL.eblib.com/patron/Read.aspx?p=677776&pg=1>

127.

Freestone I, Huges M, Stapleton C. The composition and production of Anglo-Saxon glass. In: Catalogue of Anglo-Saxon glass in the British Museum [Internet]. London: British Museum; 2008. p. 29–46. Available from:
http://www.britishmuseum.org/research/publications/research_publications_series/2008/catalogue_of_anglo_saxon_glass.aspx

128.

Paynter S, Dungworth D. Archaeological Evidence for Glassworking [Internet]. English Heritage; 2011. Available from: <http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications/glassworkingguidelines/glassworking-guidelines.pdf>

129.

Price, J., Cottam, Sally. Romano-British glass vessels: a handbook. Vol. Practical handbooks in archaeology. York: Council for British Archaeology; 1998.

130.

Strand EA, Frei KM, Gleba M, Mannering U, Nosch ML, Skals I. Old Textiles -- New Possibilities. *European Journal of Archaeology*. 2010;13(2):149–73.

131.

Barber, E. J. W. Prehistoric textiles: the development of cloth in the Neolithic and Bronze Ages with special reference to the Aegean. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1991.

132.

Jørgensen, Lise Bender. North European textiles until AD 1000. Aarhus: Aarhus University Press; 1992.

133.

Gleba, Margarita, Mannering, Ulla. Textiles & textile production in Europe: from prehistory to AD 400. Vol. Ancient textiles series. Oxford: Oxbow; 2012.

134.

Harris S. Smooth and cool or warm and soft, investigating the properties of cloth in prehistory. In: North European Symposium for Archaeological Textiles X [Internet]. Oxford:

Oxbow Books; 2009. p. 112–40. Available from:

http://www.academia.edu/203730/Smooth_and_cool_or_warm_and_soft_investigating_the_properties_of_cloth_in_prehistory._In_E._Andersson_Strand_M._Gleba_U._Mannering_C._Munkholt_M._Ringgaard_eds._North_European_Symposium_for_Archaeological_Textiles_X._Oxford_Oxbow_Books_Ancient_Textiles_Series_5_pp._140-112

135.

Technological examination of Neolithic-Bronze Age pottery from central and southeast Europe and from the Near East. *Journal of Archaeological Science* [Internet]. 1981;

Available from:

<http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0305440381900121>

136.

Orton, Clive, Hughes, Mike. *Pottery in archaeology*. 2nd ed. Vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

137.

Quinn, Patrick S. *Ceramic petrography: the interpretation of archaeological pottery & related artefacts in thin section*. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2013.

138.

Bachmann, H. G. *The identification of slags from archaeological sites*. Vol. Occasional publication. London: Institute of Archaeology; 1982.

139.

Bayley J, Dungworth D, Paynter S. *Centre for Archaeology Guidelines: Archaeometallurgy* [Internet]. English Heritage; 2001. Available from:

<http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications>

140.

Bayley J, Crossley DW, Ponting M, Historical Metallurgy Society. *Metals and metalworking: a research framework for archaeometallurgy*. Vol. Occasional publication / Historical Metallurgy Society. London: Historical Metallurgy Society; 2008.

141.

Craddock PT. Early metal mining and production. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1995.

142.

Roberts BW, Thornton CP, editors. Archaeometallurgy in global perspective: methods and syntheses [Internet]. New York: Springer; 2014. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781461490173>

143.

Andrefsky, William. Lithics: macroscopic approaches to analysis. 2nd ed. Vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005.

144.

Mesoudi A. Cultural Evolution: How Darwinian Theory Can Explain Human Culture and Synthesize the Social Sciences. University of Chicago Press; 2011.

145.

Schick, Kathy Diane, Toth, Nicholas Patrick. Making silent stones speak: human evolution and the dawn of technology. London: Phoenix; 1993.

146.

Whittaker, John C. Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994.

147.

Eerkens JW, Lipo CP. Cultural transmission, copying errors, and the generation of variation in material culture and the archaeological record. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology.

2005;24(4):316-34.

148.

Brian Hayden. Practical and Prestige Technologies: The Evolution of Material Systems. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1998;5(1):1-55. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177377>

149.

Martinón-Torres M, Uribe-Villegas MA. Technology and Culture in the Invention of Lost-wax Casting in South America: an Archaeometric and Ethnoarchaeological Perspective. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2015 Feb;25(01):377-90.

150.

Bailey GN. Concepts, time-scales and explanations in economic prehistory. In: *Economic archaeology: towards an integration of ecological and social approaches* [Internet]. Oxford: B.A.R.; 1981. p. 97-117. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45090.pdf

151.

Barnett, William, Hoopes, John W. The emergence of pottery: technology and innovation in ancient societies. Vol. *Smithsonian series in archaeological inquiry*. Washington [D.C.]: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1995.

152.

Basalla, George. The evolution of technology. Vol. *Cambridge history of science*. Cambridge University Press; 1988.

153.

Bayley J. Innovation in later medieval urban metalworking. *Historical metallurgy: journal of the Historical Metallurgy Society* [Internet]. 1996;30:67-71. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45091.pdf

154.

Blackman MJ, Stein GJ, Vandiver PB. The Standardization Hypothesis and Ceramic Mass Production: Technological, Compositional, and Metric Indexes of Craft Specialization at Tell Leilan, Syria. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1993;58(1):60–80. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/281454>

155.

Charlton MF, Crew P, Rehren T, Shennan SJ. Explaining the evolution of ironmaking recipes – An example from northwest Wales. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2010;29(3):352–67.

156.

Costin C. The impact of the Inca conquest on local technology in the Upper Mantaro Valley, Peru. In: *What's new?: a closer look at the process of innovation*. London: Unwin Hyman; 1989. p. 107–39.

157.

Crossley D. The English glassmaker and his search for materials in the 16th and 17th centuries. In: *The prehistory & history of glassmaking technology* [Internet]. Westerville, OH: American Ceramic Society; 1998. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=5e208207-d864-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

158.

Fitzhugh B. Risk and Invention in Human Technological Evolution. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2001;20(2):125–67.

159.

Brian Hayden. Practical and Prestige Technologies: The Evolution of Material Systems. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1998;5(1):1–55. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177377>

160.

Henderson et al. J. Experiment and innovation: early Islamic industry at al-Raqqa, Syria. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2005;79:130–45. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu&AN=505112931&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

161.

Humphris J, Martín-Torres M, Rehren T, Reid A. Variability in single smelting episodes – a pilot study using iron slag from Uganda. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2009;36(2):359–69.

162.

Knecht H. The role of innovation in changing early upper paleolithic organic projectile technologies - ResearchGate. *Techniques et Culture* [Internet]. 1991;115–44. Available from: http://www.researchgate.net/publication/30453447_The_role_of_innovation_in_changing_early_upper_paleolithic_organic_projectile_technologies

163.

Lechtman H. Andean value systems and the development of prehistoric metallurgy. *Technology and culture* [Internet]. 1984;25:1–36. Available from: <http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/tech/>

164.

Lesick, Kurtis, University of Calgary. *Eureka: the archaeology of innovation & science : proceedings of the twenty-ninth annual conference of the Archaeological Association of the University of Calgary*. Calgary: Archaeological Association of the University of Calgary; 2002.

165.

Loney HL. Society and Technological Control: A Critical Review of Models of Technological Change in Ceramic Studies. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 2000;65(4):646–68. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694420>

166.

Martinón-Torres M. Inside Solomon's House: An Archaeological Study of the Old Ashmolean Chymical Laboratory in Oxford*. *Ambix*. 2012;59(1):22–48.

167.

Martinon-Torres M, Rehren T. Post-medieval crucible production and distribution: a study of materials and materialities. *Archaeometry* [Internet]. 2009;51(1):49–74. Available from: http://www.ucl.ac.uk/archaeology/people/staff/rehren/usercontent_profile/MMTRehrenMaterialityCruciblesAmetry51.pdf

168.

Mellars P. Technological changes across the Middle-upper Palaeolithic transition: economic, social and cognitive perspectives. In: *The Human revolution: behavioural and biological perspectives on the origins of modern humans* [Internet]. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1989. p. 338–65. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=697b38f3-ba64-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

169.

Moorey PR. The mobility of artisans and opportunities for technology transfer between Western Asia and Egypt in the Late Bronze Age. In: *The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC : proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000*. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001. p. 1–14.

170.

Margaret C. Nelson. The Study of Technological Organization. *Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1991;3:57–100. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20170213>

171.

Michael J. O'Brien, Thomas D. Holland, Robert J. Hoard and Gregory L. Fox. Evolutionary Implications of Design and Performance Characteristics of Prehistoric Pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1994;1(3):259–304. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177313>

172.

Raymond, Robert. Out of the fiery furnace: the impact of metals on the history of mankind. University Park: Pennsylvania State UP; 1986.

173.

Rehder JE. Blowpipes versus bellows in ancient metallurgy. Journal of Field Archaeology [Internet]. 1994;21(3). Available from: <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/maney/jfa/1994/00000021/00000003/art00005>

174.

Rehren T, Martinon-Torres M. Chapter 9: Naturam ars imitata: European brassmaking between craft and science. In: Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 167–88. Available from: <http://www.UCL.ebib.com/patron/Read.aspx?p=677776&pg=1>

175.

Rehren T, Pusch E, Herold A. Glass coloring works within a copper-centered industrial complex in Late Bronze Age Egypt. In: The prehistory & history of glassmaking technology [Internet]. Westerville, OH: American Ceramic Society; 1998. p. 227–50. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/1082340/Glass_coloring_works_within_a_copper-centered_industrial_complex_in_Late_Bronze_Age_Egypt

176.

Roberts BW, Radivojević M. Invention as a Process: Pyrotechnologies in Early Societies. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 2015 Feb;25(01):299–306.

177.

Roux V. Ceramic Standardization and Intensity of Production: Quantifying Degrees of Specialization. American Antiquity [Internet]. 2003;68(4):768–82. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3557072>

178.

Roux V. Technological innovations and developmental trajectories: social factors as

evolutionary forces. In: Innovation in cultural systems: contributions from evolutionary anthropology. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2010. p. 217–34.

179.

Schiffer MB. The explanation of long-term technological change. In: Anthropological perspectives on technology. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 2001. p. 215–35.

180.

Michael Brian Schiffer. The Devil Is in the Details: The Cascade Model of Invention Processes. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 2005;70(3):485–502. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40035310>

181.

Schiffer, Michael B. Studying technological change: a behavioral approach. Vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2011.

182.

S. J. Shennan and J. R. Wilkinson. Ceramic Style Change and Neutral Evolution: A Case Study from Neolithic Europe. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 2001;66(4):577–93. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694174>

183.

Shennan, Stephen. Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution. London: Thames & Hudson; 2002.

184.

Shennan S. Long-term trajectories of technological change. In: Richerson PJ, Christiansen MH, editors. Cultural evolution: Society, technology, language and religion. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press; 2013. p. 143–55.

185.

Shortland AJ. Hopeful monsters? Invention and innovation in the archaeological record. In: *Invention and innovation: the social context of technological change, 2: Egypt, the Aegean and the Near East, 1650-1150 BC* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2004. p. 1-11.

Available from:

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=466f3fbb-b764-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

186.

Torrence, Robin, Leeuw, Sander Ernst van der. *What's new?: a closer look at the process of innovation. Vol. One world archaeology.* London: Unwin Hyman; 1989.

187.

Van der Leeuw SE, Papousek DA, Coudart A. Technical traditions and unquestioned assumptions : the case of pottery in Michoacan. *Techniques & culture.* 1992;(17-18).

188.

David Wengrow. The Evolution of Simplicity: Aesthetic Labour and Social Change in the Neolithic Near East. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 2001;33(2):168-88. Available from:

<http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/827897>

189.

Cathy Lynne Costin. Craft Specialization: Issues in Defining, Documenting, and Explaining the Organization of Production. *Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1991;3:1-56.

Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20170212>

190.

Peacock DP. Towards a model for Roman pottery studies. In: *Pottery in the Roman world: an ethnoarchaeological approach.* London: Longman; 1982. p. 6-11.

191.

Katherine A. Spielmann. Feasting, Craft Specialization, and the Ritual Mode of Production in Small-Scale Societies. *American Anthropologist* [Internet]. 2002;104(1):195-207.

Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/683770>

192.

M. James Blackman, Gil J. Stein and Pamela B. Vandiver. The Standardization Hypothesis and Ceramic Mass Production: Technological, Compositional, and Metric Indexes of Craft Specialization at Tell Leilan, Syria. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1993;58(1):60–80. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/281454>

193.

Burri E. Production and use: temper as a marker of domestic production in the case of two middle Neolithic villages in Concise. In: *Archaeometric and archaeological approaches to ceramics: papers presented at EMAC '05, 8th European meeting on ancient ceramics*, Lyon 2005. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2007. p. 33–9.

194.

Castanzo RA. Ceramics on the Side: Pottery Making as an Augmentation of Household Economy in the Valley of Puebla during the Formative Period. *Archeological Papers of the American Anthropological Association*. 2009;19(1):133–47.

195.

Cathy Lynne Costin. The Use of Ethnoarchaeology for the Archaeological Study of Ceramic Production. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 2000;7(4):377–403. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177427>

196.

Freestone I. Glass production in Late Antiquity and the Early Islamic period : a geochemical perspective. In: *Geomaterials in cultural heritage* [Internet]. London: The Geological Society; 2006. p. 201–16. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=51291be6-8d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

197.

Freestone I. Pliny on Roman glassmaking. In: *Archaeology, history and science: integrating*

approaches to ancient materials [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 77–100. Available from: <http://www.ucl.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=677776>

198.

Freestone I, Price J, Cartwright C. The batch: its recognition and significance. In: Annales du 17e Congrès de l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre, Anvers, 2006 =: Annales of the 17th Congress of the International Association for the History of Glass, 2006, Antwerp [Internet]. Antwerp: University Press Antwerp; 2009. p. 130–5. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/3122507/The_Batch_and_its_Significance

199.

Freestone, Ian, Gaimster, David R. M. Pottery in the making: world ceramic traditions. London: British Museum Press; 1997.

200.

Haines HR, Feinman GM, Nicholas LM. HOUSEHOLD ECONOMIC SPECIALIZATION AND SOCIAL DIFFERENTIATION: The stone-tool assemblage at El Palmillo, Oaxaca. *Ancient Mesoamerica*. 2004;15(02):251–66.

201.

Henderson J, McLoughlin SD, McPhail DS. Radical changes in Islamic glass technology: evidence for conservatism and experimentation with new glass recipes from early and middle Islamic Raqqa, Syria. *Archaeometry*. 2004;46(3):439–68.

202.

Henderson et al. J. Experiment and innovation: early Islamic industry at al-Raqqa, Syria. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2005;79:130–45. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu&AN=505112931&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

203.

Hodder, Ian, McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research. Changing materialities at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995-99 seasons. Vol. McDonald Institute monographs.

Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2005.

204.

Humphris J, Martínón-Torres M, Rehren T, Reid A. Variability in single smelting episodes – a pilot study using iron slag from Uganda. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2009;36(2):359–69.

205.

Li XJ. Crossbows and imperial craft organisation: the bronze triggers of China's Terracotta Army. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2014;88(339):126–40. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu&AN=94949342&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

206.

Martínón-Torres M, Li XJ, Bevan A, Xia Y, Zhao K, Rehren T. Forty Thousand Arms for a Single Emperor: From Chemical Data to the Labor Organization Behind the Bronze Arrows of the Terracotta Army. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 2014 Sep;21(3):534–62.

207.

Peacock, D. P. S., University of Southampton. *Rome in the desert: a symbol of power*. Southampton: University of Southampton; 1992.

208.

Poblome J, Degryse P, Viaene W, Ottenburgs R, Waelkens M, Degeest R, et al. The Concept of a Pottery Production Centre. An Archaeometrical Contribution from Ancient Sagalassos. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2002;29(8):873–82.

209.

Rehren T, Pusch E, Herold A. Glass coloring works within a copper-centered industrial complex in Late Bronze Age Egypt. In: *The prehistory & history of glassmaking technology* [Internet]. Westerville, OH: American Ceramic Society; 1998. p. 227–50. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/1082340/Glass_coloring_works_within_a_copper-centered_indust

rial_complex_in_Late_Bronze_Age_Egypt

210.

Rehren T, Pusch E, Herold A. Qantir-Piramesses and the organisation of the Egyptian glass industry. In: The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC : proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000 [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001. p. 223-38. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45094.pdf

211.

Rehren T, Martinon-Torres M. Chapter 9: Naturam ars imitata: European brassmaking between craft and science. In: Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 167-88. Available from: <http://www.ucl.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=677776>

212.

Prudence M. Rice, William Y. Adams, Joseph W. Ball, Whitney M. Davis, Timothy Earle, Robert E. Fry, Ian Hodder, L. R. V. Joesink-Mandeville, Charles C. Kolb, Masae Nishimura, Yasushi Kojo, Miguel Rivera Dorado, Barbara L. Stark and Sander E. Van Der Leeuw. Evolution of Specialized Pottery Production: A Trial Model [and Comments and Reply]. Current Anthropology [Internet]. 1981;22(3):219-40. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2742199>

213.

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 2. Composition, Production, and Theory. Journal of Archaeological Research [Internet]. 1996;4(3):165-202. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/41053131>

214.

Prudence M. Rice. Late Classic Maya Pottery Production: Review and Synthesis. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. 2009;16(2):117-56. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/25653117>

215.

Valentine Roux. Ceramic Standardization and Intensity of Production: Quantifying Degrees of Specialization. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 2003;68(4):768–82. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3557072>

216.

Shennan S. Cost, benefit and value in the organization of early European copper production. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1999;73(280):352–63. Available from: <http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=9434845&fulltextType=RA&fileId=S0003598X0008830X>

217.

Shortland AJ. The Number, Extent and Distribution of the Vitreous Materials Workshops at Amarna. *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*. 2000;19(2):115–34.

218.

Shortland A, Nicholson P, Jackson C. Glass and faience at Amarna: different methods of both supply for production and subsequent distribution. In: *The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC: proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000*. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001.

219.

Jones S. Nations, cultures and types: dismantling archaeological discourses of the Orcadian Neolithic and beyond. In: *Auf der Suche nach Identitäten: Volk, Stamm, Kultur, Ethnos: internationale Tagung der Universität Leipzig vom 8-9 Dezember 2000 im Rahmen des Sonderforschungsbereiches 417 'Regionenbezogene Identifikationsprozesse, das Beispiel Sachsen' und des Teilprojektes A5 der Professur für Ur- und Frühgeschichte 'Ethnogenese und Traditionskonstruktion, archäologische Quellen und ihre Deutungen in der Historiographie des 19 und 20 Jahrhunderts'*. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2007. p. 81–92.

220.

Dolfini A. The function of Chalcolithic metalwork in Italy: an assessment based on use-wear analysis. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2011 May;38(5):1037–49.

221.

Gramsch A. Vergleichen als historische Methode. Analogien in den Archäologien. Vol. BAR international series. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2000.

222.

Goodale N, Otis H, Andrefsky W, Kuijt I, Finlayson B, Bart K. Sickle blade life-history and the transition to agriculture: an early Neolithic case study from Southwest Asia. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2010 Jun;37(6):1192–201.

223.

Ickerodt U. Einführung in das Grundproblem des archäologisch-kulturhistorischen Vergleichens und Deutens [Internet]. Frankfurt, Peter Lang; 2010. Available from: <http://www.peterlang.com/index.cfm?event=cmp.ccc.seitenstruktur.detailseiten&seitentyp=produkt&pk=56299&cid=534&concordeid=59799>

224.

Bruce A. Jones. Use-Wear Analysis of White Mountain Redwares at Grasshopper Pueblo, Arizona. *Kiva* [Internet]. 1989;54(4):353–60. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/30247208>

225.

Lemorini C, Nunziante Cesaro S, editors. An integration of the use-wear and residue analysis for the identification of the function of archaeological stone tools: proceedings of the international workshop, Rome, March 5th-7th, 2012. Vol. BAR international series. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2014.

226.

Longo L, Skakun NN, Congress Prehistoric technology: 40 years later, Verona (Italy). Museo di storia naturale, Università di Verona. 'Prehistoric technology' 40 years later: functional studies and the Russian legacy. Vol. BAR international series. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2008.

227.

López Varela SL, van Gijn A, Jacobs L. De-mystifying Pottery Production in the Maya Lowlands: Detection of Traces of Use-Wear on Pottery Sherds through Microscopic Analysis and Experimental Replication. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2002 Oct;29(10):1133–47.

228.

Marreiros JM, Gibaja Bao JF, Ferreira Bicho N, editors. *Use-Wear and Residue Analysis in Archaeology* [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2015. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-08257-8>

229.

Gijn AL van. *Flint in focus: lithic biographies in the Neolithic and Bronze Age*. Leiden: Sidestone Press; 2010.

230.

Gijn AL van, Whittaker JC, Anderson PC, editors. *Explaining and exploring diversity in agricultural technology*. Vol. Early agricultural remnants and technical heritage (EARTH). Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2014.

231.

Skibo JM. *Pottery function: a use-alteration perspective*. Vol. Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. New York: Plenum Press; 1992.

232.

Carmen J. *Commodities, rubbish and treasure: valuing archaeological objects*. *Archaeological review from Cambridge* [Internet]. 1990;9:195–207. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47259.pdf

233.

Cochrane EE, Gardner A. *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*. Vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011.

234.

Fernández-Götz M. La construcción arqueológica de la etnicidad. Vol. Serie Keltia. Noia: Toxosoutos; 2008.

235.

Jones A. Memory and material culture. Vol. Topics in contemporary archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

236.

Jones S. The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present. London: Routledge; 1997.

237.

Larick R. Age grading and ethnicity in the style of Loikop (Samburu) spears. World Archaeology. 1986 Oct;18(2):269-83.

238.

Lillios KT, Tsamis V. Material mnemonics: everyday memory in prehistoric Europe. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2010.

239.

Díaz-Andreu García M. The archaeology of identity: approaches to gender, age, status, ethnicity and religion. London: Routledge; 2005.

240.

Olivier L. The Hochdorf 'princely' grave and the question of the nature of archaeological funerary assemblages. In: Time and archaeology. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 109-38.

241.

Olivier L. The dark abyss of time: archaeology and memory. Vol. Archaeology in society series. Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press; 2011.

242.

Parkinson WA. Tribal boundaries: Stylistic variability and social boundary maintenance during the transition to the Copper Age on the Great Hungarian Plain. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2006 Mar;25(1):33-58.

243.

Porr M. Palaeolithic Art as Cultural Memory: a Case Study of the Aurignacian Art of Southwest Germany. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2010 Feb;20(01).

244.

Sackett JR. Approaches to style in lithic archaeology. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1982 Mar;1(1):59-112.

245.

S. J. Shennan and J. R. Wilkinson. Ceramic Style Change and Neutral Evolution: A Case Study from Neolithic Europe. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 2001;66(4):577-93. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694174>

246.

Sørensen MLS. *Gender archaeology*. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2000.

247.

Tehrani J, Collard M. Investigating cultural evolution through biological phylogenetic analyses of Turkmen textiles. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2002 Dec;21(4):443-63.

248.

Van Dyke RM, Alcock SE, editors. *Archaeologies of Memory* [Internet]. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishers Ltd; 2003. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/9780470774304>

249.

Wobst HM. Stylistic behaviour and information exchange. In: *For the director: research essays in honor of James B Griffin*. Ann Arbor: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1997. p. 317–42.

250.

Woodward A. Beads and Beakers: heirlooms and relics in the British Early Bronze Age. *Antiquity*. 2002;76(294):1040–7.

251.

Appadurai A. Introduction: commodities and the politics of value. In: *The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 3–63.

252.

Earle T. Exchange systems in prehistory. In: *Trade and exchange: archaeological studies from history and prehistory*. New York: Springer; 2010. p. 205–17.

253.

Sahlins M. On the sociology of primitive exchange. In: *Stone Age economics* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1974. p. 185–275. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_69459.pdf

254.

Hughes M. Tracing to source. In: *Science and the past*. London: British Museum Press; 1991.

255.

Wilson L, Pollard AM. The provenance hypothesis. In: Handbook of archaeological sciences. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001. p. 507–17.

256.

Tykot RH. Archaeological provenance studies. In: Physics methods in archaeometry. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 407–32.

257.

Bauer AA, Agbe-Davies AS. Rethinking trade as a social activity: an introduction. In: Social archaeologies of trade and exchange: exploring relationships among people, places, and things [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2010. p. 13–28. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/659814/Rethinking_Trade_as_a_Social_Activity_An_Introduction

258.

Crump, Thomas. The phenomenon of money. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1981.

259.

George Dalton. Theoretical Issues in Economic Anthropology. Current Anthropology [Internet]. 1969;10(1):63–102. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2740685?&Search=yes&searchText=anthropology&searchText=issues&searchText=Theoretical&searchText=economic&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253DTheoretical%252Bissues%252Bin%252Beconomic%252Banthropology%2526acc%253Don%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff&prevSearch=&item=6&ttl=42299&returnArticleService=showFullText>

260.

John H. Dowling. The Goodfellows vs. the Dalton Gang: The Assumptions of Economic Anthropology. Journal of Anthropological Research [Internet]. 1979;35(3):292–308. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629904>

261.

Earle T. Production and exchange in prehistory. In: Companion encyclopedia of archaeology [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 608–35. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45082.pdf

262.

C. A. Gregory. Gifts to Men and Gifts to God: Gift Exchange and Capital Accumulation in Contemporary Papua. *Man* [Internet]. 1980;15(4):626–52. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2801537>

263.

Gregory CA. Exchange and reciprocity. In: Companion encyclopedia of anthropology. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 911–33.

264.

Mauss, Marcel. The gift: the form and reason for exchange in archaic societies. Vol. Routledge classics. London: Routledge; 2002.

265.

Polanyi, Karl. Trade and market in the early empires: economies in history and theory. Glencoe, Ill: Free Press;

266.

Renfrew C. Trade as action at a distance. In: Ancient civilization and trade [Internet]. 1st ed. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 1975. p. 3–59. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=4d6d7854-7036-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

267.

Monica L. Smith. The Role of Ordinary Goods in Premodern Exchange. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1999;6(2):109–35. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177399>

268.

Weiner, Annette B. Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1992.

269.

Adams NK. Political affinities and economic fluctuations: the evidence from textiles. In: Ancient textiles: production, craft and society : proceedings of the First International Conference on Ancient Textiles, held at Lund, Sweden, and Copenhagen, Denmark, on March 19-23, 2003 [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2007. p. 201–7. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=a430ef6a-8236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

270.

Bamforth DB, Woodman PC. Tool hoards and Neolithic use of the landscape in north-eastern Ireland. *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*. 2004;23(1):21–44.

271.

Barrett JC, Needham SP. Production, circulation and exchange: problems in the interpretation of Bronze Age bronzework. In: The Archaeology of context in the Neolithic and Bronze Age: recent trends [Internet]. Sheffield: Department of Archaeology and Prehistory, University of Sheffield; 1988. p. 127–40. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45069.pdf

272.

Bell C. Wheels within wheels? A view of Mycenaean trade from the Levantine emporia. In: Emporia: Aegeans in the central and eastern Mediterranean : proceedings of the 10th International Aegean Conference/10e Rencontre égéenne internationale, Athens, Italian School of Archaeology, 14-18 April 2004. Liège: Université de Liège, Histoire de l'art et archéologie de la Grèce antique; 2005. p. 363–70.

273.

Bradley, Richard, Edmonds, M. R. Interpreting the axe trade: production and exchange in Neolithic Britain. Vol. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

274.

Clough, T. H. McK., Cummins, W. A. Stone axe studies: Volume 2: The petrology of prehistoric stone implements from the British Isles. Vol. Research report / Council for British Archaeology. London: Council for British Archaeology; 1988.

275.

Cooney, Gabriel, Mandal, Stephen, Byrnes, Emmet, O'Carroll, Finola. The Irish Stone Axe Project: Monograph I. Bray: Wordwell; 1998.

276.

Cochrane EE, Neff H. Investigating compositional diversity among Fijian ceramics with laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS): implications for interaction studies on geologically similar islands. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2006;33(3):378–90.

277.

Crawford, Michael H. Coinage and money under the Roman Republic: Italy and the Mediterranean economy. Vol. The Library of numismatics. London: Methuen; 1985.

278.

Creighton, John. Coins and power in late Iron Age Britain. Vol. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

279.

Dietler M. Rituals of commensality and the politics of state formation in the 'Princely' societies of Early Iron Age Europe. In: *Les princes de la protohistoire et l'émergence de l'Etat: actes de la table ronde internationale organisée par le Centre Jean Bérard et l'Ecole française de Rome, Naples, 27-29 octobre 1994*. Naples: Centre J. Bérard; 1999. p. 135–52.

280.

Dietler, Michael. Archaeologies of colonialism: consumption, entanglement, and violence in ancient Mediterranean France. Vol. The Joan Palevsky imprint in classical literature. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2010.

281.

Dillian, Carolyn D., White, Carolyn L. Trade and exchange: archaeological studies from history and prehistory. New York: Springer; 2010.

282.

Edmonds, M. R. Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain. London: Routledge; 1995.

283.

Frankenstein S, Rowlands M. The Internal Structure and regional Context of Early Iron Age Society in South-Western Germany. In: Social transformations in archaeology: global and local perspectives. London: Routledge; 1998.

284.

Philip Grierson. Commerce in the Dark Ages: A Critique of the Evidence. Transactions of the Royal Historical Society [Internet]. 1959;9:123–40. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3678808>

285.

Kenneth G. Hirth. Political Economy and Archaeology: Perspectives on Exchange and Production. Journal of Archaeological Research [Internet]. 1996;4(3):203–39. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053132>

286.

Ian Hodder. Regression Analysis of Some Trade and Marketing Patterns. World Archaeology [Internet]. 1974;6(2):172–89. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124001>

287.

Hodder, Ian, Orton, Clive. Spatial analysis in archaeology. Vol. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1976.

288.

Christopher Howgego. The Supply and Use of Money in the Roman World 200 B.C. to A.D. 300. *The Journal of Roman Studies* [Internet]. 1992;82:1–31. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/301282>

289.

Lo Cascio E. How did the Romans view their coinage and its function? In: Coin finds and coin use in the Roman world: the thirteenth Oxford Symposium on Coinage and Monetary History, 25-27 1993 : a NATO advanced research workshop. Berlin: Gebr. Mann Verlag; 1996. p. 273–87.

290.

Minc LD. Monitoring regional market systems in prehistory: Models, methods, and metrics. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2006;25(1):82–116.

291.

Needham S. Displacement and exchange in archaeological methodology. In: Trade and exchange in prehistoric Europe: proceedings of a conference held at the University of Bristol, April 1992 [Internet]. Oxford: published by Oxbow Books in association with the Prehistoric Society and the Société préhistorique française; 1993. p. 161–9. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d834d987-1564-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

292.

Parkinson WA. Tribal boundaries: Stylistic variability and social boundary maintenance during the transition to the Copper Age on the Great Hungarian Plain. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2006;25(1):33–58.

293.

Peacock, D. P. S., Williams, D. F. *Amphorae and the Roman economy: an introductory guide*. Vol. Longman archaeology series. London: Longman; 1986.

294.

Perlès C. Systems of exchange and organization of production in Neolithic Greece. *Journal of Mediterranean archaeology*. 1992;5(2):115-64.

295.

Stein GJ, Hollander D, Schwartz M. Reconstructing Mesopotamian Exchange Networks in the 4th Millennium BC : Geochemical and Archaeological Analyses of Bitumen Artifacts from Hacinebi Tepe, Turkey. *Paléorient*. 1999;25(1):67-82.

296.

Shennan S. Commodities, transactions and growth in the Central European Early Bronze Age. *European journal of archaeology* [Internet]. 1993;1(2):59-72. Available from: http://metalib.ucl.ac.uk:9003/sfx_local?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info:ofi/enc:UTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/sfxit.com:opac_856&url_ctx_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:ctx&sfx.ignore_date_threshold=1&rft.object_id=963017837284&svc_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:sch_svc&

297.

Sherratt S. Eppur si muove: Pots, markets, and values in the second-millennium Mediterranean. In: *The complex past of pottery: production, circulation and consumption of Mycenaean and Greek pottery (sixteenth to early fifth centuries BC) : proceedings of the ARCHON international conference, held in Amsterdam, 8-9 November 1996*. Amsterdam: J.C. Gieben; 1999. p. 163-211.

298.

Sherratt AG, Sherratt ES. From luxuries to commodities: The nature of Mediterranean Bronze Age trading systems. In: *Bronze age trade in the Mediterranean: papers presented at the Conference held at Rewley House, Oxford, in December 1989*. Jonsæder: Åström; 1991. p. 351-86.

299.

Sillar B. Reputable pots and disreputable potters: Individual and community choice in present-day pottery production and exchange in the Andes. In: Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology. Oxford: Oxbow; 1997. p. 1-20.

300.

Blinkhorn P, Cumberpatch CG. Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology. Vol. Oxbow monograph. Oxford: Oxbow; 1997.

301.

Van Der Leuw S. Some notes from the potter's point of view. In: The complex past of pottery: production, circulation and consumption of Mycenaean and Greek pottery (sixteenth to early fifth centuries BC) : proceedings of the ARCHON international conference, held in Amsterdam, 8-9 November 1996. Amsterdam: J.C. Gieben; 1999. p. 115-32.

302.

Woolf G. World-systems analysis and the Roman empire. Journal of Roman archaeology [Internet]. 1999;3:44-58. Available from: <http://berlinarchaeology.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/woolf-1990.pdf>

303.

Flintsource.Net [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.flintsource.net/>

304.

IAOS World Obsidian Source Catalog [Internet]. Available from: http://www.obsidianlab.com/sourcecatalog/s_home.html

305.

FREUND KP. AN ASSESSMENT OF THE CURRENT APPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS OF OBSIDIAN SOURCING STUDIES IN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH. Archaeometry. 2013;55(5):779-93.

306.

Gratuze B. Obsidian Characterization by Laser Ablation ICP-MS and its Application to Prehistoric Trade in the Mediterranean and the Near East: Sources and Distribution of Obsidian within the Aegean and Anatolia. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1999;26(8):869–81.

307.

Herz N. Sourcing lithic artefacts by instrumental analysis. In: *Earth sciences and archaeology*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2001. p. 449–72.

308.

Huckell BB, Kilby JD, Boulanger MT, Glascock MD. Sentinel Butte: neutron activation analysis of White River Group chert from a primary source and artifacts from a Clovis cache in North Dakota, USA. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2011;38(5):965–76.

309.

Pollard, A. M., Heron, Carl, Royal Society of Chemistry (Great Britain). *Archaeological chemistry*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry; 2008.

310.

Maniatis Y. Scientific techniques and methodologies for the provenance of white marble. In: *Physics methods in archaeometry*. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 179–202.

311.

Renfrew C, Dixon JE, Cann JR. Obsidian and early cultural contact in the Near East. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. 1966 Dec;32:30–72.

312.

Michael E. Smith, Adrian L. Burke, Timothy S. Hare and Michael D. Glascock. *Sources of Imported Obsidian at Postclassic Sites in the Yauhtepec Valley, Morelos: A Characterization*

Study Using XRF and INAA. *Latin American Antiquity* [Internet]. 2007;18(4):429-50.
Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25478196>

313.

Thorpe RS. The geological sources and transport of the bluestones of Stonehenge, Wiltshire, U.K. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. 1991;103-57.

314.

Blomster JP. Olmec Pottery Production and Export in Ancient Mexico Determined Through Elemental Analysis. *Science*. 2005;307(5712):1068-72.

315.

Day PM, Kiriati E, Tsolakidou A, Kilikoglou V. Group Therapy in Crete: A Comparison Between Analyses by NAA and Thin Section Petrography of Early Minoan Pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1999;26(8):1025-36.

316.

Freestone I. Ceramic Petrography. *American journal of archaeology*. 1995;99:111-5.

317.

Patrick E. McGovern, Thomas L. Sever, J. Wilson Myers, Eleanor Emlen Myers, Bruce Bevan, Naomi F. Miller, S. Bottema, Hitomi Hongo, Richard H. Meadow, Peter Ian Kuniholm, S. G. E. Bowman, M. N. Leese, R. E. M. Hedges, Frederick R. Matson, Ian C. Freestone, Sarah J. Vaughan, Julian Henderson, Pamela B. Vandiver, Charles S. Tumosa, Curt W. Beck, Patricia Smith, A. M. Child, A. M. Pollard, Ingolf Thuesen and Catherine Sease. *Science in Archaeology: A Review*. *American Journal of Archaeology* [Internet]. 1995;99(1):79-142.
Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/506880>

318.

Morris EL, Woodward A. Ceramic petrology and prehistoric pottery in the UK. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. 2003 Jan;69:279-304.

319.

Peacock DPS. Neolithic pottery production in Cornwall. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1969;43(170):145–9. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293745764?accountid=14511>

320.

Gale NH. A response to the paper of A. M. Pollard. In: *From mine to microscope: advances in the study of ancient technology* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2009. p. 191–6. Available from: <http://www.UCL.ebib.com/patron/Read.aspx?p=1696495&pg=1>

321.

Gale NH, Stos-Gale Z. Lead isotope analyses applied to provenance studies. In: *Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology*. New York: Wiley; 2000. p. 503–84.

322.

GUERRA MF, CALLIGARO T, PEREA A. THE TREASURE OF GUARRAZAR: TRACING THE GOLD SUPPLIES IN THE VISIGOTHIC IBERIAN PENINSULA. *Archaeometry*. 2007;49(1):53–74.

323.

Krause, Rüdiger. Studien zur kupfer- und frühbronzezeitlichen Metallurgie zwischen Karpatenbecken und Ostsee. Vol. *Vorgeschichtliche Forschungen*. Rahden/Westf: Leidorf; 2003.

324.

Pernicka E. Archaeometallurgy: examples of the application of scientific methods to the provenance of archaeological metal objects. In: *Physics methods in archaeometry*. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 309–29.

325.

Pollard AM. Chapter 17: What a long, strange Trip it's been: lead Isotopes and Archaeology. In: *From mine to microscope: advances in the study of ancient technology* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2009. p. 181–9. Available from:

<http://www.ucl.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1696495>

326.

Stos-Gale Z. Chapter 16: Across the wine dark seas.... sailor tinkers and royal cargoes in the late Bronze Age Eastern Mediterranean. In: From mine to microscope: advances in the study of ancient technology [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2009. p. 163–80. Available from: <http://www.ucl.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1696495>

327.

Calligaro T, Dran JC, Poirot JP, Querré G, Salomon J, Zwaan JC. PIXE/PIGE characterisation of emeralds using an external micro-beam. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 2000;161–163:769–74.

328.

Calligaro T, Colinart S, Poirot JP, Sudres C. Combined external-beam PIXE and μ -Raman characterisation of garnets used in Merovingian jewellery. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 2002;189(1–4):320–7.

329.

Gratuze B, Janssens K. Provenance analysis of glass artefacts. In: Non-destructive microanalysis of cultural heritage materials. Amsterdam, London: Elsevier; 2004. p. 663–712.

330.

Popelka-Filcoff RS, Miksa EJ, Robertson JD, Glascock MD, Wallace H. Elemental analysis and characterization of ochre sources from Southern Arizona. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2008;35(3):752–62.

331.

Robertshaw P, Wood M, Melchiorre E, Popelka-Filcoff RS, Glascock MD. Southern African glass beads: chemistry, glass sources and patterns of trade. Journal of Archaeological

Science. 2010;37(8):1898–912.

332.

Stein GJ, Hollander D, Schwartz M. Reconstructing Mesopotamian Exchange Networks in the 4th Millennium BC : Geochemical and Archaeological Analyses of Bitumen Artifacts from Hacinebi Tepe, Turkey. *Paléorient*. 1999;25(1):67–82.

333.

Weigand PC. Turquoise sources and source analysis: Mesoamerica and the southwestern USA. In: *Exchange systems in prehistory*. New York: Academic Press; 1977. p. 15–34.

334.

Beck ME. Midden Ceramic Assemblage Formation: A Case Study from Kalinga, Philippines. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 2006;71(1):27–51. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40035320>

335.

Hardy-Smith T, Edwards PC. The Garbage Crisis in prehistory: artefact discard patterns at the Early Natufian site of Wadi Hammeh 27 and the origins of household refuse disposal strategies. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2004;23(3):253–89.

336.

Schiffer MB. Chapter 1: The nature of archaeological evidence: Chapter 2: The dimensions of artifact variability. In: *Formation processes of the archaeological record* [Internet]. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987. p. 3–23. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_69460.pdf

337.

Lewis R. Binford. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise'. *Journal of Anthropological Research* [Internet]. 1981;37(3):195–208. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3629723?&Search=yes&searchText=archaeology&searchText=Behavioral&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253DBehavioral%252Barchaeology%252Band%252Bthe%2526Search%253DSea>

rch%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff%2526globalSearch%253D%2526sbbBox%253D%2526sbjBox%253D%2526sbpBox%253D&prevSearch=&item=17&ttl=9941&returnArticleService=showFullText

338.

Richard Bradley. The Destruction of Wealth in Later Prehistory. *Man* [Internet]. 1982;17(1):108–22. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2802104>

339.

Chapman, John. Fragmentation in archaeology: people, places, and broken objects in the prehistory of south-eastern Europe. London: Routledge; 2000.

340.

Chapman, John, Gaydarska, Bisserka. Parts and wholes: fragmentation in prehistoric context. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2007.

341.

Deal M. Household pottery disposal in the Maya highlands: An ethnoarchaeological interpretation. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* [Internet]. 1985;243–91. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/027841658590008X>

342.

Deal M, Hagstrum MB. Ceramic reuse behavior among the Maya and Wanka: implications for archaeology. In: *Expanding archaeology*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 111–25.

343.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* [Internet]. 1983;117–63. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0278416583900107>

344.

Hill, J. D. Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record. Vol. BAR British series. Oxford: Tempus Reparatum; 1995.

345.

Hutson SR, Stanton TW. Cultural Logic and Practical Reason: the Structure of Discard in Ancient Maya Houselots. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 2007;17(02).

346.

Charles H. LeeDecker. Discard Behavior on Domestic Historic Sites: Evaluation of Contexts for the Interpretation of Household Consumption Patterns. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. 1994;1(4):345–75. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177317>

347.

Martin L, Russell N. Trashing rubbish. In: Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2000. p. 57–69. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=74d1321e-9136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

348.

Moore, Henrietta L. Space, text, and gender: an anthropological study of the Marakwet of Kenya. New York: Guilford Press; 1996.

349.

Needham, Stuart, Spence, Tony, Serjeantson, D., Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig, Ambers, J., Crummy, Stephen, et al. Refuse and disposal at Area 16 East, Runnymede. Vol. Runnymede Bridge research excavations. London: Trustees of the British Museum; 1996.

350.

Patrik L. Is there an archaeological record? Advances in archaeological method and theory [Internet]. 1985;8:27–62. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170186>

351.

Pounds, Norman John Greville. *Hearth & home: a history of material culture*. Bloomington, [Ind.]: Indiana University Press; 1989.

352.

Michael B. Schiffer. Archaeological Context and Systemic Context. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1972;37(2):156–65. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/278203>

353.

Schiffer, Michael B. *Formation processes of the archaeological record*. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987.

354.

Schofield, A. J., Theoretical Archaeology Group (England). *Interpreting artefact scatters: contributions to ploughzone archaeology*. Vol. Oxbow monograph. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 1991.

355.

Michael J. Shott. Status and Role of Formation Theory in Contemporary Archaeological Practice. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. 1998;6(4):299–329. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053161>

356.

Sommer U. Dirt theory, or archaeological sites seen as rubbish heaps. *Journal of theoretical archaeology*. 1990;1:47–60.

357.

Sommer U. Wer hat Dornröschen aufgeweckt? Taphonomie und Mainstream-Archäologie. In: Taphonomische Forschungen (nicht nur) zum Neolithikum. Loogh: Welt und Erde; 2012. p. 15–34.

358.

Staski, Edward, Sutro, Livingston D. The ethnoarchaeology of refuse disposal. Vol. Anthropological research papers. [Tempe, Ariz.]: Arizona State University; 1991.

359.

Thompson, M. Rubbish theory: the creation and destruction of value. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1979.

360.

Walker W. Ceremonial trash? In: Expanding archaeology [Internet]. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 67–79. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/2519493/Ceremonial_Trash

361.

Wilson DC. Identification and assessment of secondary refuse aggregates. Journal of archaeological method and theory [Internet]. 1994;1(1):41–68. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177304>

362.

Benito-Calvo A, de la Torre I. Analysis of orientation patterns in Olduvai Bed I assemblages using GIS techniques: Implications for site formation processes. Journal of Human Evolution. 2011;61(1):50–60.

363.

Bevan A. Spatial methods for analysing large-scale artefact inventories. Antiquity [Internet]. 2012;86(332):492–506. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1021249050?accountid=14511>

364.

Martinón-Torres M, Li XJ, Bevan A, Xia Y, Kun Z, Rehren T. Making Weapons for the Terracotta Army. *Archaeology International*. 2011;13.

365.

Tilley C, Hamilton S, Harrison S, Anderson E. Nature, Culture, Clitter: Distinguishing Between Cultural and Geomorphological Landscapes; The Case of Hilltop Tors in South-West England. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2000;5(2):197–224.

366.

Bevan A. Mediterranean islands, fragile communities and persistent landscapes: Antikythera in long-term perspective. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

367.

Blankholm, H. P. *Intrasite spatial analysis in theory and practice*. Aarhus: Aarhus University Press; 1991.

368.

BRUGHMANS T. CONNECTING THE DOTS: TOWARDS ARCHAEOLOGICAL NETWORK ANALYSIS. *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*. 2010;29(3):277–303.

369.

Hietala, Harold J. *Intrasite spatial analysis in archaeology*. Vol. *New directions in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984.

370.

Hodder, Ian, Orton, Clive. *Spatial analysis in archaeology*. Vol. *New studies in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1976.

371.

Katsianis M, Tsipidis S, Kotsakis K, Kousoulakou A. A 3D digital workflow for archaeological intra-site research using GIS. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008;35(3):655–67.

372.

Kroll, Ellen M., Price, T. Douglas, Society for American Archaeology. The interpretation of archaeological spatial patterning. Vol. Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. New York: Plenum Press; 1991.

373.

Livingood PC, Cordell AS. Point/counter point: the accuracy and feasibility of digital image techniques in the analysis of ceramic thin sections. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2009;36(3):867–72.

374.

Vanzetti et al. A. The iceman as a burial. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2010;84(325):681–92. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/755013317?accountid=14511>

375.

STRAND B - RESEARCH DESIGN AND MATERIALS ANALYSIS.

376.

Archaeometry - Volume 49, Issue 2 - May 2007 - Wiley Online Library. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/arch.2007.49.issue-2/issuetoc;jsessionid=C29BB0DA1059927413EA82D1C17CC253.d03t04>

377.

Archaeometry - Volume 50, Issue 6 - December 2008 - Wiley Online Library. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/arch.2008.50.issue-6/issuetoc>

378.

Archaeometry - Volume 50, Issue 6 - December 2008 - Wiley Online Library. Available from:
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/arch.2008.50.issue-6/issuetoc>

379.

Artioli G, Angelini I. Scientific methods and cultural heritage: an introduction to the application of materials science to archaeometry and conservation science. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

380.

Bowman S, British Museum. Department of Scientific Research. Science and the past [Internet]. Toronto, Ont: University of Toronto Press; 1991. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3138/j.ctt2tv44s>

381.

Brothwell DR, Pollard AM. Handbook of archaeological sciences. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001.

382.

Demortier G, Adriaens A, European Cooperation in the Field of Scientific and Technical Research (Organization), European Commission. Ion beam study of art and archaeological objects. Vol. EUR. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities; 2000.

383.

Dran JC, Salomon J, Calligaro T, Walter P. Ion beam analysis of art works: 14 years of use in the Louvre. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 2004;219-220:7-15.

384.

Ciliberto E, Spoto G. Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology. Vol. Chemical analysis. New York: Wiley; 2000.

385.

Edwards HGM, Chalmers JM, Royal Society of Chemistry (Great Britain). Raman spectroscopy in archaeology and art history. Vol. RSC analytical spectroscopy monographs. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry; 2005.

386.

Edwards, Howell G. M., Lewis, Ian R. Handbook of Raman spectroscopy: from the research laboratory to the process line. Vol. Practical spectroscopy. New York: Marcel Dekker; 2001.

387.

Giumlia-Mair A. Surface characterisation techniques in the study and conservation of art and archaeological artefacts: a review. Materials technology [Internet]. 2010;25(5):345–261. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/3427109/Surface_characterisation_techniques_in_the_study_and_conservation_of_art_and_archaeological_artefacts_a_review_Giumlia-Mair_Albertson_Bosc_hian_Giachi_Lacomussi_Pallecchi_Rossi_Shugar_and_Stock_

388.

Goffer Z. Archaeological chemistry. 2nd ed. Hoboken, N.J.: Wiley; 2007.

389.

Henderson J. Scientific analysis in archaeology and its interpretation. Vol. UCLA Institute of Archaeology, archaeological research tools. Oxford: Oxford University Committee for Archaeology, Institute of Archaeology; 1989.

390.

Henderson J. The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: Routledge; 2000.

391.

Janssens KHA, Grieken R van. Non-destructive microanalysis of cultural heritage materials. Vol. Comprehensive analytical chemistry. Amsterdam, London: Elsevier; 2004.

392.

Lambert JB. Traces of the past: unraveling the secrets of archaeology through chemistry. Vol. Helix books. Cambridge, Mass: Perseus; 1997.

393.

Martini M, Milazzo M, Piacentini M, Società italiana di fisica, International School of Physics 'Enrico Fermi'. Physics methods in archaeometry. Vol. Proceedings of the International School of Physics 'Enrico Fermi'. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004.

394.

Martinón-Torres M, Rehren T. Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials. Vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008.

395.

Moreau JF. Proceedings: ISA 2006 : 36th International Symposium on Archaeometry : 2-6 May 2006, Quebec City, Canada. Vol. Cahiers d'archéologie du CELAT. Québec: CELAT, Université Laval; 2009.

396.

Arthur M. Sackler Colloquia of the National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Sciences (U.S.). Scientific examination of art: modern techniques in conservation and analysis : National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., March 19-21, 2003. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2005.

397.

Nesse WD. Introduction to optical mineralogy. 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2004.

398.

Olsen SL. Scanning electron microscopy in archaeology. Vol. BAR international series. Oxford: B.A.R.; 1988.

399.

Parkes PA. Current scientific techniques in archaeology. London: Croom Helm; 1986.

400.

Pérez-Arantegui J, editor. Proceedings of the 34th International Symposium on Archaeometry [Internet]. 2006. Available from: <http://ifc.dpz.es/publicaciones/ebooks/id/2610>

401.

Pollard AM, Heron C, Royal Society of Chemistry (Great Britain). Archaeological chemistry. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry; 2008.

402.

Pollard AM, Batt C, Young S, Stern B. Analytical chemistry in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

403.

Shackley, M. Steven. X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) in geoarchaeology. New York: Springer; 2011.

404.

Torrence R, Rehren T, Martinon-Torres M. Scoping the Future of Archaeological Science: Papers in Honour of Richard Klein. Journal of Archaeological Science [Internet]. 2015;56.

Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03054403/56>

405.

Arthur M. Sackler Colloquia of the National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Sciences (U.S.). Scientific examination of art: modern techniques in conservation and analysis : National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., March 19-21, 2003. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2005.

406.

Shackley M. An introduction to X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analysis in archaeology. In: X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) in geoarchaeology. New York: Springer; 2011. p. 7-44.

407.

Uda M, Demortier G, Nakai I, International Symposium on X-ray Archaeometry. X-rays for archaeology. Dordrecht: Springer; 2005.

408.

Adriaens A. Non-destructive analysis and testing of museum objects: An overview of 5 years of research. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy. 2005 Dec;60(12):1503-16.

409.

De Atley SP, Bishop RL. Toward an integrated interface for archaeology and archaeometry. In: The ceramic legacy of Anna O Shepard [Internet]. Niwot, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 1991. p. 358-81. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=bf3b4d17-7436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

410.

Hamilton E. The four scales of technical analysis; or 'how to make archaeometry more useful. In: Exploring the role of analytical scale in archaeological interpretation [Internet]. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2004. p. 45-8. Available from:

http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45097.pdf

411.

Killick D. Archaeology and archaeometry: From casual dating to a meaningful relationship? *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1997;71(273):518–24. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217552149?accountid=14511>

412.

Killick D. The awkward adolescence of archaeological science. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2015 Apr;56:242–7.

413.

Jones A. Archaeological theory and scientific practice. Vol. Topics in contemporary archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.

414.

Jones A. Archaeometry and materiality: materials-based analysis in theory and practice*. *Archaeometry*. 2004;46(3):327–38.

415.

Martinón-Torres M. Why should archaeologists take history and science seriously? In: *Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials* [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 15–36. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45457.pdf

416.

Martinón-Torres M, Killic DC. Archaeological Theories and Archaeological Sciences. In: Gardner A, Lake M, Sommer U, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Archaeological Theory* [Internet]. 2015. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199567942.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199567942-e-004?rskey=F3hTAd&result=1>

417.

Rehren T. Qantir-Piramesses and the organisation of the Egyptian glass industry. In: The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC : proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000 [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001. p. 223-138. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45094.pdf

418.

Sillar B, Tite MS. The challenge of 'Technological choices' for materials science approaches in archaeology. *Archaeometry*. 2000;42(1):2-20.

419.

Tite MS. Overview - materials study in archaeology. In: *Handbook of archaeological sciences* [Internet]. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001. p. 443-8. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=a5c876a6-5736-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

420.

Hancock RGV. Elemental analysis. In: *Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology*. New York: Wiley; 2000. p. 11-20.

421.

Shackley M. An introduction to X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analysis in archaeology. In: *X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) in geoarchaeology*. New York: Springer; 2011. p. 7-44.

422.

Shackley MS. An introduction to X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis in archaeology. In: Shackley MS, editor. *X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) in Geoarchaeology*. New York ; London : Springer; 2011.

423.

Contrey RM, Goodman-Elgar M, Bettencourt N, Seyfarth A, Van Hoose A, Wolff JA. Calibration of a portable X-ray fluorescence spectrometer in the analysis of archaeological samples using influence coefficients. *Geochemistry: Exploration, Environment, Analysis* [Internet]. 2014;14(3). Available from: <http://geea.lyellcollection.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/content/14/3/291.full.pdf>

424.

Frahm E, Doonan RCP. The technological versus methodological revolution of portable XRF in archaeology. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2013 Feb;40(2):1425–34.

425.

Shackley M. Is there reliability and validity in portable X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF)? *SAA archaeological record*. 2010;17–20.

426.

Shackley MS. Portable X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry (pXRF): The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly. *Archaeology Southwest Magazine* [Internet]. 2012;26(2). Available from: http://www.archaeologysouthwest.org/pdf/pXRF_essay_shackley.pdf

427.

Shugar AN, Mass JL. *Handheld XRF for art and archaeology*. Vol. *Studies in archaeological sciences*. Leuven: Leuven University Press; 2012.

428.

Shugar AN. Portable X-ray Fluorescence and Archaeology: Limitations of the Instrument and Suggested Methods To Achieve Desired Results. In: Armitage RA, Burton JH, editors. *Archaeological chemistry VIII*. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society; 2013. p. 173–89.

429.

Charalambous A, Kassianidou V, Papasavvas G. A compositional study of Cypriot bronzes dating to the Early Iron Age using portable X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (pXRF). *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2014 Jun;46:205–16.

430.

Dussubieux L, Walder H. Identifying American native and European smelted coppers with pXRF: a case study of artifacts from the Upper Great Lakes region. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2015 Jul;59:169–78.

431.

Kearns T, Martínón-Torres M, Rehren T. Metal to mould: alloy identification in experimental casting moulds using XRF. *Historical metallurgy: journal of the Historical Metallurgy Society*. 2010;44(1):48–58.

432.

Martínón-Torres M, Li XJ, Bevan A, Xia Y, Zhao K, Rehren T. Forty Thousand Arms for a Single Emperor: From Chemical Data to the Labor Organization Behind the Bronze Arrows of the Terracotta Army. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 2014 Sep;21(3):534–62.

433.

Martínón-Torres M, Valcárcel Rojas R, Sáenz Samper J, Guerra MF. Metallic encounters in Cuba: The technology, exchange and meaning of metals before and after Columbus. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2012 Dec;31(4):439–54.

434.

Martínón-Torres M, Uribe-Villegas MA. The prehistoric individual, connoisseurship, and archaeological science: the Muisca goldwork of Colombia. *Journal of Archaeological Science* [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03054403/open-access>

435.

Nicholas M, Manti P. Testing the applicability of handheld portable XRF to the characterisation of archaeological copper alloys. In: Bridgland J, editor. *ICOM-CC 17th Triennial Conference Preprints*, Melbourne [Internet]. Paris: International Council of Museums; 15AD. Available from: <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/65469/>

436.

Orfanou V, Rehren Th. A (not so) dangerous method: pXRF vs. EPMA-WDS analyses of copper-based artefacts. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences*. 2015 Sep;7(3):387–97.

437.

Forster N, Grave P, Vickery N, Kealhofer L. Non-destructive analysis using PXRF: methodology and application to archaeological ceramics. *X-Ray Spectrometry*. 2011 Sep;40(5):389–98.

438.

Goren Y, Mommsen H, Klinger J. Non-destructive provenance study of cuneiform tablets using portable X-ray fluorescence (pXRF). *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2011 Mar;38(3):684–96.

439.

Hunt AMW, Speakman RJ. Portable XRF analysis of archaeological sediments and ceramics. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2015 Jan;53:626–38.

440.

Speakman RJ, Little NC, Creel D, Miller MR, Iñáñez JG. Sourcing ceramics with portable XRF spectrometers? A comparison with INAA using Mimbres pottery from the American Southwest. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2011 Dec;38(12):3483–96.

441.

Dungworth D, Girbal B. Waler Castle, Deal, Kent: Analysis of Window Glass. English Heritage Research Department Report Series [Internet]. 2011;2011(2). Available from: <http://research.historicengland.org.uk/redirect.aspx?id=5944>

442.

Liu S, Li QH, Gan F, Zhang P, Lankton JW. Silk Road glass in Xinjiang, China: chemical compositional analysis and interpretation using a high-resolution portable XRF spectrometer. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2012 Jul;39(7):2128–42.

443.

Nazaroff AJ, Prufer KM, Drake BL. Assessing the applicability of portable X-ray fluorescence spectrometry for obsidian provenance research in the Maya lowlands. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2010 Apr;37(4):885–95.

444.

Frahm E. Validity of “off-the-shelf” handheld portable XRF for sourcing Near Eastern obsidian chip debris. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2013 Feb;40(2):1080–92.

445.

Speakman RJ, Steven Shackley M. Silo science and portable XRF in archaeology: a response to Frahm. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2013 Feb;40(2):1435–43.

446.

Frahm E. Is obsidian sourcing about geochemistry or archaeology? A reply to Speakman and Shackley. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2013 Feb;40(2):1444–8.

447.

Milić M. PXRF characterisation of obsidian from central Anatolia, the Aegean and central Europe. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2014 Jan;41:285–96.

448.

Grave P, Attenbrow V, Sutherland L, Pogson R, Forster N. Non-destructive pXRF of mafic stone tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2012 Jun;39(6):1674–86.

449.

Ogburn D, Sillar B, Sierra JC. Evaluating effects of chemical weathering and surface contamination on the in situ provenance analysis of building stones in the Cuzco region of Peru with portable XRF. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2013 Apr;40(4):1823–37.

450.

Colombo C, Bracci S, Conti C, Greco M, Realini M. Non-invasive approach in the study of polychrome terracotta sculptures: employment of the portable XRF to investigate complex stratigraphy. *X-Ray Spectrometry*. 2011 Jul;40(4):273–9.

451.

Chaplin TD, Clark RJH, Martinon-Torres M. A combined Raman microscopy, XRF and SEM-EDX study of three valuable objects – A large painted leather screen and two illuminated title pages in 17th century books of ordinances of the Worshipful Company of Barbers, London. *Journal of Molecular Structure*. 2010 Jul;976(1–3):350–9.

452.

Eliyahu-Behar A, Shilstein S, Raban-Gerstel N, Goren Y, Gilboa A, Sharon I, et al. An integrated approach to reconstructing primary activities from pit deposits: iron smithing and other activities at Tel Dor under Neo-Assyrian domination. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008 Nov;35(11):2895–908.

453.

Gauss RK, Břitorá J, Nowaczinski E, Rassmann K, Schukraft G. The Early Bronze Age settlement of Fidsr, Vrřble (Slovakia): reconstructing prehistoric settlement patterns using portable XRF. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2013 Jul;40(7):2942–60.

454.

Abe Y, Nakai I, Takahashi K, Kawai N, Yoshimura S. On-site analysis of archaeological artifacts excavated from the site on the outcrop at Northwest Saqqara, Egypt, by using a newly developed portable fluorescence spectrometer and diffractometer. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 2009;395(7):1987–96.

455.

Cotte M, Dumas P, Taniguchi Y, Checroun E, Walter P, Susini J. Recent applications and current trends in Cultural Heritage Science using synchrotron-based Fourier transform infrared micro-spectroscopy. *Comptes Rendus Physique*. 2009;10(7):590–600.

456.

De Benedetto GE, Laviano R, Sabbatini L, Zambonin PG. Infrared spectroscopy in the mineralogical characterization of ancient pottery. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*. 2002;3(3):177–86.

457.

Eiland ML, Williams Q. Investigation of Islamic ceramics from Tell Tuneinir using X-ray diffraction. *Geoarchaeology*. 2001;16(8):875–903.

458.

Freestone IC, Middleton AP. . Mineralogical applications of the analytical SEM in archaeology. *Mineralogical Magazine* [Internet]. 1987;51:21–31. Available from: http://www.minersoc.org/pages/Archive-MM/Volume_51/51-359-21.pdf

459.

Ingo GM, Balbi S, de Caro T, Fragalà I, Angelini E, Bultrini G. Combined use of SEM-EDS, OM and XRD for the characterization of corrosion products grown on silver roman coins. *Applied Physics A*. 2006;83(4):493–7.

460.

Martinón-Torres M, Uribe-Villegas MA. The prehistoric individual, connoisseurship, and archaeological science: the Muisca goldwork of Colombia. *Journal of Archaeological Science* [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03054403/open-access>

461.

Ricciardi P, Colomban P, Tournié A, Macchiarola M, Ayed N. A non-invasive study of Roman Age mosaic glass tesserae by means of Raman spectroscopy. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2009;36(11):2551–9.

462.

Sax M, Walsh JM, Freestone IC, Rankin AH, Meeks ND. The origins of two purportedly pre-Columbian Mexican crystal skulls. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008 Oct;35(10):2751–60.

463.

Conservation institute TG. Infrared Spectroscopy in Conservation Science - infrared spectroscopy [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
http://www.getty.edu/conservation/publications_resources/pdf_publications/pdf/infrared_spectroscopy.pdf

464.

Young ML, Casadio F, Schnepf S, Pearlstein E, Almer JD, Haeffner DR. Non-invasive characterization of manufacturing techniques and corrosion of ancient Chinese bronzes and a later replica using synchrotron X-ray diffraction. *Applied Physics A*. 2010;100(3):635–46.

465.

Orton C. Sampling in archaeology. Vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

466.

Tite MS. Archaeological collections: invasive sampling versus object integrity. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology: PIA* [Internet]. 2002;13:1–6. Available from:
<http://pia-journal.co.uk/articles/abstract/10.5334/pia.189/>

467.

Tubb KW. Irreconcilable Differences? Problems with Unprovenanced Antiquities. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology*. 2007;18.

468.

Hein A, Tsolakidou A, Iliopoulos I, Mommsen H, Buxeda i GarrigÃ3s J, Montana G, et al. Standardisation of elemental analytical techniques applied to provenance studies of archaeological ceramics: an inter laboratory calibration study. *The Analyst*. 2002 Apr 4;127(4):542-53.

469.

Heginbotham A, Bezur A, Bouchard M, Davis JM, Eremin K, Frantz JH, et al. An Evaluation of inter-laboratory reproducibility for quantitative XRF of historic copper Alloys. In: Mardikian P, Chemello C, Watters C, Hull P, editors. *Metal 2010 Proceedings of the International Conference on Metal Conservation*, Charleston, South Carolina, USA, October 11-15, 2010 [Internet]. Clemson University; 2010. p. 178-88. Available from: http://www.getty.edu/museum/pdfs/heginbotham_metal2010_submitted2.pdf

470.

Kovacs R, Schlosser S, Staub SP, Schmiderer A, Pernicka E, GÃ¼nther D. Characterization of calibration materials for trace element analysis and fingerprint studies of gold using LA-ICP-MS. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*. 2009;24(4).

471.

Baxter MJ. *Exploratory multivariate analysis in archaeology*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1994.

472.

Baxter MJ. *Statistics in archaeology*. Vol. Arnold applications of statistics. London: Arnold; 2003.

473.

Baxter MJ, Buck CE. Data handling and statistical analysis. In: *Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology* [Internet]. New York: Wiley; 2000. p. 681-746. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45286.pdf

474.

BAXTER MJ, FREESTONE IC. LOG-RATIO COMPOSITIONAL DATA ANALYSIS IN

ARCHAEOMETRY*. Archaeometry. 2006;48(3):511-31.

475.

Charlton MF, Blakelock E, Martinon-Torres M. Investigating the production provenance of iron artifacts with multivariate methods. Journal of Archaeological Science [Internet]. 2012;39(7):2280-93. Available from: <http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1375923/1/1375923.pdf>

476.

Drennan RD. Statistics for archaeologists: a commonsense approach [Internet]. 2nd ed. Vol. Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. New York: Springer; 2009. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0413-3>

477.

Fletcher M, Lock GR. Digging numbers: elementary statistics for archaeologists. Vol. Monograph / Oxford University Committee for Archaeology. Oxford: Oxford University Committee for Archaeology; 1991.

478.

Orton, Clive. Mathematics in archaeology. Vol. Collins archaeology. London: Collins; 1980.

479.

Shennan S. Quantifying archaeology. 2nd ed. Iowa City: University of Iowa Press; 1997.

480.

Thornton CP, Lamberg-Karlovsky CC, Liezers M, Young SMM. On Pins and Needles: Tracing the Evolution of Copper-base Alloying at Tepe Yahya, Iran, via ICP-MS Analysis of Common-place Items. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2002;29(12):1451-60.

481.

Ponting MJ. Keeping up with the Romans? Romanisation and copper alloys in First Revolt Palestine. Institute for Archaeo-Metallurgical Studies newsletter [Internet]. 2002;22:3–6. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c1071af0-4a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

482.

Chippindale C. Colleagues, talking, writing, publishing. In: Handbook of archaeological methods [Internet]. Lanham, Md: Altamira Press; 2006. p. 1339–71. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45101.pdf

483.

Sand-Jensen K. How to write consistently boring scientific literature. Oikos. 2007;116(5):723–7.

484.

White P. Producing the record. In: Archaeology in practice: a student guide to archaeological analyses [Internet]. Malden, MA: Blackwell; 2006. p. 410–25. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45099.pdf