

LAWSG027: Gender, Law and the State: Current Legal Issues

View Online



1.

Course Information:

2.

Lacey, Nicola. * Introduction to the Essays. In: Unspeakable Subjects: Feminist Essays in Legal and Social Theory. Hart; 1998. doi:10.5040/9781472561916

3.

Munro V. * Chapter 1. In: Law and Politics at the Perimeter: Re-Evaluating Key Debates in Feminist Theory. Vol Legal theory today. Hart; 2007.

4.

Joanne Conaghan. Reassessing the Feminist Theoretical Project in Law. Journal of Law and Society. 2000;27(3):351-385. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1410380>

5.

Review by: Nicola Lacey. Feminist Legal Theory. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 1989;9(3):383-394. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/764424>

6.

MacKinnon C. Chapter 13: Toward Feminist Jurisprudence. In: Toward a Feminist Theory of the State. Harvard University Press; 1989.

7.

Freeman, Michael D. A., Lloyd of Hampstead, Dennis Lloyd. Chapter on Feminist Jurisprudence. In: Lloyd's Introduction to Jurisprudence. 8th ed. Sweet & Maxwell; 2008.

8.

Scales A. The Emergence of Feminist Jurisprudence: An Essay. In: Feminist Jurisprudence. Oxford University Press; 1993.

9.

Halley, Janet E. Split Decisions: How and Why to Take a Break from Feminism. Princeton University Press; 2008.

10.

Marshall, Jill. Part 1. In: Humanity, Freedom and Feminism. Vol Applied legal philosophy. Ashgate; 2005.

11.

Munro, Vanessa. * Chapter 6: Equality, Respect and feminist Futures. In: Law and Politics at the Perimeter: Re-Evaluating Key Debates in Feminist Theory. Vol Legal theory today. Hart; 2007.

12.

MacKinnon, Catharine A. * Chapter 2: Difference and Dominance: On Sex Discrimination. In: Feminism Unmodified: Discourses on Life and Law. Harvard University Press; 1987.

13.

Hunter, Rosemary C. * Chapter 1: Introduction: Feminism and Equality. In: Rethinking Equality Projects in Law: Feminist Challenges. Vol Oñati international series in law and society. Hart Publishing; 2008.

<https://www-bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/rethinking-equality-projects-in-law-feminist-challenges/>

14.

Birgit Christensen and Andrew F. Smith. Equality and Justice: Remarks on a Necessary Relationship. *Hypatia*. 2005;20(2):155-163. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3811169>

15.

Christine A. Littleton. Reconstructing Sexual Equality. *California Law Review*. 1987;75(4):1279-1337. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3480595>

16.

Cornell, Drucilla. *At the Heart of Freedom: Feminism, Sex, and Equality*. Princeton University Press; 1998.

17.

Young, Iris Marion. *Justice and the Politics of Difference*. Princeton University Press; 1990.

18.

Crenshaw, Kimberle. *Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*. 1989;1989.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/uchclf1989&id=143&collection=journals&index=journals/uchclf>

19.

Jennifer C. Nash. *re-thinking intersectionality. *Feminist Review*. 2008;(89):1-15.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40663957>

20.

Kimberle Crenshaw. Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color. *Stanford Law Review*. 1991;43(6):1241-1299.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1229039>

21.

Yuval-Davis N. Intersectionality and Feminist Politics. *European Journal of Women's Studies*. 2006;13(3):193-209. doi:10.1177/1350506806065752

22.

Yoshida K. Towards Intersectionality in the European Court of Human Rights: The Case of B.S. v Spain. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 2013;21(2):195-204. doi:10.1007/s10691-013-9241-1

23.

Conaghan J. Intersectionality and the Feminist Project in Law. In: *Intersectionality and Beyond: Law, Power and the Politics of Location*. Vol Social Justice. Taylor and Francis; 2008. <http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=355908>

24.

Conaghan J. Intersectionality and the Feminist Project in Law. In: *Intersectionality and Beyond*. Routledge-Cavendish; 2009.
https://kar.kent.ac.uk/1900/1/Intersectionality_Feminist_Project15NOV07DP.pdf

25.

Munro V. * Chapter 3: On Reform and Reforming Rights. In: *Law and Politics at the Perimeter: Re-Evaluating Key Debates in Feminist Theory*. Vol Legal theory today. Hart; 2007.

26.

Nedelsky, Jennifer. * Reconceiving Rights as Relationship. *Review of Constitutional Studies*. 1993;1.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/revicos1&id=3&collection=journals&index=journals/revicos#3>

27.

Nussbaum, Martha Craven. * Chapters 1 and 2. In: Sex & Social Justice. Oxford University Press; 1999.

28.

Lacey N. * Chapter 1: From Individual to Group? A Feminist Analysis of the Limits of Anti-Discrimination Legislation. In: Unspeakable Subjects: Feminist Essays in Legal and Social Theory. Hart; 1998:19-45. doi:10.5040/9781472561916.ch-001

29.

Smart C. * Chapters 1 and 7. In: Feminism and the Power of Law. Vol Sociology of law and crime. Routledge; 1989. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203206164>

30.

MacKinnon, Catharine A. Toward a Feminist Theory of the State. Harvard University Press; 1989.

31.

MacKinnon, Catharine A. Women's Lives, Men's Laws. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 2004. doi:10.2307/j.ctv1pdrpw3?locatt=mode:legacy

32.

Gilligan C. In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development. 38th print. Harvard University Press; 2003. doi:10.2307/j.ctvj2wr9?locatt=mode:legacy

33.

Irigaray, Luce, Montin, Karin. Thinking the Difference: For a Peaceful Revolution. Athlone; 1994.

34.

Pateman, Carole. *The Sexual Contract*. Polity; 1988.

35.

Young, Iris Marion. *Justice and the Politics of Difference*. Princeton University Press; 1990.

36.

Cornell, Drucilla. *At the Heart of Freedom: Feminism, Sex, and Equality*. Princeton University Press; 1998.

37.

Robin West. *Jurisprudence and Gender*. *The University of Chicago Law Review*. 1988;55(1):1-72. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1599769>

38.

Beauvoir, Simone de, Borde, Constance, Malovany-Chevallier, Sheila. *The Second Sex*. Vintage; 2011.

39.

Williams, Patricia J. *The Alchemy of Race and Rights*. Harvard University Press; 1991.

40.

Halley, Janet E. *Split Decisions: How and Why to Take a Break from Feminism*. Princeton University Press; 2008.

41.

Smart C. *Feminism and the Power of Law*. Routledge; 1989.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134972838>

42.

Anthias F, Yuval-Davis N, Cain H. *Racialized Boundaries: Race, Nation, Gender, Colour and Class and the Anti-Racist Struggle*. Routledge; 1992.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203992920>

43.

Robson R. *Sappho Goes to Law School: Fragments in Lesbian Legal Theory. Vol Between men--between women*. Columbia University Press; 1998.

44.

Okin SM. *Justice, Gender, and the Family*. Basic Books; 1989.

45.

Mill JS. *The Subjection of Women*. Longmans, Green, Reader and Dyer; 1869.
<http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&NEWS=N&PAGE=toc&SEARCH=2008-18239.dd&LINKTYPE=asBody&D=psbk>

46.

Butler J. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Vol Routledge classics. 2nd ed. Routledge; 2006. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203824979>

47.

Nancy Fraser, Axel Honneth. *Redistribution or Recognition?* Verso

48.

Moran, Leslie J. *Judicial Diversity and the Challenge of Sexuality: Some Preliminary Findings. *Sydney Law Review*. 2006;28(4).
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/sydney28&id=559&collection=journals&index=>

49.

Hunter R, McGlynn C, Rackley E. *Chapter 1. Feminist Judgments: An Introduction. In: Feminist Judgments: From Theory to Practice. Hart; 2010.
<https://www-bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/feminist-judgments-from-theory-to-practice/>

50.

Hunter R. *Chapter 2. An Account of Feminist Judging. In: Feminist Judgments: From Theory to Practice. Hart; 2010.
<https://www-bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/feminist-judgments-from-theory-to-practice/>

51.

Rackley E. Women, Judging and the Judiciary: From Difference to Diversity. Routledge; 2013.

52.

Diduck A. * Shifting Familiarity. Current Legal Problems. 2005;58:235-254.
<http://clp.oxfordjournals.org/content/58/1.toc>

53.

Olsen F. * The Family and the Market: A Study of Ideology and Legal Reform. In: Feminist Jurisprudence. Oxford University Press; 1993.

54.

Carol Smart. * The Legal and Moral Ordering of Child Custody. Journal of Law and Society. 1991;18(4):485-500. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1410322>

55.

Collier R. *A Hard Time to Be a Father?: Reassessing the Relationship Between Law, Policy,

and Family (Practices). *Journal of Law and Society*. 2001;28(4):520-545.
doi:10.1111/1467-6478.00201

56.

Stychin C. Chapter 2: Family Friendly? Rights, Responsibilities and Relationship Recognition. In: *Feminist Perspectives on Family Law*. Routledge-Cavendish; 2006.

57.

Diduck, Alison, Kaganas, Felicity. Chapter 1. In: *Family Law, Gender and the State*. 3rd ed. Hart; 2012.

58.

Cossman B. Family Feuds: Neo-Liberal and Neo-Conservative Visions of the Re-Privatization Project. In: *Privatization, Law, and the Challenge to Feminism*. University of Toronto Press; 2002:169-217. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3138/9781442678774.9>

59.

Fineman, Martha. *The Autonomy Myth: A Theory of Dependency*. New Press; 2004.

60.

Davidoff, Leonore. *The Family Story: Blood, Contract and Intimacy, 1830-1960*. Vol Women and men in history. Longman; 1999.

61.

Barlow A, Duncan S, Edwards R. Chapter 5: New Labour, the rationality mistake and family policy in Britain. In: *Analysing Families: Morality and Rationality in Policy and Practice*. Routledge; 2002.

62.

Lewis J. Chapter 3.1: Individualisation, assumptions about the existence of an adult worker model and the shift towards contractualism. In: *Analysing Families: Morality and Rationality in Policy and Practice*. Routledge; 2002.

63.

Lees S. Chapter 3. In: *Carnal Knowledge: Rape on Trial*. Rev. and updated ed. Women's Press; 2002.

64.

Friedman J, Valenti J. Chapter 1 & 2. In: *Yes Means Yes!: Visions of Female Sexual Power & a World without Rape*. Seal Press; 2008.

65.

MacKinnon CA. *Toward a Feminist Theory of the State*. Harvard University Press; 1989.

66.

Deming ME, Covan EK, Swan SC, Billings DL. Exploring Rape Myths, Gendered Norms, Group Processing, and the Social Context of Rape Among College Women: A Qualitative Analysis. *Violence Against Women*. 2013;19(4):465-485. doi:10.1177/1077801213487044

67.

Horvath M, Brown J. *Rape: Challenging Contemporary Thinking*. Routledge; 2013.

68.

Munro VE. Concerning Consent: Standards of Permissibility in Sexual Relations. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2005;25(2):335-352. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqi017

69.

KELLY L, LOVETT J, REGAN L. A Gap or a Chasm? Attrition in Reported Rape Cases.

Published online 2005. <http://www.apho.org.uk/resource/item.aspx?RID=78107>

70.

Temkin J. Rape and the Legal Process. Vol Oxford monographs on criminal law and criminal justice. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2002.

71.

Nicola Gavey. Just Sex? Routledge

72.

McGregor J. Is It Rape?: On Acquaintance Rape and Taking Women's Consent Seriously. Vol Live questions in ethics and moral philosophy. Ashgate; 2005.

73.

Munsch CL, Willer R. The Role of Gender Identity Threat in Perceptions of Date Rape and Sexual Coercion. Violence Against Women. 2012;18(10):1125-1146.
doi:10.1177/1077801212465151

74.

Aronowitz AA. Chapters 1 & 2. In: Human Trafficking, Human Misery: The Global Trade in Human Beings. Vol Global crime and justice. 1st paperback edition. Scarecrow Press; 2013.

75.

Masika R, Oxfam. Gender, Trafficking, and Slavery. Vol Oxfam focus on gender. Oxfam; 2002.

76.

Kempadoo K, Doezema J. Global Sex Workers: Rights, Resistance, and Redefinition. Routledge; 1998. <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/enhancements/fy0651/97045759-d.html>

77.

Jeffreys S. Chapters 6 & 7. In: The Industrial Vagina: The Political Economy of the Global Sex Trade. Vol RIPE series in global political economy. Routledge; 2009.

78.

CASE OF RANTSEV v. CYPRUS AND RUSSIA. Published online 2010.
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-96549#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-96549\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-96549#{\)

79.

Cameron S, Newman E. Trafficking in Humans: Social, Cultural and Political Dimensions. United Nations University Press; 2008.

80.

Chandran P. Human Trafficking Handbook: Recognising Trafficking and Modern-Day Slavery in the UK. LexisNexis; 2011.

81.

Doezema J. Sex Slaves and Discourse Masters: The Construction of Trafficking. Zed; 2010.

82.

Gallagher AT. The International Law of Human Trafficking. Cambridge University Press; 2010. <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511761065>

83.

Guild E, Minderhoud PE. Immigration and Criminal Law in the European Union: The Legal Measures and Social Consequences of Criminal Law in Member States on Trafficking and Smuggling in Human Beings. Vol Immigration and asylum law and policy in Europe. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers; 2006. doi:10.1163/ej.9789004150645.i-425

84.

Morris EG. Second Class Migrants?: Gender and Migration in the European Union : Explaining Gender (in)Equality in EU Immigration, Asylum, and Trafficking Policymaking. VDM; 2009.

85.

Shelley LI. Human Trafficking: A Global Perspective. Cambridge University Press; 2010.
doi:10.1017/CBO9780511760433

86.

Holtmaat R. *The CEDAW: A Holistic Approach to Women's Equality and Freedom. In: Women's Human Rights: CEDAW in International, Regional, and National Law. Vol Studies on human rights conventions. Cambridge University Press; 2013:95-124.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/womens-human-rights/cedaw/F0BA5E99167E804E5A8541E37003637F>

87.

Fredman S. *The Role of Equality and Non-Discrimination Laws in Women's Economic Participation, Formal and Informal. Published online 2013.
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Women/WGWomen/Pages/ESL.aspx>

88.

Lacey N. Feminist Legal Theory and The Rights of Women. In: Gender and Human Rights. Vol The collected courses of the Academy of European Law. Oxford University Press; 2004:13-57.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma990016212650204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=title,contains,Gender%20and%20Human%20Rights,AND&query=creator,contains,Knop,AND&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,9081303978816451051&mode=advanced&offset=0

89.

Byrnes A. The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women. In: The Human Rights of Women: International Instruments and African Experiences. Zed Books in association with World University Service; 2002:119-173.

90.

Walsh DM. Women's Rights in Democratizing States: Just Debate and Gender Justice in the Public Sphere. Cambridge University Press; 2011.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511782220>

91.

Brems E. Protecting the Human Rights of Women. In: International Human Rights in the 21st Century: Protecting the Rights of Groups. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2003:100-141.

92.

Charlesworth H. What are "Women's International Human Rights?". In: Human Rights of Women: National and International Perspectives. Vol Pennsylvania studies in human rights. University of Pennsylvania Press; 1994:58-85. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt3fhxkd.7>

93.

Reilly N. Women's Human Rights: Seeking Gender Justice in a Globalizing Age. Polity; 2009.

94.

Grimshaw et al. P. Chapter 3. In: Women's Rights and Human Rights: International Historical Perspectives. Palgrave; 2001.

95.

Cusack, Simone. CEDAW and the Rights to Non-Discrimination and Equality. Melbourne Journal of International Law. 2013;14.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/meljil14&id=64&collection=journals&index=>

96.

General Recommendation No. 25, on article 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, on temporary special measures, Paras 6 and 7. Published online 2004.

<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/recommendations/>

97.

General Recommendation No. 28, on the core obligations of States parties under article 2 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women.

Published online 2001. <http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cedaw/comments.htm>

98.

Hilary Charlesworth, Christine Chinkin and Shelley Wright. * Feminist Approaches to International Law. *The American Journal of International Law*. 1991;85(4):613-645.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2203269>

99.

Radacic I. * Gender Equality Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights.

European Journal of International Law. 2008;19(4):841-857. doi:10.1093/ejil/chn044

100.

*Abdulaziz, Cabales and Balkandali v. the United Kingdom. Published online 1985.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57416#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-57416\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57416#{\)

101.

*Stec and others v. the United Kingdom. Published online 2006.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-73198#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-73198\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-73198#{\)

102.

*Leyla Sahin v Turkey. Published online 2005.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-70956\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{\)

103.

Marshall, Jill. *Conditions for Freedom - European Human Rights Law and the Islamic Headscarf Debate. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 2008;30(3):631-654.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20072862>

104.

Langlaude S. *Indoctrination, Secularism, Religious Liberty, and the ECHR. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 2006;55(4):929-944. doi:10.1093/iclq/lei135

105.

McGlynn C. *RAPE, TORTURE AND THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 2009;58(03).
doi:10.1017/S0020589309001195

106.

Ivana Radacic. * Rape cases in the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights: defining rape and determining the scope of the state's obligations. *European Human Rights Law Review*. 2008;(3):357-375.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF811B810253811DD86CC82E94475513A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

107.

Shazia Choudhry and Jonathan Herring. * Domestic violence and the Human Rights Act 1998: a new means of legal intervention? *Public Law*. 2006;(Winter):752-784.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I1357EA60540511DB85F29853495F842F/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

108.

Jill Marshall. * A right to personal autonomy at the European Court of Human Rights. *European Human Rights Law Review*. 2008;(3):337-356.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF8119100253811DD86CC82E94475513A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

109.

*Brüggemann and Scheuten v The Federal Republic of Germany. Published online 1977.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-74824#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-74824\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-74824#{\)

110.

*Vo v France. Published online 2004.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-61887#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-61887\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-61887#{\)

111.

*Tysiac v Poland. Published online 2007.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-79812#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-79812\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-79812#{\)

112.

*D v Ireland. Published online 2006.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-76233#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-76233\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-76233#{\)

113.

*A, B and C v Ireland. Published online 2010.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-102332#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-102332\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-102332#{\)

114.

Paolo Ronchi. A, B and C v Ireland: Europe's Roe v Wade still has to wait. *Law Quarterly*

Review. 2011;(127):365-369.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I3C0F90D09A2711E09D29F4D8A5F97F11/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

115.

Charlesworth, Hilary, Chinkin, C. M. *The Boundaries of International Law: A Feminist Analysis*. Vol Melland Schill studies in international law. Manchester University Press; 2000.

116.

Dembour, Marie-Bénédicte. *Who Believes in Human Rights?: Reflections on the European Convention*. Vol Law in context. Cambridge University Press; 2006.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511618192>

117.

Women's Link Worldwide - Women's Link's Gender Justice Observatory.
<http://www.womenslinkworldwide.org/observatory/>

118.

Hugh Collins. * Discrimination, Equality and Social Inclusion. *The Modern Law Review*. 2003;66(1):16-43. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097547>

119.

Review by: Nicholas Bamforth. * Conceptions of Anti-Discrimination Law. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2004;24(4):693-716. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3600533>

120.

Fredman S. * Equality: A New Generation? *Industrial Law Journal*. 2001;30(2):145-168.
doi:10.1093/ilj/30.2.145

121.

Fredman, Sandra. Discrimination Law. Vol Clarendon law series. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

122.

Article 157 Treaty on the Foundation of the EU (TFEU), formerly Article 141 of the EC Treaty. Published online 2012.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012E/TXT>

123.

Directive 75/117 EC. Published online 1975.

http://europa.eu/legislation_summaries/employment_and_social_policy/employment_rights_and_work_organisation/c10905_en.htm

124.

Defrenne v Sabena (No 2) (1976) C-43/75. Published online 1976.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:61975CJ0043>

125.

Directive 76/207/EEC. Published online 1976.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:31976L0207&qid=1418055475418&from=EN>

126.

Directive 2002/73/EC. Published online 2002.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32002L0073&rid=1>

127.

Directive 2006/54/EC. Published online 2006.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32006L0054&from=EN>

128.

Directive 2004/113/EC. Published online 2004.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2004:373:0037:0043:EN:PDF>

129.

Article 19 TFEU (ex Article 13 EC). Published online 2012.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012E/TXT>

130.

Directive 2000/43/EC. Published online 2000.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32000L0043&from=EN>

131.

Directive 2000/78/EC. Published online 2000.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2000:303:0016:0022:EN:PDF>

132.

EU Charter of Fundamental Rights. Published online 2000.

http://ec.europa.eu/justice/fundamental-rights/charter/index_en.htm

133.

Burrows N, Robison M. An Assessment of the Recast of Community Equality Laws.

European Law Journal. 2007;13(2):186-203. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2007.00363.x

134.

Masselot A. The State of Gender Equality Law in the European Union. European Law Journal

. 2007;13(2):152-168. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2007.00361.x

135.

Equality Act 2010. Published online 2010.
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/contents>

136.

Case C-249/96, Grant v. South-west Trains Ltd. [1998] ECR I-621. Published online 1998.
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:61996CJ0249&from=EN>

137.

Porcelli v Strathclyde [1986] ICR 564. Court of Session (Inner House, First Division) | January 31, 1986 | 1986 WL 408446(1986).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I23B4B0C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

138.

*Bahl v The Law Society & Anor [2004] EWCA Civ 1070. Published online 2004.
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2004/1070.html>

139.

*R v Birmingham CC ex parte EOC [1989] IRLR 173; [1989] AC 1155; 2 WLR 520; 1 All ER 769, HL. *1155 Birmingham City Council Appellants v Equal Opportunities Commission Respondents. Published online 1989.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I33C34170E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

140.

House of Lords. *James v Eastleigh Borough Council [1990] ICR 554; [1990] IRLR 288; 2 AC 751; 3 WLR 55; 2 All ER 607, HL.(1990).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICBD48150E42711DA8FC2A0F03>

55337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true

141.

Industrial Tribunal. Price v Civil Service Commission [1978] IRLR 3.(1978).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I81C21C80E43611DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

142.

Employment Appeal Tribunal. Watches of Switzerland v Savell [1983] IRLR 141.(1983).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA8E35A90E43611DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

143.

London Underground v Edwards (No 2) [1999] I.C.R. 494. *494 London Underground Ltd v Edwards (No 2). Published online 1998.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE309AAD0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

144.

European Court of Justice. Bilka-Kaufhaus GmbH v Weber Von Hartz (170/84) [1986] ECR 1607.(1986).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I748F3250E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

145.

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Enderby v Frenchay Health Authority (Case C-127/92) [1993] IRLR 591.(1993).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA1777930E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

146.

*R v Secretary of State for Employment Ex Parte Seymour-Smith and Perez (167/97) [1999] ECR I-623. European Court of Justice | February 9, 1999 | 1999 WL 477592(1999). <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I653D1E60E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

147.

Court of Justice of the European Communities. *Cadman v Health & Safety Executive (Equal Opportunities Commission Intervening) (17/05) [2007] IRLR 969.(2007). <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I709A06E0880811DB92B1C1B25B24F692/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

148.

McGlynn, Clare. * Reclaiming a Feminist Vision: The Reconciliation of Paid Work and Family Life in European Union Law and Policy. Columbia Journal of European Law. 2001;7. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/coljeul7&id=247&collection=journals&index=journals/coljeul#247>

149.

Sandra Fredman. * A difference with distinction: pregnancy and parenthood reassessed. Law Quarterly Review. 1994;110(Jan):106-123. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICCF9F040E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

150.

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Dekker (177/88) [1990] ECR I-3941 .(1990). <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I9639D180E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

151.

*Webb v EMO (32/93) [1994] ECR I-3567. Published online 1994.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF733C1C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

152.

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Tele Danmark A/S (109/00) [2001] ECR I-6993.(2001).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICE7BB710E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

153.

Directive 92/85 Pregnant Workers Directive.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:31992L0085:EN:HTML>

154.

Directive 2010/18 Parental Leave Directive.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2010:068:0013:0020:EN:PDF>

155.

Directive 97/81 Part Time Work Directive.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:31997L0081:EN:HTML>

156.

McCrudden C. *Rethinking Positive Action. Industrial Law Journal. 1986;15(1):219-243. doi:10.1093/ilj/15.1.219

157.

Barnes L. The Diversity Approach to Achieving Equality: Potential and Pitfalls. Industrial Law Journal. 2003;32(4):274-296. doi:10.1093/ilj/32.4.274

158.

Burrows N, Robison M. *Positive Action for Women in Employment: Time to Align with Europe? *Journal of Law and Society*. 2006;33(1):24-41.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-6478.2006.00345.x

159.

Court of Justice of the European Communities. *Kalanke (450/93) [1995] ECR I-3051 (1995).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID1747A20E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

160.

*Marschall v Land Nordrhein Westfalen (409/95) [1997] ECR I-6363. Published online 1997.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEEF9D9A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

161.

Badeck (158/97) [2000] ECR I-1875. European Court of Justice | March 28, 2000 | 2000 WL 281317(2000).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6D798971E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

162.

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Abrahamsson v Fogelqvist (407/98) [2000] ECR I-5539. European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber) | July 6, 2000 | 2000 WL 636494(2000).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I47B76630E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

163.

*Lommers (476/99) [2002] ECR I-2891. Published online 2002.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE26FDBD0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

164.

Court of Justice of the European Communities (Second Chamber). *Briheche v Ministre de l'Intérieur (319/03) [2004] ECR I-8807.(2004).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I7A7E3580E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

165.

*Roca Álvarez v Sesa Start España ETT SA (104/09) [2011] 1 CMLR 28. European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) | September 30, 2010 | 2010 WL 3781677(2011).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6465D470EC7811DF9E738AE5417A83F5/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

166.

Volpp L. Feminism versus Multiculturalism. Columbia Law Review. 2001;101(5).
doi:10.2307/1123774

167.

Marshall, Jill. Conditions for Freedom - European Human Rights Law and the Islamic Headscarf Debate. Human Rights Quarterly. 2008;30(3).

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20072862>

168.

Steiner HJ, Philip. International Human Rights in Context : Law, Politics, Morals : Text and Materials.; 2008.

http://encore.ulrls.lon.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2991414__SInternational%20Human%20Rights%20in%20Context%253A%20law%252C%20politics%252C%20morals__Ff%253Afacetlocations%253Aull%253Aull%253ASENATE%20HOUSE%20LIBRARY%253A%253A__Orightresult__X4?lang=eng&suite=cobalt

169.

Rao A. The Politics of gender and culture in international human rights discourse. In: Women's Rights, Human Rights: International Feminist Perspectives. Routledge; 1995.

170.

Marshall J. Freedom of Religious Expression and Gender Equality: Sahin v Turkey. Modern Law Review. 2006;69(3):452-461. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2006.00591_1.x

171.

Okin, Susan Moller, Cohen, Joshua, Howard, Matthew, Nussbaum, Martha Craven. Is Multiculturalism Bad for Women? Princeton University Press; 1999.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7sxzs>

172.

Bayefsky AF. General Approaches to Domestic Application of Women's International Human Rights Law. In: Human Rights of Women: National and International Perspectives. Vol Pennsylvania studies in human rights. University of Pennsylvania Press; 1994:351-374.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt3fhxkd.19>

173.

Parekh, Bhikhu C. Conceptualising human beings. In: Rethinking Multiculturalism: Cultural Diversity and Political Theory. 2nd ed. Palgrave Macmillan; 2006.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14687495240004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

174.

Karaduman v Turkey (Application No. 16278/90), 74 Eur. Comm'n. H.R. Dec. & Rep. 93 (1993). Published online 1993.
<http://www.strasbourgconsortium.org/common/document.view.php?DocumentID=4237>

175.

Dahlab v Switzerland (Application No. 42393/98, ECHR 2001-V). Published online 2001.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-22643#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-22643%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-22643#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-22643%22]})

176.

Sahin v Turkey (Application No. 44774/98). Published online 2005.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-70956%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-70956%22]})

177.

House of Lords. R (Shabina Begum) v Denbigh High School Governors (HL) (2006) UKHL 15 (2006).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=ICEDAD020F83611DAB97BB67935546CF2&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

178.

Law No 2004-228 of March 15, 2004, JO March 17 2004 p 5190 JCP 2004. Published online 2004.

<http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000000417977&dateTexte=&categorieLien=id>

179.

Araji SK. Crimes of Honour and Shame: Violence Against Women in Non-Western and Western Societies. The Red Feather Journal of Postmodern Criminology. Published online 2000. <http://www.critcrim.org/redfeather/journal-pomocrim/vol-8-shaming/araji.html>

180.

Okin SM, Cohen J, Howard M, Nussbaum MC. Is Multiculturalism Bad for Women? Princeton University Press; 1999. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7sxzs>

181.

Coomaraswamy R. Identity Within: Cultural Relativism, Minority Rights and the Empowerment of Women. In: Men's Laws, Women's Lives: A Constitutional Perspective on

Religion, Common Law, and Culture in South Asia. Women Unlimited; 2005.

182.

Mullally S. Feminism and Multicultural Dilemmas in India: Revisiting the Shah Bano Case. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 2004;24(4):671-692. doi:10.1093/ojls/24.4.671

183.

Siddiqui H. 'There is no "honour" in domestic violence, only shame!' Women's struggles against 'honour' crimes in the UK. In: 'Honour': Crimes, Paradigms, and Violence against Women. Zed Books; 2005.

184.

An-Na'im AA. State Responsibility under International Human Rights Law to Change Religious and Customary Laws. In: Human Rights of Women: National and International Perspectives. Vol Pennsylvania studies in human rights. University of Pennsylvania Press; 1994:167-188. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt3fhxkd.11>

185.

Marshall J. Chapter 7. In: Humanity, Freedom and Feminism. Vol Applied legal philosophy. Ashgate; 2005.

186.

Copelon, Rhonda. Gender Crimes as War Crimes: Integrating Crimes against Women into International Criminal Law. McGill Law Journal. 2000;46.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mcgil46&id=227&collection=journals&index=>

187.

Viseur Sellers P. Individual(s) Liability for Collective Sexual Violence. In: Gender and Human Rights. Vol The collected courses of the Academy of European Law. Oxford University Press; 2004. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199260911.001.0001>

188.

Askin KD. Gender Crimes Jurisprudence in the ICTR: Positive Developments. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*. 2005;3(4):1007-1018. doi:10.1093/jicj/mqi061

189.

Chinkin C. Rape and Sexual Abuse of Women in International Law. *European Journal of International Law*. 1994;5(3):326-341. <http://ejil.oxfordjournals.org/content/5/1/326.citation>

190.

Chesterman, Simon. Never Again . . . and Again: Law, Order, and the Gender of War Crimes in Bosnia and Beyond. *Yale Journal of International Law*. 1997;22.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yjil22&id=309&collection=journals&index=>