

LAWSG027: Gender, Law and the State: Current Legal Issues

View Online



1

Course Information:

2

Lacey, Nicola. * Introduction to the Essays. In: Unspeakable subjects: feminist essays in legal and social theory. Oxford: : Hart 1998. doi:10.5040/9781472561916

3

Munro V. * Chapter 1. In: Law and politics at the perimeter: re-evaluating key debates in feminist theory. Oxford: : Hart 2007.

4

Joanne Conaghan. Reassessing the Feminist Theoretical Project in Law. Journal of Law and Society 2000;**27**:351–85.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1410380>

5

Review by: Nicola Lacey. Feminist Legal Theory. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 1989;**9**:383–94.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/764424>

6

MacKinnon C. Chapter 13: Toward Feminist Jurisprudence. In: Toward a feminist theory of the state. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1989.

7

Freeman, Michael D. A., Lloyd of Hampstead, Dennis Lloyd. Chapter on Feminist Jurisprudence. In: Lloyd's introduction to jurisprudence. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2008.

8

Scales A. The Emergence of Feminist Jurisprudence: An Essay. In: Feminist jurisprudence. New York: : Oxford University Press 1993.

9

Halley, Janet E. Split decisions: how and why to take a break from feminism. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 2008.

10

Marshall, Jill. Part 1. In: Humanity, freedom and feminism. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2005.

11

Munro, Vanessa. * Chapter 6: Equality, Respect and feminist Futures. In: Law and politics at the perimeter: re-evaluating key debates in feminist theory. Oxford: : Hart 2007.

12

MacKinnon, Catharine A. * Chapter 2: Difference and Dominance: On Sex Discrimination. In: Feminism unmodified: discourses on life and law. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1987.

13

Hunter, Rosemary C. * Chapter 1: Introduction: Feminism and Equality. In: Rethinking equality projects in law: feminist challenges. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2008.
<https://www-bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/rethinking-equality-project-s-in-law-feminist-challenges/>

14

Birgit Christensen and Andrew F. Smith. Equality and Justice: Remarks on a Necessary Relationship. *Hypatia* 2005;**20**:155–63.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3811169>

15

Christine A. Littleton. Reconstructing Sexual Equality. *California Law Review* 1987;**75**:1279–337.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3480595>

16

Cornell, Drucilla. At the heart of freedom: feminism, sex, and equality. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1998.

17

Young, Iris Marion. Justice and the politics of difference. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1990.

18

Crenshaw, Kimberle. *Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 1989;**1989**
. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/uchclf1989&id=143&collection=journals&index=journals/uchclf>

19

Jennifer C. Nash. *re-thinking intersectionality. *Feminist Review* 2008;:1–15.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40663957>

20

Kimberle Crenshaw. Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color. *Stanford Law Review* 1991;**43**

:1241-99.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1229039>

21

Yuval-Davis N. Intersectionality and Feminist Politics. *European Journal of Women's Studies* 2006;**13**:193-209. doi:10.1177/1350506806065752

22

Yoshida K. Towards Intersectionality in the European Court of Human Rights: The Case of B.S. v Spain. *Feminist Legal Studies* 2013;**21**:195-204. doi:10.1007/s10691-013-9241-1

23

Conaghan J. Intersectionality and the Feminist Project in Law. In: *Intersectionality and Beyond: Law, Power and the Politics of Location*. Hoboken: : Taylor and Francis 2008. <http://UCL.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=355908>

24

Conaghan J. Intersectionality and the Feminist Project in Law. In: *Intersectionality and beyond*. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge-Cavendish 2009. https://kar.kent.ac.uk/1900/1/Intersectionality_Feminist_Project15NOV07DP.pdf

25

Munro V. * Chapter 3: On Reform and Reforming Rights. In: *Law and politics at the perimeter: re-evaluating key debates in feminist theory*. Oxford: : Hart 2007.

26

Nedelsky, Jennifer. * Reconceiving Rights as Relationship. *Review of Constitutional Studies* 1993;**1**. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/revicos1&id=3&collection=journals&index=journals/revicos#3>

27

Nussbaum, Martha Craven. * Chapters 1 and 2. In: Sex & social justice. New York: : Oxford University Press 1999.

28

Lacey N. * Chapter 1: From Individual to Group? A Feminist Analysis of the Limits of Anti-Discrimination Legislation. In: Unspeakable subjects: feminist essays in legal and social theory. Oxford: : Hart 1998. 19–45. doi:10.5040/9781472561916.ch-001

29

Smart C. * Chapters 1 and 7. In: Feminism and the power of law. London: : Routledge 1989. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203206164>

30

MacKinnon, Catharine A. Toward a feminist theory of the state. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1989.

31

MacKinnon, Catharine A. Women's lives, men's laws. Cambridge, Mass: : Belknap Press of Harvard University Press 2004. doi:10.2307/j.ctv1pdrpw3?locatt=mode:legacy

32

Gilligan C. In a different voice: psychological theory and women's development. 38th print. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : Harvard University Press 2003. doi:10.2307/j.ctvj2wr9?locatt=mode:legacy

33

Irigaray, Luce, Montin, Karin. Thinking the difference: for a peaceful revolution. London: : Athlone 1994.

34

Pateman, Carole. *The sexual contract*. Cambridge: : Polity 1988.

35

Young, Iris Marion. *Justice and the politics of difference*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1990.

36

Cornell, Drucilla. *At the heart of freedom: feminism, sex, and equality*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1998.

37

Robin West. *Jurisprudence and Gender*. *The University of Chicago Law Review* 1988;**55**:1-72.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1599769>

38

Beauvoir, Simone de, Borde, Constance, Malovany-Chevallier, Sheila. *The second sex*. New York: : Vintage 2011.

39

Williams, Patricia J. *The alchemy of race and rights*. Cambridge, Mass.: : Harvard University Press 1991.

40

Halley, Janet E. *Split decisions: how and why to take a break from feminism*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 2008.

41

Smart C. *Feminism and the power of law*. London: : Routledge 1989.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134972838>

42

Anthias F, Yuval-Davis N, Cain H. Racialized boundaries: race, nation, gender, colour and class and the anti-racist struggle. London: : Routledge 1992.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203992920>

43

Robson R. Sappho goes to law school: fragments in lesbian legal theory. New York: : Columbia University Press 1998.

44

Okin SM. Justice, gender, and the family. New York: : Basic Books 1989.

45

Mill JS. The subjection of women. London: : Longmans, Green, Reader and Dyer 1869.
<http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&NEWS=N&PAGE=toc&SEARCH=2008-18239.dd&LINKTYPE=asBody&D=psbk>

46

Butler J. Gender trouble: feminism and the subversion of identity. 2nd ed. New York: : Routledge 2006. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203824979>

47

Nancy Fraser, Axel Honneth. Redistribution or Recognition? Verso

48

Moran, Leslie J. *Judicial Diversity and the Challenge of Sexuality: Some Preliminary Findings. Sydney Law Review 2006;**28**
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/sydney28&id=559&collection=journals&index=>

49

Hunter R, McGlynn C, Rackley E. *Chapter 1. Feminist Judgments: An Introduction. In: Feminist judgments: from theory to practice. Oxford: : Hart 2010.
<https://www-bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/feminist-judgments-from-theory-to-practice/>

50

Hunter R. *Chapter 2. An Account of Feminist Judging. In: Feminist judgments: from theory to practice. Oxford: : Hart 2010.
<https://www-bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/feminist-judgments-from-theory-to-practice/>

51

Rackley E. Women, judging and the judiciary: from difference to diversity. Abingdon: : Routledge 2013.

52

Diduck A. * Shifting Familiarity. Current Legal Problems 2005;**58**
:235-54.<http://clp.oxfordjournals.org/content/58/1.toc>

53

Olsen F. * The Family and the Market: A Study of Ideology and Legal Reform. In: Feminist jurisprudence. New York: : Oxford University Press 1993.

54

Carol Smart. * The Legal and Moral Ordering of Child Custody. Journal of Law and Society 1991;**18**:485-500.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1410322>

55

Collier R. *A Hard Time to Be a Father?: Reassessing the Relationship Between Law, Policy,

and Family (Practices). *Journal of Law and Society* 2001;**28**:520–45.
doi:10.1111/1467-6478.00201

56

Stychin C. Chapter 2: Family Friendly? Rights, Responsibilities and Relationship Recognition. In: *Feminist perspectives on family law*. Abingdon: : Routledge-Cavendish 2006.

57

Diduck, Alison, Kaganas, Felicity. Chapter 1. In: *Family law, gender and the state*. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

58

Cossman B. Family Feuds: Neo-Liberal and Neo-Conservative Visions of the Re-Privatization Project. In: *Privatization, law, and the challenge to feminism*. Toronto: : University of Toronto Press 2002.
169–217. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3138/9781442678774.9>

59

Fineman, Martha. *The autonomy myth: a theory of dependency*. New York: : New Press 2004.

60

Davidoff, Leonore. *The family story: blood, contract and intimacy, 1830-1960*. London: : Longman 1999.

61

Barlow A, Duncan S, Edwards R. Chapter 5: New Labour, the rationality mistake and family policy in Britain. In: *Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice*. London: : Routledge 2002.

62

Lewis J. Chapter 3.1: Individualisation, assumptions about the existence of an adult worker model and the shift towards contractualism. In: *Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice*. London: : Routledge 2002.

63

Lees S. Chapter 3. In: *Carnal knowledge: rape on trial*. London: : Women's Press 2002.

64

Friedman J, Valenti J. Chapter 1 & 2. In: *Yes means yes!: visions of female sexual power & a world without rape*. Berkeley, Calif: : Seal Press 2008.

65

MacKinnon CA. *Toward a feminist theory of the state*. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1989.

66

Deming ME, Covan EK, Swan SC, et al. Exploring Rape Myths, Gendered Norms, Group Processing, and the Social Context of Rape Among College Women: A Qualitative Analysis. *Violence Against Women* 2013;**19**:465–85. doi:10.1177/1077801213487044

67

Horvath M, Brown J. *Rape: challenging contemporary thinking*. London: : Routledge 2013.

68

Munro VE. Concerning Consent: Standards of Permissibility in Sexual Relations. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 2005;**25**:335–52. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqi017

69

KELLY L, LOVETT J, REGAN L. A Gap or a Chasm? Attrition in Reported Rape Cases. 2005. <http://www.apho.org.uk/resource/item.aspx?RID=78107>

70

Temkin J. Rape and the legal process. 2nd ed. New York: : Oxford University Press 2002.

71

Nicola Gavey. Just Sex? Routledge

72

McGregor J. Is it rape?: on acquaintance rape and taking women's consent seriously. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2005.

73

Munsch CL, Willer R. The Role of Gender Identity Threat in Perceptions of Date Rape and Sexual Coercion. Violence Against Women 2012;**18**:1125-46.
doi:10.1177/1077801212465151

74

Aronowitz AA. Chapters 1 & 2. In: Human trafficking, human misery: the global trade in human beings. Lanham, Maryland: : Scarecrow Press 2013.

75

Masika R, Oxfam. Gender, trafficking, and slavery. Oxford: : Oxfam 2002.

76

Kempadoo K, Doezema J. Global sex workers: rights, resistance, and redefinition. New York: : Routledge 1998. <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/enhancements/fy0651/97045759-d.html>

77

Jeffreys S. Chapters 6 & 7. In: The industrial vagina: the political economy of the global sex trade. London: : Routledge 2009.

78

CASE OF RANTSEV v. CYPRUS AND RUSSIA.
2010.[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-96549#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-96549\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-96549#{\)

79

Cameron S, Newman E. Trafficking in humans: social, cultural and political dimensions. Tokyo: : United Nations University Press 2008.

80

Chandran P. Human trafficking handbook: recognising trafficking and modern-day slavery in the UK. London: : LexisNexis 2011.

81

Doezema J. Sex slaves and discourse masters: the construction of trafficking. London: : Zed 2010.

82

Gallagher AT. The international law of human trafficking. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010. <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511761065>

83

Guild E, Minderhoud PE. Immigration and criminal law in the European Union: the legal measures and social consequences of criminal law in member states on trafficking and smuggling in human beings. Leiden: : Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2006.
[doi:10.1163/ej.9789004150645.i-425](https://doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004150645.i-425)

84

Morris EG. Second class migrants?: gender and migration in the European Union : explaining gender (in)equality in EU immigration, asylum, and trafficking policymaking. Saarbrücken: : VDM 2009.

85

Shelley LI. Human trafficking: a global perspective. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511760433

86

Holtmaat R. *The CEDAW: A Holistic Approach to Women's Equality and Freedom. In: Women's human rights: CEDAW in international, regional, and national law. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013. 95-124.<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/womens-human-rights/cedaw/F0BA5E99167E804E5A8541E37003637F>

87

Fredman S. *The Role of Equality and Non-Discrimination Laws in Women's Economic Participation, Formal and Informal. 2013.<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Women/WGWomen/Pages/ESL.aspx>

88

Lacey N. Feminist Legal Theory and The Rights of Women. In: Gender and human rights. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2004. 13-57.https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma990016212650204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=title,contains,Gender%20and%20Human%20Rights,AND&query=creator,contains,knop,AND&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,9081303978816451051&mode=advanced&offset=0

89

Byrnes A. The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against

Women. In: The human rights of women: international instruments and African experiences. London: : Zed Books in association with World University Service 2002. 119-73.

90

Walsh DM. Women's rights in democratizing states: just debate and gender justice in the public sphere. New York: : Cambridge University Press 2011.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511782220>

91

Brems E. Protecting the Human Rights of Women. In: International human rights in the 21st century: protecting the rights of groups. Lanham: : Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2003. 100-41.

92

Charlesworth H. What are "Women's International Human Rights?". In: Human rights of women: national and international perspectives. Philadelphia: : University of Pennsylvania Press 1994. 58-85.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt3fhxkd.7>

93

Reilly N. Women's human rights: seeking gender justice in a globalizing age. Cambridge: : Polity 2009.

94

Grimshaw et al. P. Chapter 3. In: Women's rights and human rights: international historical perspectives. Basingstoke: : Palgrave 2001.

95

Cusack, Simone. CEDAW and the Rights to Non-Discrimination and Equality. Melbourne Journal of International Law 2013;**14**
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/meljil14&id=64&collection=journals&index=>

96

General Recommendation No. 25, on article 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, on temporary special measures, Paras 6 and 7. 2004. <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/recommendations/>

97

General Recommendation No. 28, on the core obligations of States parties under article 2 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women. 2001. <http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cedaw/comments.htm>

98

Hilary Charlesworth, Christine Chinkin and Shelley Wright. * Feminist Approaches to International Law. The American Journal of International Law 1991; **85**:613–45. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2203269>

99

Radacic I. * Gender Equality Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights. European Journal of International Law 2008; **19**:841–57. doi:10.1093/ejil/chn044

100

*Abdulaziz, Cabales and Balkandali v. the United Kingdom. 1985. [http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57416#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-57416\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57416#{\)

101

*Stec and others v. the United Kingdom. 2006. [http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-73198#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-73198\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-73198#{\)

102

*Leyla Sahin v Turkey.

2005.[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-70956\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{\)

103

Marshall, Jill. *Conditions for Freedom - European Human Rights Law and the Islamic Headscarf Debate. *Human Rights Quarterly* 2008;**30**:631-54.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20072862>

104

Langlaude S. *Indoctrination, Secularism, Religious Liberty, and the ECHR. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 2006;**55**:929-44. doi:10.1093/iclq/lei135

105

McGlynn C. *RAPE, TORTURE AND THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 2009;**58**. doi:10.1017/S0020589309001195

106

Ivana Radacic. * Rape cases in the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights: defining rape and determining the scope of the state's obligations. *European Human Rights Law Review* 2008;:357-75.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF811B810253811DD86CC82E94475513A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

107

Shazia Choudhry and Jonathan Herring. * Domestic violence and the Human Rights Act 1998: a new means of legal intervention? *Public Law* 2006;:752-84.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I1357EA60540511DB85F29853495F842F/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

108

Jill Marshall. * A right to personal autonomy at the European Court of Human Rights.
European Human Rights Law Review
2008;:337-56.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF8119100253811DD86CC82E94475513A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

109

*Brüggemann and Scheuten v The Federal Republic of Germany.
1977.[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-74824#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-74824\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-74824#{\)

110

*Vo v France.
2004.[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-61887#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-61887\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-61887#{\)

111

*Tysiac v Poland.
2007.[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-79812#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-79812\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-79812#{\)

112

*D v Ireland.
2006.[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-76233#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-76233\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-76233#{\)

113

*A, B and C v Ireland.
2010.[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-102332#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-102332\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-102332#{\)

114

Paolo Ronchi. A, B and C v Ireland: Europe's Roe v Wade still has to wait. Law Quarterly

Review

2011;:365–9.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I3C0F90D09A2711E09D29F4D8A5F97F11/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

115

Charlesworth, Hilary, Chinkin, C. M. The boundaries of international law: a feminist analysis . Manchester: : Manchester University Press 2000.

116

Dembour, Marie-Bénédicte. Who believes in human rights?: reflections on the European Convention. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511618192>

117

Women's Link Worldwide - Women's Link's Gender Justice Observatory.
<http://www.womenslinkworldwide.org/observatory/>

118

Hugh Collins. * Discrimination, Equality and Social Inclusion. The Modern Law Review 2003;
66:16–43.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097547>

119

Review by: Nicholas Bamforth. * Conceptions of Anti-Discrimination Law. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2004;**24**:693–716.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3600533>

120

Fredman S. * Equality: A New Generation? Industrial Law Journal 2001;**30**:145–68.
[doi:10.1093/ilj/30.2.145](https://doi.org/10.1093/ilj/30.2.145)

121

Fredman, Sandra. Discrimination law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

122

Article 157 Treaty on the Foundation of the EU (TFEU), formerly Article 141 of the EC Treaty. 2012.<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012E/TXT>

123

Directive 75/117 EC.
1975.http://europa.eu/legislation_summaries/employment_and_social_policy/employment_rights_and_work_organisation/c10905_en.htm

124

Defrenne v Sabena (No 2) (1976) C-43/75.
1976.<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:61975CJ0043>

125

Directive 76/207/EEC.
1976.<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:31976L0207&qid=1418055475418&from=EN>

126

Directive 2002/73/EC.
2002.<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32002L0073&rid=1>

127

Directive 2006/54/EC.
2006.<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32006L0054&from=EN>

128

Directive 2004/113/EC.

2004.<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2004:373:0037:0043:EN:PDF>

129

Article 19 TFEU (ex Article 13 EC).

2012.<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012E/TXT>

130

Directive 2000/43/EC.

2000.<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32000L0043&from=EN>

131

Directive 2000/78/EC.

2000.<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2000:303:0016:0022:EN:PDF>

132

EU Charter of Fundamental Rights.

2000.http://ec.europa.eu/justice/fundamental-rights/charter/index_en.htm

133

Burrows N, Robison M. An Assessment of the Recast of Community Equality Laws. *European Law Journal* 2007;**13**:186–203. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2007.00363.x

134

Masselot A. The State of Gender Equality Law in the European Union. *European Law Journal* 2007;**13**:152–68. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2007.00361.x

135

Equality Act 2010. 2010.<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/contents>

136

Case C-249/96, Grant v. South-west Trains Ltd. [1998] ECR I-621.
1998.<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:61996CJ0249&from=EN>

137

Porcelli v Strathclyde [1986] ICR 564. 1986.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I23B4B0C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

138

*Bahl v The Law Society & Anor [2004] EWCA Civ 1070.
2004.<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2004/1070.html>

139

*R v Birmingham CC ex parte EOC [1989] IRLR 173; [1989] AC 1155; 2 WLR 520; 1 All ER 769, HL. *1155 Birmingham City Council Appellants v Equal Opportunities Commission Respondents.
1989.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I33C34170E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

140

House of Lords. *James v Eastleigh Borough Council [1990] ICR 554; [1990] IRLR 288; 2 AC 751; 3 WLR 55; 2 All ER 607, HL. 1990.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICBD48150E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

141

Industrial Tribunal. Price v Civil Service Commission [1978] IRLR 3. 1978.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I81C21C80E43611DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

142

Employment Appeal Tribunal. Watches of Switzerland v Savell [1983] IRLR 141. 1983.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA8E35A90E43611DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

143

London Underground v Edwards (No 2) [1999] I.C.R. 494. *494 London Underground Ltd. v Edwards (No. 2).

1998. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE309AAD0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

144

European Court of Justice. Bilka-Kaufhaus GmbH v Weber Von Hartz (170/84) [1986] ECR 1607. 1986.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I748F3250E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

145

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Enderby v Frenchay Health Authority (Case C-127/92) [1993] IRLR 591. 1993.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA1777930E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

146

*R v Secretary of State for Employment ex parte Seymour-Smith and Perez (167/97) [1999] ECR I-623. 1999.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

boleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I653D1E60E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true

147

Court of Justice of the European Communities. *Cadman v Health & Safety Executive (Equal Opportunities Commission intervening) (17/05) [2007] IRLR 969. 2007.
https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I709A06E0880811DB92B1C1B25B24F692/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true

148

McGlynn, Clare. * Reclaiming a Feminist Vision: The Reconciliation of Paid Work and Family Life in European Union Law and Policy. Columbia Journal of European Law 2001; **7**.
.http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/coljeul7&id=247&collection=journals&index=journals/coljeul#247

149

Sandra Fredman. * A difference with distinction: pregnancy and parenthood reassessed. Law Quarterly Review 1994; **110**:106-23.
https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICCF9F040E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true

150

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Dekker (177/88) [1990] ECR I-3941. 1990.
http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I9639D180E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

151

*Webb v EMO (32/93) [1994] ECR I-3567. 1994.
http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF733C1C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

152

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Tele Danmark A/S (109/00) [2001] ECR I-6993. 2001.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICE7BB710E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

153

Directive 92/85 Pregnant Workers Directive.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:31992L0085:EN:HTML>

154

Directive 2010/18 Parental Leave Directive.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2010:068:0013:0020:EN:PDF>

155

Directive 97/81 Part Time Work Directive.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:31997L0081:EN:HTML>

156

McCrudden C. *Rethinking Positive Action. Industrial Law Journal 1986;**15**:219–43. doi:10.1093/ilj/15.1.219

157

Barnes L. The Diversity Approach to Achieving Equality: Potential and Pitfalls. Industrial Law Journal 2003;**32**:274–96. doi:10.1093/ilj/32.4.274

158

Burrows N, Robison M. *Positive Action for Women in Employment: Time to Align with Europe? Journal of Law and Society 2006;**33**:24–41. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6478.2006.00345.x

159

Court of Justice of the European Communities. *Kalanke (450/93) [1995] ECR I-3051. 1995.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID1747A20E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

160

*Marschall v Land Nordrhein Westfalen (409/95) [1997] ECR I-6363.
1997.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEEF9D9A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

161

Badeck (158/97) [2000] ECR I-1875. 2000.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6D798971E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

162

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Abrahamsson v Fogelqvist (407/98) [2000] ECR I-5539. 2000.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I47B76630E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

163

*Lommers (476/99) [2002] ECR I-2891.
2002.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE26FDBD0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

164

Court of Justice of the European Communities (Second Chamber). *Briheche v Ministre de

l'Intérieur (319/03) [2004] ECR I-8807. 2004.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I7A7E3580E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

165

*Roca Álvarez v Sesa Start España ETT SA (104/09) [2011] 1 CMLR 28. 2011.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6465D470EC7811DF9E738AE5417A83F5/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

166

Volpp L. Feminism versus Multiculturalism. *Columbia Law Review* 2001;**101**.

doi:10.2307/1123774

167

Marshall, Jill. Conditions for Freedom - European Human Rights Law and the Islamic Headscarf Debate. *Human Rights Quarterly* 2008;**30**.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20072862>

168

Steiner HJ, Philip. *International human rights in context : law, politics, morals : text and materials*. 2008.

http://encore.ulrls.lon.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2991414__SIInternational%2520Human%2520Rights%2520in%2520Context%253A%2520law%252C%2520politics%252C%2520morals__Ff%253Afacetlocations%253Aull%253Aull%253ASENATE%2520HOUSE%2520LIBRARY%253A%253A__Orightresult__X4?lang=eng&suite=cobalt

169

Rao A. The Politics of gender and culture in international human rights discourse. In: *Women's rights, human rights: international feminist perspectives*. New York: : Routledge 1995.

170

Marshall J. Freedom of Religious Expression and Gender Equality: Sahin v Turkey. Modern Law Review 2006;**69**:452–61. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2006.00591_1.x

171

Okin, Susan Moller, Cohen, Joshua, Howard, Matthew, et al. Is multiculturalism bad for women? Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1999.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7sxzs>

172

Bayefsky AF. General Approaches to Domestic Application of Women's International Human Rights Law. In: Human rights of women: national and international perspectives. Philadelphia: : University of Pennsylvania Press 1994.
351–74.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt3fhxkd.19>

173

Parekh, Bhikhu C. Conceptualising human beings. In: Rethinking multiculturalism: cultural diversity and political theory. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2006.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14687495240004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

174

Karaduman v Turkey (Application No. 16278/90), 74 Eur. Comm'n. H.R. Dec. & Rep. 93 (1993).
1993.<http://www.strasbourgconsortium.org/common/document.view.php?DocumentID=4237>

175

Dahlab v Switzerland (Application No. 42393/98, ECHR 2001-V).
2001.[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-22643#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-22643%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-22643#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-22643%22]})

176

Sahin v Turkey (Application No. 44774/98).

2005.[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-70956%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-70956%22]})

177

House of Lords. R (Shabina Begum) v Denbigh High School Governors (HL) (2006) UKHL 15. 2006.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=ICEDAD020F83611DAB97BB67935546CF2&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

178

Law No 2004-228 of March 15, 2004, JO March 17 2004 p 5190 JCP 2004.

2004.<http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000000417977&dateTexte=&categorieLien=id>

179

Araji SK. Crimes of Honour and Shame: Violence Against Women in Non-Western and Western Societies. The Red Feather Journal of Postmodern Criminology Published Online First: 2000.<http://www.critcrim.org/redfeather/journal-pomocrim/vol-8-shaming/araji.html>

180

Okin SM, Cohen J, Howard M, et al. Is multiculturalism bad for women? Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1999. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7sxzs>

181

Coomaraswamy R. Identity Within: Cultural Relativism, Minority Rights and the Empowerment of Women. In: Men's laws, women's lives: a constitutional perspective on religion, common law, and culture in South Asia. New Delhi: : Women Unlimited 2005.

182

Mullally S. Feminism and Multicultural Dilemmas in India: Revisiting the Shah Bano Case.

Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2004;**24**:671–92. doi:10.1093/ojls/24.4.671

183

Siddiqui H. 'There is no "honour" in domestic violence, only shame!' Women's struggles against 'honour' crimes in the UK. In: 'Honour': crimes, paradigms, and violence against women. London: : Zed Books 2005.

184

An-Na'im AA. State Responsibility under International Human Rights Law to Change Religious and Customary Laws. In: Human rights of women: national and international perspectives. Philadelphia: : University of Pennsylvania Press 1994.
167–88.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt3fhxkd.11>

185

Marshall J. Chapter 7. In: Humanity, freedom and feminism. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2005.

186

Copelon, Rhonda. Gender Crimes as War Crimes: Integrating Crimes against Women into International Criminal Law. McGill Law Journal 2000;**46**
. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mcgil46&id=227&collection=journals&index=>

187

Viseur Sellers P. Individual(s) Liability for Collective Sexual Violence. In: Gender and human rights. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2004.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199260911.001.0001>

188

Askin KD. Gender Crimes Jurisprudence in the ICTR: Positive Developments. Journal of International Criminal Justice 2005;**3**:1007–18. doi:10.1093/jicj/mqi061

189

Chinkin C. Rape and Sexual Abuse of Women in International Law. *European Journal of International Law* 1994;**5**:326–41.<http://ejil.oxfordjournals.org/content/5/1/326.citation>

190

Chesterman, Simon. Never Again . . . and Again: Law, Order, and the Gender of War Crimes in Bosnia and Beyond. *Yale Journal of International Law* 1997;**22**.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yjil22&id=309&collection=journals&index=>