

LAWSG027: Gender, Law and the State: Current Legal Issues

View Online



1.

Course Information:

2.

Lacey, Nicola. * Introduction to the Essays. *Unspeakable subjects: feminist essays in legal and social theory*. Oxford: Hart; 1998.

3.

Munro V. * Chapter 1. *Law and politics at the perimeter: re-evaluating key debates in feminist theory*. Oxford: Hart; 2007.

4.

Joanne Conaghan. *Reassessing the Feminist Theoretical Project in Law*. *Journal of Law and Society* [Internet]. Wiley; 2000;27(3):351–385. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1410380>

5.

Review by: Nicola Lacey. *Feminist Legal Theory*. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 1989;9(3):383–394. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/764424>

6.

MacKinnon C. Chapter 13: Toward Feminist Jurisprudence. Toward a feminist theory of the state. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1989.

7.

Freeman, Michael D. A., Lloyd of Hampstead, Dennis Lloyd. Chapter on Feminist Jurisprudence. Lloyd's introduction to jurisprudence. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2008.

8.

Scales A. The Emergence of Feminist Jurisprudence: An Essay. Feminist jurisprudence. New York: Oxford University Press; 1993.

9.

Halley, Janet E. Split decisions: how and why to take a break from feminism. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 2008.

10.

Marshall, Jill. Part 1. Humanity, freedom and feminism. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2005.

11.

Munro, Vanessa. * Chapter 6: Equality, Respect and feminist Futures. Law and politics at the perimeter: re-evaluating key debates in feminist theory. Oxford: Hart; 2007.

12.

MacKinnon, Catharine A. * Chapter 2: Difference and Dominance: On Sex Discrimination. Feminism unmodified: discourses on life and law. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1987.

13.

Hunter, Rosemary C. * Chapter 1: Introduction: Feminism and Equality. Rethinking equality

projects in law: feminist challenges [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2008. Available from:
<https://www-bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/rethinking-equality-projects-in-law-feminist-challenges/>

14.

Birgit Christensen and Andrew F. Smith. Equality and Justice: Remarks on a Necessary Relationship. *Hypatia* [Internet]. Wiley; 2005;20(2):155–163. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3811169>

15.

Christine A. Littleton. Reconstructing Sexual Equality. *California Law Review* [Internet]. California Law Review, Inc.; 1987;75(4):1279–1337. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3480595>

16.

Cornell, Drucilla. *At the heart of freedom: feminism, sex, and equality*. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1998.

17.

Young, Iris Marion. *Justice and the politics of difference*. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1990.

18.

Crenshaw, Kimberle. *Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum* [Internet]. 1989;1989. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/uchclf1989&id=143&collection=journals&index=journals/uchclf>

19.

Jennifer C. Nash. *re-thinking intersectionality. *Feminist Review* [Internet]. Palgrave Macmillan Journals; 2008;(89):1–15. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40663957>

20.

Kimberle Crenshaw. Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color. Stanford Law Review [Internet]. Stanford Law Review; 1991;43(6):1241–1299. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1229039>

21.

Yuval-Davis N. Intersectionality and Feminist Politics. European Journal of Women's Studies. 2006 Aug 1;13(3):193–209.

22.

Yoshida K. Towards Intersectionality in the European Court of Human Rights: The Case of B.S. v Spain. Feminist Legal Studies. 2013 Jul;21(2):195–204.

23.

Conaghan J. Intersectionality and the Feminist Project in Law. Intersectionality and Beyond: Law, Power and the Politics of Location [Internet]. Hoboken: Taylor and Francis; 2008. Available from: <http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=355908>

24.

Conaghan J. Intersectionality and the Feminist Project in Law. Intersectionality and beyond [Internet]. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge-Cavendish; 2009. Available from: https://kar.kent.ac.uk/1900/1/Intersectionality_Feminist_Project15NOV07DP.pdf

25.

Munro V. * Chapter 3: On Reform and Reforming Rights. Law and politics at the perimeter: re-evaluating key debates in feminist theory. Oxford: Hart; 2007.

26.

Nedelsky, Jennifer. * Reconceiving Rights as Relationship. Review of Constitutional Studies [Internet]. 1993;1. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/revicos1&id=3&collection=journals&index=journals/revicos#3>

27.

Nussbaum, Martha Craven. * Chapters 1 and 2. Sex & social justice. New York: Oxford University Press; 1999.

28.

Lacey N. * Chapter 1: From Individual to Group? A Feminist Analysis of the Limits of Anti-Discrimination Legislation. Unspeakable subjects: feminist essays in legal and social theory. Oxford: Hart; 1998. p. 19-45.

29.

Smart C. * Chapters 1 and 7. Feminism and the power of law [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1989. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203206164>

30.

MacKinnon, Catharine A. Toward a feminist theory of the state. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1989.

31.

MacKinnon, Catharine A. Women's lives, men's laws. Cambridge, Mass: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 2004.

32.

Gilligan C. In a different voice: psychological theory and women's development. 38th print. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press; 2003.

33.

Irigaray, Luce, Montin, Karin. Thinking the difference: for a peaceful revolution. London: Athlone; 1994.

34.

Pateman, Carole. The sexual contract. Cambridge: Polity; 1988.

35.

Young, Iris Marion. Justice and the politics of difference. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1990.

36.

Cornell, Drucilla. At the heart of freedom: feminism, sex, and equality. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1998.

37.

Robin West. Jurisprudence and Gender. The University of Chicago Law Review [Internet]. The University of Chicago Law Review; 1988;55(1):1-72. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1599769>

38.

Beauvoir, Simone de, Borde, Constance, Malovany-Chevallier, Sheila. The second sex. New York: Vintage; 2011.

39.

Williams, Patricia J. The alchemy of race and rights. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1991.

40.

Halley, Janet E. Split decisions: how and why to take a break from feminism. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 2008.

41.

Smart C. Feminism and the power of law [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1989. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134972838>

42.

Anthias F, Yuval-Davis N, Cain H. Racialized boundaries: race, nation, gender, colour and class and the anti-racist struggle [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1992. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203992920>

43.

Robson R. Sappho goes to law school: fragments in lesbian legal theory. New York: Columbia University Press; 1998.

44.

Okin SM. Justice, gender, and the family. New York: Basic Books; 1989.

45.

Mill JS. The subjection of women [Internet]. London: Longmans, Green, Reader and Dyer; 1869. Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&NEWS=N&PAGE=toc&SEARCH=2008-18239.dd&LINKTYPE=asBody&D=psbk>

46.

Butler J. Gender trouble: feminism and the subversion of identity [Internet]. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge; 2006. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203824979>

47.

Nancy Fraser, Axel Honneth. Redistribution or Recognition? Verso;

48.

Moran, Leslie J. *Judicial Diversity and the Challenge of Sexuality: Some Preliminary Findings. *Sydney Law Review* [Internet]. 2006;28(4). Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/sydney28&id=559&collection=journals&index=>

49.

Hunter R, McGlynn C, Rackley E. *Chapter 1. Feminist Judgments: An Introduction. *Feminist judgments: from theory to practice* [Internet]. Oxford: Hart; 2010. Available from: <https://www-bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/feminist-judgments-from-theory-to-practice/>

50.

Hunter R. *Chapter 2. An Account of Feminist Judging. *Feminist judgments: from theory to practice* [Internet]. Oxford: Hart; 2010. Available from: <https://www-bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/feminist-judgments-from-theory-to-practice/>

51.

Rackley E. *Women, judging and the judiciary: from difference to diversity*. Abingdon: Routledge; 2013.

52.

Diduck A. * Shifting Familiarity. *Current Legal Problems* [Internet]. 2005;58:235–254. Available from: <http://clp.oxfordjournals.org/content/58/1.toc>

53.

Olsen F. * The Family and the Market: A Study of Ideology and Legal Reform. *Feminist jurisprudence*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1993.

54.

Carol Smart. * The Legal and Moral Ordering of Child Custody. *Journal of Law and Society*

[Internet]. Wiley; 1991;18(4):485–500. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1410322>

55.

Collier R. *A Hard Time to Be a Father?: Reassessing the Relationship Between Law, Policy, and Family (Practices). *Journal of Law and Society*. 2001 Dec;28(4):520–545.

56.

Stychin C. Chapter 2: Family Friendly? Rights, Responsibilities and Relationship Recognition. *Feminist perspectives on family law*. Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish; 2006.

57.

Diduck, Alison, Kaganas, Felicity. Chapter 1. *Family law, gender and the state*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

58.

Cossman B. Family Feuds: Neo-Liberal and Neo-Conservative Visions of the Re-Privatization Project. *Privatization, law, and the challenge to feminism* [Internet]. Toronto: University of Toronto Press; 2002. p. 169–217. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3138/9781442678774.9>

59.

Fineman, Martha. *The autonomy myth: a theory of dependency*. New York: New Press; 2004.

60.

Davidoff, Leonore. *The family story: blood, contract and intimacy, 1830-1960*. London: Longman; 1999.

61.

Barlow A, Duncan S, Edwards R. Chapter 5: New Labour, the rationality mistake and family policy in Britain. *Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice*. London: Routledge; 2002.

62.

Lewis J. Chapter 3.1: Individualisation, assumptions about the existence of an adult worker model and the shift towards contractualism. *Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice*. London: Routledge; 2002.

63.

Lees S. Chapter 3. Carnal knowledge: rape on trial. Rev. and updated ed. London: Women's Press; 2002.

64.

Friedman J, Valenti J. Chapter 1 & 2. Yes means yes!: visions of female sexual power & a world without rape. Berkeley, Calif: Seal Press; 2008.

65.

MacKinnon CA. *Toward a feminist theory of the state*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1989.

66.

Deming ME, Covan EK, Swan SC, Billings DL. Exploring Rape Myths, Gendered Norms, Group Processing, and the Social Context of Rape Among College Women: A Qualitative Analysis. *Violence Against Women*. 2013 Apr 1;19(4):465–485.

67.

Horvath M, Brown J. *Rape: challenging contemporary thinking*. London: Routledge; 2013.

68.

Munro VE. Concerning Consent: Standards of Permissibility in Sexual Relations. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 2005 Jun 1;25(2):335–352.

69.

KELLY L, LOVETT J, REGAN L. A Gap or a Chasm? Attrition in Reported Rape Cases [Internet]. Home Office; 2005. Available from: <http://www.apho.org.uk/resource/item.aspx?RID=78107>

70.

Temkin J. Rape and the legal process. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2002.

71.

Nicola Gavey. Just Sex? Routledge;

72.

McGregor J. Is it rape?: on acquaintance rape and taking women's consent seriously. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2005.

73.

Munsch CL, Willer R. The Role of Gender Identity Threat in Perceptions of Date Rape and Sexual Coercion. Violence Against Women. 2012 Oct 1;18(10):1125–1146.

74.

Aronowitz AA. Chapters 1 & 2. Human trafficking, human misery: the global trade in human beings. 1st paperback edition. Lanham, Maryland: Scarecrow Press; 2013.

75.

Masika R, Oxfam. Gender, trafficking, and slavery. Oxford: Oxfam; 2002.

76.

Kempadoo K, Doezema J. Global sex workers: rights, resistance, and redefinition [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 1998. Available from:
<http://www.loc.gov/catdir/enhancements/fy0651/97045759-d.html>

77.

Jeffreys S. Chapters 6 & 7. The industrial vagina: the political economy of the global sex trade. London: Routledge; 2009.

78.

CASE OF RANTSEV v. CYPRUS AND RUSSIA [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 2010. Available from:
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-96549#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-96549\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-96549#{\)

79.

Cameron S, Newman E. Trafficking in humans: social, cultural and political dimensions. Tokyo: United Nations University Press; 2008.

80.

Chandran P. Human trafficking handbook: recognising trafficking and modern-day slavery in the UK. London: LexisNexis; 2011.

81.

Doezema J. Sex slaves and discourse masters: the construction of trafficking. London: Zed; 2010.

82.

Gallagher AT. The international law of human trafficking [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010. Available from:

<https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511761065>

83.

Guild E, Minderhoud PE. Immigration and criminal law in the European Union: the legal measures and social consequences of criminal law in member states on trafficking and smuggling in human beings. Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers; 2006.

84.

Morris EG. Second class migrants?: gender and migration in the European Union : explaining gender (in)equality in EU immigration, asylum, and trafficking policymaking. Saarbrücken: VDM; 2009.

85.

Shelley LI. Human trafficking: a global perspective. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

86.

Holtmaat R. *The CEDAW: A Holistic Approach to Women's Equality and Freedom. Women's human rights: CEDAW in international, regional, and national law [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. p. 95–124. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/womens-human-rights/cedaw/F0BA5E99167E804E5A8541E37003637F>

87.

Fredman S. *The Role of Equality and Non-Discrimination Laws in Women's Economic Participation, Formal and Informal [Internet]. The Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights; 2013. Available from: <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Women/WGWomen/Pages/ESL.aspx>

88.

Lacey N. Feminist Legal Theory and The Rights of Women. Gender and human rights [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004. p. 13–57. Available from: <https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma99001621265020476>

1&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=title,contains,Gender%20and%20Human%20Rights,AND&query=creator,contains,knop,AND&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,9081303978816451051&mode=advanced&offset=0

89.

Byrnes A. The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women. The human rights of women: international instruments and African experiences. London: Zed Books in association with World University Service; 2002. p. 119-173.

90.

Walsh DM. Women's rights in democratizing states: just debate and gender justice in the public sphere [Internet]. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2011. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511782220>

91.

Brems E. Protecting the Human Rights of Women. International human rights in the 21st century: protecting the rights of groups. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2003. p. 100-141.

92.

Charlesworth H. What are "Women's International Human Rights?". Human rights of women: national and international perspectives [Internet]. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 1994. p. 58-85. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt3fhxkd.7>

93.

Reilly N. Women's human rights: seeking gender justice in a globalizing age. Cambridge: Polity; 2009.

94.

Grimshaw et al. P. Chapter 3. Women's rights and human rights: international historical

perspectives. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 2001.

95.

Cusack, Simone. CEDAW and the Rights to Non-Discrimination and Equality. Melbourne Journal of International Law [Internet]. 2013;14. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/meljil14&id=64&collection=journals&index=>

96.

General Recommendation No. 25, on article 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, on temporary special measures, Paras 6 and 7 [Internet]. United Nations; 2004. Available from: <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/recommendations/>

97.

General Recommendation No. 28, on the core obligations of States parties under article 2 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women [Internet]. United Nations; 2001. Available from: <http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cedaw/comments.htm>

98.

Hilary Charlesworth, Christine Chinkin and Shelley Wright. * Feminist Approaches to International Law. The American Journal of International Law [Internet]. American Society of International Law; 1991;85(4):613-645. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2203269>

99.

Radacic I. * Gender Equality Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights. European Journal of International Law. 2008 Sep 1;19(4):841-857.

100.

*Abdulaziz, Cabales and Balkandali v. the United Kingdom [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 1985. Available from:

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57416#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-57416\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57416#{\)

101.

**Stec and others v. the United Kingdom* [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 2006. Available from: [http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-73198#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-73198\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-73198#{\)

102.

**Leyla Sahin v Turkey* [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 2005. Available from: [http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-70956\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{\)

103.

Marshall, Jill. *Conditions for Freedom - European Human Rights Law and the Islamic Headscarf Debate. *Human Rights Quarterly* [Internet]. The Johns Hopkins University Press; 2008;30(3):631–654. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20072862>

104.

Langlaude S. *Indoctrination, Secularism, Religious Liberty, and the ECHR. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 2006 Oct 1;55(4):929–944.

105.

McGlynn C. *RAPE, TORTURE AND THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 2009 Jul;58(03).

106.

Ivana Radacic. * Rape cases in the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights: defining rape and determining the scope of the state's obligations. *European Human Rights Law Review* [Internet]. 2008;(3):357–375. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF811B810253811DD86CC82E944755>

13A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true

107.

Shazia Choudhry and Jonathan Herring. * Domestic violence and the Human Rights Act 1998: a new means of legal intervention? Public Law [Internet]. 2006;(Winter):752–784. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I1357EA60540511DB85F29853495F842F/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

108.

Jill Marshall. * A right to personal autonomy at the European Court of Human Rights. European Human Rights Law Review [Internet]. 2008;(3):337–356. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF8119100253811DD86CC82E94475513A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

109.

*Brüggemann and Scheuten v The Federal Republic of Germany [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 1977. Available from:

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-74824#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-74824\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-74824#{\)

110.

*Vo v France [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 2004. Available from:

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-61887#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-61887\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-61887#{\)

111.

*Tysiac v Poland [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 2007. Available from:

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-79812#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-79812\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-79812#{\)

112.

*D v Ireland [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 2006. Available from: [http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-76233#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-76233\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-76233#{\)

113.

*A, B and C v Ireland [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 2010. Available from: [http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-102332#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-102332\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-102332#{\)

114.

Paolo Ronchi. A, B and C v Ireland: Europe's Roe v Wade still has to wait. *Law Quarterly Review* [Internet]. 2011;(127):365-369. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I3C0F90D09A2711E09D29F4D8A5F97F11/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

115.

Charlesworth, Hilary, Chinkin, C. M. *The boundaries of international law: a feminist analysis*. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2000.

116.

Dembour, Marie-Bénédicte. *Who believes in human rights?: reflections on the European Convention* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511618192>

117.

Women's Link Worldwide - Women's Link's Gender Justice Observatory [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.womenslinkworldwide.org/observatory/>

118.

Hugh Collins. * Discrimination, Equality and Social Inclusion. *The Modern Law Review* [Internet]. Wiley; 2003;66(1):16-43. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097547>

119.

Review by: Nicholas Bamforth. * Conceptions of Anti-Discrimination Law. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2004;24(4):693–716. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3600533>

120.

Fredman S. * Equality: A New Generation? Industrial Law Journal. 2001 Jun 1;30(2):145–168.

121.

Fredman, Sandra. Discrimination law. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

122.

Article 157 Treaty on the Foundation of the EU (TFEU), formerly Article 141 of the EC Treaty [Internet]. European Union; 2012. Available from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012E/TXT>

123.

Directive 75/117 EC [Internet]. European Union; 1975. Available from: http://europa.eu/legislation_summaries/employment_and_social_policy/employment_rights_and_work_organisation/c10905_en.htm

124.

Defrenne v Sabena (No 2) (1976) C-43/75 [Internet]. European Union; 1976. Available from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:61975CJ0043>

125.

Directive 76/207/EEC [Internet]. European Union; 1976. Available from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:31976L0207&qid=1418055475418&from=EN>

126.

Directive 2002/73/EC [Internet]. European Union; 2002. Available from:
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32002L0073&rid=1>

127.

Directive 2006/54/EC [Internet]. European Union; 2006. Available from:
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32006L0054&from=EN>

128.

Directive 2004/113/EC [Internet]. European Union; 2004. Available from:
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2004:373:0037:0043:EN:PDF>

129.

Article 19 TFEU (ex Article 13 EC) [Internet]. European Union; 2012. Available from:
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012E/TXT>

130.

Directive 2000/43/EC [Internet]. European Union; 2000. Available from:
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32000L0043&from=EN>

131.

Directive 2000/78/EC [Internet]. European Union; 2000. Available from:
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2000:303:0016:0022:EN:PDF>

132.

EU Charter of Fundamental Rights [Internet]. European Union; 2000. Available from:

http://ec.europa.eu/justice/fundamental-rights/charter/index_en.htm

133.

Burrows N, Robison M. An Assessment of the Recast of Community Equality Laws. *European Law Journal*. 2007 Mar;13(2):186–203.

134.

Masselot A. The State of Gender Equality Law in the European Union. *European Law Journal*. 2007 Mar;13(2):152–168.

135.

Equality Act 2010 [Internet]. 2010. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/contents>

136.

Case C-249/96, Grant v. South-west Trains Ltd. [1998] ECR I-621 [Internet]. European Union; 1998. Available from:
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:61996CJ0249&from=EN>

137.

Porcelli v Strathclyde [1986] ICR 564 [Internet]. Court of Session (Inner House, First Division) | January 31, 1986 | 1986 WL 408446. 1986. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I23B4B0C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

138.

*Bahl v The Law Society & Anor [2004] EWCA Civ 1070 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2004/1070.html>

139.

*R v Birmingham CC ex parte EOC [1989] IRLR 173; [1989] AC 1155; 2 WLR 520; 1 All ER 769, HL [Internet]. *1155 Birmingham City Council Appellants v Equal Opportunities Commission Respondents. 1989. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I33C34170E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

140.

House of Lords. *James v Eastleigh Borough Council [1990] ICR 554; [1990] IRLR 288; 2 AC 751; 3 WLR 55; 2 All ER 607, HL [Internet]. 1990. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICBD48150E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

141.

Industrial Tribunal. Price v Civil Service Commission [1978] IRLR 3 [Internet]. 1978. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I81C21C80E43611DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

142.

Employment Appeal Tribunal. Watches of Switzerland v Savell [1983] IRLR 141 [Internet]. 1983. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA8E35A90E43611DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

143.

London Underground v Edwards (No 2) [1999] I.C.R. 494 [Internet]. *494 London Underground Ltd. v Edwards (No. 2). 1998. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE309AAD0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

144.

European Court of Justice. *Bilka-Kaufhaus GmbH v Weber Von Hartz* (170/84) [1986] ECR 1607 [Internet]. 1986. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I748F3250E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

145.

Court of Justice of The European Communities. **Enderby v Frenchay Health Authority* (Case C-127/92) [1993] IRLR 591 [Internet]. 1993. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA1777930E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

146.

**R v Secretary of State for Employment ex parte Seymour-Smith and Perez* (167/97) [1999] ECR I-623 [Internet]. European Court of Justice | February 9, 1999 | 1999 WL 477592. 1999. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I653D1E60E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

147.

Court of Justice of the European Communities. **Cadman v Health & Safety Executive* (Equal Opportunities Commission intervening) (17/05) [2007] IRLR 969 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I709A06E0880811DB92B1C1B25B24F692/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

148.

McGlynn, Clare. ** Reclaiming a Feminist Vision: The Reconciliation of Paid Work and Family Life in European Union Law and Policy*. *Columbia Journal of European Law* [Internet]. 2001;7. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/coljeul7&id=247&collection=journals&index=journals/coljeul#247>

149.

Sandra Fredman. * A difference with distinction: pregnancy and parenthood reassessed. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 1994;110(Jan):106–123. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICCF9F040E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

150.

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Dekker (177/88) [1990] ECR I-3941 [Internet]. 1990. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I9639D180E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

151.

*Webb v EMO (32/93) [1994] ECR I-3567 [Internet]. 1994. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF733C1C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

152.

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Tele Danmark A/S (109/00) [2001] ECR I-6993 [Internet]. 2001. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICE7BB710E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

153.

Directive 92/85 Pregnant Workers Directive [Internet]. Available from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:31992L0085:EN:HTML>

154.

Directive 2010/18 Parental Leave Directive [Internet]. Available from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2010:068:0013:0020:EN:PDF>

155.

Directive 97/81 Part Time Work Directive [Internet]. Available from:
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:31997L0081:EN:HTML>

156.

McCrudden C. *Rethinking Positive Action. Industrial Law Journal. 1986;15(1):219-243.

157.

Barnes L. The Diversity Approach to Achieving Equality: Potential and Pitfalls. Industrial Law Journal. 2003 Dec 1;32(4):274-296.

158.

Burrows N, Robison M. *Positive Action for Women in Employment: Time to Align with Europe? Journal of Law and Society. 2006 Mar;33(1):24-41.

159.

Court of Justice of the European Communities. *Kalanke (450/93) [1995] ECR I-3051 [Internet]. 1995. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID1747A20E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

160.

*Marschall v Land Nordrhein Westfalen (409/95) [1997] ECR I-6363 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEEF9D9A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

161.

Badeck (158/97) [2000] ECR I-1875 [Internet]. European Court of Justice | March 28, 2000 |

2000 WL 281317. 2000. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6D798971E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

162.

Court of Justice of The European Communities. *Abrahamsson v Fogelqvist (407/98) [2000] ECR I-5539 [Internet]. European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber) | July 6, 2000 | 2000 WL 636494. 2000. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I47B76630E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

163.

*Lommers (476/99) [2002] ECR I-2891 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE26FDBD0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

164.

Court of Justice of the European Communities (Second Chamber). *Briheche v Ministre de l'Intérieur (319/03) [2004] ECR I-8807 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I7A7E3580E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

165.

*Roca Álvarez v Sesa Start España ETT SA (104/09) [2011] 1 CMLR 28 [Internet]. European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) | September 30, 2010 | 2010 WL 3781677. 2011.

Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6465D470EC7811DF9E738AE5417A83F5/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

166.

Volpp L. Feminism versus Multiculturalism. Columbia Law Review. 2001 Jun;101(5).

167.

Marshall, Jill. Conditions for Freedom - European Human Rights Law and the Islamic Headscarf Debate. *Human Rights Quarterly* [Internet]. The Johns Hopkins University Press; 2008;30(3). Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20072862>

168.

Steiner HJ, Philip. International human rights in context : law, politics, morals : text and materials [Internet]. 2008. Available from: http://encore.ulrls.lon.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2991414__SIInternational%2520Human%2520Rights%2520in%2520Context%253A%2520law%252C%2520politics%252C%2520morals__Ff%253Afacetlocations%253Aull%253Aull%253ASENATE%2520HOUSE%2520LIBRARY%253A%253A__Orightresult__X4?lang=eng&suite=cobalt

169.

Rao A. The Politics of gender and culture in international human rights discourse. *Women's rights, human rights: international feminist perspectives*. New York: Routledge; 1995.

170.

Marshall J. Freedom of Religious Expression and Gender Equality: *Sahin v Turkey*. *Modern Law Review*. 2006 May;69(3):452–461.

171.

Okin, Susan Moller, Cohen, Joshua, Howard, Matthew, Nussbaum, Martha Craven. Is multiculturalism bad for women? [Internet]. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1999. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7sxzs>

172.

Bayefsky AF. General Approaches to Domestic Application of Women's International Human Rights Law. *Human rights of women: national and international perspectives* [Internet]. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 1994. p. 351–374. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt3fhxkd.19>

173.

Parekh, Bhikhu C. Conceptualising human beings. Rethinking multiculturalism: cultural diversity and political theory [Internet]. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2006. Available from:
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService∓package_service_id=14687495240004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

174.

Karaduman v Turkey (Application No. 16278/90), 74 Eur. Comm'n. H.R. Dec. & Rep. 93 (1993) [Internet]. 1993. Available from:
<http://www.strasbourgconsortium.org/common/document.view.php?DocumentID=4237>

175.

Dahlab v Switzerland (Application No. 42393/98, ECHR 2001-V) [Internet]. 2001. Available from:
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-22643#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-22643%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-22643#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-22643%22]})

176.

Sahin v Turkey (Application No. 44774/98) [Internet]. 2005. Available from:
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-70956%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70956#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-70956%22]})

177.

House of Lords. R (Shabina Begum) v Denbigh High School Governors (HL) (2006) UKHL 15 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=ICEDAD020F83611DAB97BB67935546CF2&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

178.

Law No 2004-228 of March 15, 2004, JO March 17 2004 p 5190 JCP 2004 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000000417977&dateTexte=&categorieLien=id>

179.

Araji SK. Crimes of Honour and Shame: Violence Against Women in Non-Western and Western Societies. *The Red Feather Journal of Postmodern Criminology* [Internet]. 2000; Available from: <http://www.critcrim.org/redfeather/journal-pomocrim/vol-8-shaming/araji.html>

180.

Okin SM, Cohen J, Howard M, Nussbaum MC. Is multiculturalism bad for women? [Internet]. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1999. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7sxzs>

181.

Coomaraswamy R. Identity Within: Cultural Relativism, Minority Rights and the Empowerment of Women. *Men's laws, women's lives: a constitutional perspective on religion, common law, and culture in South Asia*. New Delhi: Women Unlimited; 2005.

182.

Mullally S. Feminism and Multicultural Dilemmas in India: Revisiting the Shah Bano Case. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2004 Dec 1;24(4):671–692.

183.

Siddiqui H. 'There is no "honour" in domestic violence, only shame!' Women's struggles against 'honour' crimes in the UK. *'Honour': crimes, paradigms, and violence against women*. London: Zed Books; 2005.

184.

An-Na'im AA. State Responsibility under International Human Rights Law to Change Religious and Customary Laws. *Human rights of women: national and international perspectives* [Internet]. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 1994. p. 167–188. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt3fhxkd.11>

185.

Marshall J. Chapter 7. Humanity, freedom and feminism. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2005.

186.

Copelon, Rhonda. Gender Crimes as War Crimes: Integrating Crimes against Women into International Criminal Law. McGill Law Journal [Internet]. 2000;46. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mcgil46&id=227&collection=journals&index=>

187.

Viseur Sellers P. Individual(s) Liability for Collective Sexual Violence. Gender and human rights [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199260911.001.0001>

188.

Askin KD. Gender Crimes Jurisprudence in the ICTR: Positive Developments. Journal of International Criminal Justice. 2005 Aug 12;3(4):1007–1018.

189.

Chinkin C. Rape and Sexual Abuse of Women in International Law. European Journal of International Law [Internet]. 1994;5(3):326–341. Available from: <http://ejil.oxfordjournals.org/content/5/1/326.citation>

190.

Chesterman, Simon. Never Again . . . and Again: Law, Order, and the Gender of War Crimes in Bosnia and Beyond. Yale Journal of International Law [Internet]. 1997;22. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yjil22&id=309&collection=journals&index=>