

LAWS3005: Intellectual Property Law

[View Online](#)

[1]

Advocate Generals Opinion 2002. *Case C-292/00 Davidoff & Cie SA, Zino Davidoff SA v Gofkid Ltd [2003] ECR I 389, [2002] ETMR 99.

[2]

Advocate Generals Opinion 1997. *Case C-337/95 Parfums Christian Dior SA v Evora BV [1997] ECR I-1603.

[3]

Alexandra Sims 2005. 'A shift in the centre of gravity': the dangers of protecting privacy through breach of confidence. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 1, (2005), 27-51.

[4]

Andreas Rahmatian 2005. Music and creativity as perceived by copyright law. Intellectual Property Quarterly. (2005), 267-293.

[5]

Andrew Griffiths 2001. The impact of the global appreciation approach on the boundaries of trade mark protection. Intellectual Property Quarterly. (2001), 326-360.

[6]

Andrew Griffiths 2007. The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). Intellectual Property Quarterly. 3, (2007), 312-349.

[7]

Andrew Griffiths 2007. The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 3, (2007), 312–349.

[8]

Bainbridge, David I. 2012. *Intellectual property*. Pearson.

[9]

Benelux Court of Justice 1979. *Colgate-Palmolive BV v Koninklijke Distilleerderijen Erven Lucas Bols NV* (1976) 7 IIC 420 (CLAERYN/KLAREIN).

[10]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. *Intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[11]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. *Intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[12]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. *Intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[13]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. *Intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[14]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[15]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[16]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[17]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[18]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[19]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[20]

Bently, Lionel and Sherman, Brad 2009. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[21]

Bergquist, J. and Curley, D. 2008. Shape trade marks and fast-moving consumer goods. European Intellectual Property Review. (2008), 17–24.

[22]

Chancery Division 2001. Antiquesportfolio.Com Plc v. Rodney Fitch & Company Limited [2001] ECDR 5.

[23]

Chancery Division 2005. Brighton v Jones [2005] FSR 16.

[24]

Chancery Division 1997. Creation Records v News Group Newspapers [1997] EMLR 444.

[25]

Chancery Division 1996. De Maudsley v Palumbo [1996] FSR 447.

[26]

Chancery Division 1999. Hadley v Kemp [1999] EMLR 589.

[27]

Chancery Division 2002. *Irvine v Talksport Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 2355.

[28]

Chancery Division 2002. *Irvine v Talksport Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 2355.

[29]

Chancery Division 2006. Julius Sämann Ltd v Tetrosyl Ltd [2006] EWHC 529.

[30]

Chancery Division 1985. *Lawson v Dundas*.

[31]

Chancery Division 1991. **Noah v Shuba* [1991] FSR 14.

[32]

Chancery Division 2006. *Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd* [2006] RPC 14.

[33]

Chancery Division 2006. *Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd* [2006] RPC 14.

[34]

Chancery Division 1998. **Robin Ray v Classic FM* [1998] FSR 622.

[35]

Chancery Division 2007. *RxWorks Ltd v Hunter* [2007] EWHC 3061.

[36]

Chancery Division 1993. *Waterman (Pete) Ltd v CBS United Kingdom Ltd* [1993] EMLR 27.

[37]

Chancery Division (Patents Court) 2000. *Glaxo Group Ltd v Dowelhurst Ltd (No 2)* [2000] FSR 529.

[38]

Christie, Andrew and Gare, Stephen 2012. Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property. Oxford University Press.

[39]

Christophe Geiger 2007. Trade marks and freedom of expression - the proportionality of criticism. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. 38, 3 (2007), 317-327.

[40]

Christopher Wadlow 2011. Passing off at the crossroads again: a review article for Hazel Carty, *An Analysis of the Economic Torts*. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 33, 7 (2011), 447-455.

[41]

Claire Howell 2006. Trade marks: what constitutes 'genuine use'? *Laboratoires Goemar SA v La Mer Technology*. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 28, 2 (2006), 118-121.

[42]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Sweet & Maxwell.

[43]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Sweet & Maxwell.

[44]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Sweet & Maxwell.

[45]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied*

rights. Sweet & Maxwell.

[46]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell.

[47]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell.

[48]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell.

[49]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell.

[50]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell.

[51]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell.

[52]

Cornish, W. R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell.

[53]

Court: Chancery Division 1961. *Bollinger v Costa Brava Wine Co Ltd* [1961] RPC 116.

[54]

Court: Chancery Division 1974. *British Northrop Ltd v Texteam Blackburn Ltd* [1974] RPC 57.

[55]

Court: Chancery Division 1969. **Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd* [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41.

[56]

Court: Chancery Division 1969. **Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd* [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41.

[57]

Court: Chancery Division 1969. **Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd* [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41.

[58]

Court: Chancery Division 1969. *Vine Products Ltd v Mackenzie & Co Ltd* [1969] RPC 1.

[59]

Court: Court of Appeal 1984. *Anheuser-Busch Inc v Budejovicky Budvar Narodni Podnik, Budweiser Case* [1984] FSR 413.

[60]

Court: Court of Appeal 2007. **L'Oreal SA v Bellure NV* [2007] EWCA Civ 968, [2008] RPC 196.

[61]

Court: Court of Appeal 1967. Seager v Copydex Ltd [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923.

[62]

Court: Court of Appeal 1967. Seager v Copydex Ltd [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923.

[63]

Court: Court of Appeal 1952. Stevenson (or Stephenson) Jordan and Harrison Ltd v MacDonald and Evans (1952) 1 TLR 101.

[64]

Court: Court of First Instance, EC 2005. Les Editions Albert Rene v OHIM (Case T-336/03) [2005] ECR II-4667.

[65]

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874 1849. Albert (Prince) v Strange (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1.

[66]

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874 1849. Albert (Prince) v Strange (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1.

[67]

Court: European Court of Justice 2003. *Linde AG, Winward Industries Inc & Rado Uhren AG v Deutsches Patentund Markenamt (Cases C-53/01, 54/01 & 55/01) [2003] ECR-I 3161, [2003] RPC 803.

[68]

Court: European Court of Justice 1999. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587.

[69]

Court: European Court of Justice 1999. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587.

[70]

Court: European Court of Justice 1999. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587.

[71]

Court: European Court of Justice 1999. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587.

[72]

Court: House of Lords 2004. *Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457.

[73]

Court: House of Lords 2004. *Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457.

[74]

Court: House of Lords 2004. *Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457.

[75]

Court: House of Lords 1911. Edge (William) & Sons Ltd v William Niccolls & Sons Ltd [1911]

AC 693.

[76]

Court: House of Lords 1901. IRC v Muller & Co's Margarine Ltd [1901] AC 217.

[77]

Court: House of Lords 1915. *Spalding (A.G.) & Bros v A.W. Gamage Ltd and Benetfink & Co Ltd (1915) 32 RPC 273 (HL).

[78]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2003. *Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed [2003] ETMR 73 (CA).

[79]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2007. Baigent & Leigh v Random House [2007] EWCA Civ 247, [2007] FSR 24.

[80]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2003. Beckingham v Hodgens [2003] ECDR 6 (Ch D); [2003] EMLR 18 (CA).

[81]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2008. Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward [2008] EWCA Civ 83, [2008] ETMR 36.

[82]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2010. Diageo v Intercontinental Brands [2010] ETMR 57.

[83]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2011. Donald v Ntuli [2011] 1 WLR 294.

[84]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2005. Douglas v Hello! Ltd [2005] 4 All ER 128; [2005] 3 WLR 881.

[85]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2001. Douglas v Hello! Ltd (No.1) QB 967, [2001] 2 WLR 992.

[86]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1982. Exxon Corp v Exxon Insurance Consultants International Ltd [1982] Ch 119.

[87]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1987. *Faccenda Chicken Ltd v Fowler [1987] Ch 117.

[88]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2005. Griggs Group Ltd v Evans [2005] FSR 31.

[89]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1996. Harrods v Harrodian School [1996] RPC 697.

[90]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1996. Harrods v Harrodian School [1996] RPC 697.

[91]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2010. Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd

[2010] RPC 16.

[92]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2010. Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd [2010] RPC 16.

[93]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2008. HRH Prince of Wales v Associated Newspapers Ltd [2008] Ch 57, [2007] 3 WLR 222.

[94]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1991. Kaye v Robertson [1991] FSR 62.

[95]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1984. Lion Laboratories Ltd v Evans [1984] 2 All ER 417.

[96]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2010. L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2010] ETMR 47 (Court of Appeal).

[97]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2007. Mastercigars Direct Ltd v Hunters and Frankau [2007] ETMR 54.

[98]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2009. *Murray v Express Newspapers Plc [2009] Ch 481.

[99]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2000. *Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA).

[100]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2000. *Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA).

[101]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2007. Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25.

[102]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2007. Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25 (CA).

[103]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2004. Reed Executive Plc v Reed Business Information Ltd [2004] ETMR 56.

[104]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2005. *Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281.

[105]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2005. *Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281.

[106]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1993. Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641.

[107]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1993. Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641.

[108]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1993. Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641.

[109]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1977. Woodward v Hutchins [1977] 2 All ER 751; [1977] 1 WLR 760.

[110]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 1997. ZYX Music GmbH v King [1995] 3 All ER 1, [1997] 2 All ER 129.

[111]

Court of First Instance 2006. Eden SARL v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) [2006] (T-305/04) ETMR 14.

[112]

Court of Session (Outer House) 1953. John Haig & Co Ltd v Forth Blending Co Ltd (1953) 70 RPC 259.

[113]

David Booton 2011. The informal acquisition of copyright. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 1, (2011), 28-49.

[114]

Davis, J. 2005. The Need to Leave Free for Others to Use and the Trade Mark Common. Trade mark use. Oxford University Press.

[115]

Dowie-Whybrow, M. 2013. Core statutes on intellectual property. Palgrave Macmillan.

[116]

Dowie-Whybrow, M. 2013. Core statutes on intellectual property. Palgrave Macmillan.

[117]

Estelle Derclaye 2010. Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening (C-5/08): wonderful or worrisome? The impact of the ECJ ruling in Infopaq on UK copyright law. European Intellectual Property Review. (2010), 247-251.

[118]

European Court of Human Rights 2011. Mosley v United Kingdom [2012] EMLR 1.

[119]

European Court of Human Rights 2004. *Von Hannover v Germany (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1.

[120]

European Court of Human Rights 2004. *Von Hannover v Germany (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1.

[121]

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber) 2012. Axel Springer v Germany [2012] EMLR 15.

[122]

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber) 2012. Von Hannover v Germany (No 2)

[2012] EMLR 16.

[123]

European Court of Justice 1974. Case 8/74 Procureur du Roi v Dassonville [1974] ECR 837 at 852, [1974] 2 CMLR 436.

[124]

European Court of Justice 2002. Case C-2/00 Hölterhoff v Ulrich Freiesleben [2002] ETMR 917.

[125]

European Court of Justice 2005. *Case C-16/03 Peak Holding v Axolin-Elinor [2004] ECR I-11313, [2005] Ch 261, [2005] 2 WLR 650.

[126]

European Court of Justice 1999. *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1.

[127]

European Court of Justice 1999. *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1.

[128]

European Court of Justice 1999. *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1.

[129]

European Court of Justice 1999. *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1.

[130]

European Court of Justice 1999. *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1.

[131]

European Court of Justice 2003. *Case C-40/01 Ansul BV and Ajax Brandbeveiliging BV (Minimax) [2003] ECR I-2439, [2003] ETMR 85.

[132]

European Court of Justice 1999. Case C-63/97 BMW v Deenik [1999] ECR I-905, [1999] 1 CMLR 1099.

[133]

European Court of Justice 2002. *Case C-143/00 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer I") [2002] ECR I-3759, [2002] All ER (EC) 581.

[134]

European Court of Justice 2003. *Case C-206/01 Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed, [2002] ECR I-10273, [2003] ETMR 19 (ECJ).

[135]

European Court of Justice 1998. *Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1.

[136]

European Court of Justice 1998. *Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1.

[137]

European Court of Justice 1998. *Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998]

ETMR 1.

[138]

European Court of Justice 1995. Case C-317/91 Deutsche Renault AG v Audi AG [1993] ECR I-6227, [1995] 1 CMLR 461.

[139]

European Court of Justice 1998. Case C-355/96 Silhouette International Schmied GmbH v Hartlauer Handelsgesellschaft mbH [1998] ECR I-4799, [1998] 2 CMLR 953.

[140]

European Court of Justice 2000. Case C-375/97 General Motors v Yplon [2000] RPC 572.

[141]

European Court of Justice 2000. Case C-379/97 Pharmacia & Upjohn SA v Paranova A/S ("Paranova II") [1999] ECR I-6927, [2000] 1 CMLR 51.

[142]

European Court of Justice 2002. *Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1.

[143]

European Court of Justice 2002. *Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1.

[144]

European Court of Justice 1997. *Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151.

[145]

European Court of Justice 1997. *Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151.

[146]

European Court of Justice 1974. Cases C-15 & 16/74 Centrafarm v Sterling Drug, Centrafarm v Winthrop [1974] ECR 1147, 1183, [1974] 2 CMLR 480.

[147]

European Court of Justice 2002. *Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81.

[148]

European Court of Justice 2002. *Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81.

[149]

European Court of Justice 2004. *OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728.

[150]

European Court of Justice 2004. *OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728.

[151]

European Court of Justice 2002. Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] All ER (EC) 634, [2002] 2 CMLR 1329.

[152]

European Court of Justice 2001. Procter & Gamble Company v OHIM (BABY DRY) (Case

C-383/99 P) [2001] ECR I-6251, [2002] Ch 82.

[153]

European Court of Justice 2002. *Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37.

[154]

European Court of Justice 2002. *Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37.

[155]

European Court of Justice 2002. *Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37.

[156]

European Court of Justice 1999. Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205.

[157]

European Court of Justice 1999. Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205.

[158]

European Court of Justice 1999. *Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205.

[159]

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber) 2004. Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen

GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40.

[160]

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber) 2004. Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40.

[161]

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber) 1999. Case C-173/98 Sebago Inc and Ancienne Maison Dubois v GB Unic SA [1999] ETMR 681.

[162]

European Court of Justice (First Chamber) 2012. Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc [2012] ETMR 1.

[163]

European Court of Justice (First Chamber) 2012. Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc [2012] ETMR 1.

[164]

European Court of Justice (First Chamber) 2009. Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ).

[165]

European Court of Justice (First Chamber) 2009. Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ).

[166]

European Court of Justice (First Chamber) 2009. Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ).

[167]

European Court of Justice (First Chamber) 2008. *Case C-533/06 O2 Holdings Ltd v Hutchison 3G UK Ltd [2008] ETMR 55.

[168]

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) 2007. Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80.

[169]

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) 2007. Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80.

[170]

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) 2007. Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80.

[171]

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) 2011. Case C-324/09 L'Oreal SA v eBay International AG [2011] ETMR 53.

[172]

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) 2010. *Lego Juris v OHIM (Case C-48/09 P) [2010] ETMR 63.

[173]

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) 2007. *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52.

[174]

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) 2007. *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52.

[175]

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) 2007. *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52.

[176]

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) 2007. *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52.

[177]

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) 2007. *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52.

[178]

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) 2005. SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546.

[179]

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) 2005. SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546.

[180]

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) 2005. SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546.

[181]

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber) 2005. SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546.

[182]

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber) 2004. *Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10.

[183]

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber) 2004. *Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10.

[184]

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber) 2004. Procter & Gamble v OHIM (Cases C-473/01 P and C-474/01 P) [2004] ETMR 89.

[185]

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber) 2004. Shield Mark BV v Joost Kist H.O.D.N. Memex (Case C-283/01) [2004] ETMR 33.

[186]

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber) 2005. *Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67.

[187]

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber) 2005. *Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67.

[188]

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber) 2012. *Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6.

[189]

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber) 2012. *Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6.

[190]

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber) 2007. Case C-246/05 Armin Häupl v Lidl Stiftung & Co KG [2007] ETMR 61.

[191]

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber) 2004. Case C-259/02 La Mer Technology Inc v Laboratoires Goemar SA [2004] ETMR 47.

[192]

Fhima, I.S. 2011. The Court of Justice's protection of the advertising function of trade marks: an (almost) sceptical analysis. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*. 6, 5 (2011), 325–329. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpr004>.

[193]

Fysh, Michael et al. 2010. *The modern law of patents*. LexisNexis.

[194]

Gangjee, D. and Burrell, R. Because You're Worth It: L'Oreal and the Prohibition on Free Riding. *Modern Law Review*. 73, 2, 282–295.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2010.00794.x>.

[195]

Garnett, K. M. et al. 2011. *Copinger and Skone James on copyright*. Sweet & Maxwell.

[196]

Gavin Phillipson 2003. Transforming breach of confidence? Towards a common law right of privacy under the Human Rights Act. *Modern Law Review*. 66, 5 (2003), 726–758.

[197]

Gill Grassie 2006. Parallel imports and trade marks - where are we? Part 1. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 28, 9 (2006), 474–479.

[198]

Gill Grassie 2006. Parallel imports and trade marks: Part 2: the repackaging cases. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 28, 10 (2006), 513–516.

[199]

H1 Appeal from the High Court (Chancery Division) 2003. *Arsenal v Reed* [2003] RPC 39.

[200]

Helberger, N. et al. 2008. Never forever: why extending the term of protection for sound recordings is a bad idea. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 30, 5 (2008), 174–181.

[201]

Helen Norman 2004. Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2004), 1–34.

[202]

Helen Norman 2004. Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2004), 1–34.

[203]

House of Lords 2009. *Fisher v Brooker* [2007] EMLR 9; [2007] FSR 12 (Ch D); [2008] EMLR 13 (CA); [2009] 1 WLR 1764 (HL).

[204]

House of Lords 1976. *George Hensher Ltd v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd* [1976] AC 64.

[205]

House of Lords 1964. Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273

[206]

House of Lords 1964. Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273

[207]

House of Lords 2003. Newspaper Licensing Agency v Marks & Spencer Plc [2001] Ch 257 (CA); [2003] 1 AC 551 (HL).

[208]

House of Lords 2008. OBG Ltd v Allan [2008] 1 AC 1, HL.

[209]

House of Lords 2004. *R v Johnstone [2004] ETMR 2.

[210]

House of Lords 1990. *Reckitt & Colman v Borden [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491.

[211]

House of Lords 1990. *Reckitt & Colman v Borden [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491.

[212]

House of Lords 1896. *Reddaway v Banham [1896] AC 199.

[213]

House of Lords 2005. S (A Child) (Identification: Restrictions on Publication) [2005] 1 AC

593.

[214]

House of Lords 2003. *Wainwright v Home Office* (AC 406).

[215]

Ilanah Simon 2005. How does 'essential function' doctrine drive European mark trade law? *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. (2005), 401–420.

[216]

Ilanah Simon 2007. Nominative use and honest practices in industrial and commercial matters - a very European history. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2007), 117–147.

[217]

Intellectual Property Office 1994. *Trade Marks Act 1994*.

[218]

Intellectual Property Office 1994. *Trade Marks Act 1994*.

[219]

Intellectual Property Office 1994. *Trade Marks Act 1994*.

[220]

Irini A. Stamatoudi 2000. 'Joy' for the claimant: can a film also be protected as a dramatic work? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2000), 117–126.

[221]

Jacob, Robin et al. 2013. *Guidebook to intellectual property*. Hart.

[222]

Jacob, Robin et al. 2013. Guidebook to intellectual property. Hart.

[223]

Jennifer Davis 2010. Why the United Kingdom should have a law against misappropriation. Cambridge Law Journal. 69, 3 (2010), 561–581.

[224]

Jeremy Phillips 2005. Trade mark law and the need to keep free. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 36, 4 (2005), 389–401.

[225]

Jochen Pagenberg. 2004. Trade dress and the three dimensional mark - the neglected children of trade mark law? International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 35, 7 (2004), 831–843.

[226]

Joshi, R. and Isaac, B. 2005. What does identical mean? European Intellectual Property Review. 27, 5 (2005), 184–187.

[227]

Keeling, David T. 2003. Intellectual property rights in EU law: Vol. 1: Free movement and competition law. Oxford University Press.

[228]

Kitchin, David et al. 2005. Kerly's law of trade marks and trade names. Sweet & Maxwell.

[229]

Laddie, Hugh 2000. The modern law of copyright and designs. Butterworths.

[230]

Laddie, Justice 1996. Copyright: over-strength, over-regulated, over-rated? European Intellectual Property Review. 18, 5 (1996), 253-260.

[231]

Liakatou, V. and Maniatis, S. 2010. Lego - building a European concept of functionality. European Intellectual Property Review. 32, 12 (2010), 653-656.

[232]

M. Senftlebel 2011. Bringing EU Trademark Law Back Into Shape – Lessons to Learn from Keyword Advertising. 6th Annual Conference of the EPIP Association: Fine-Tuning IPR Debates (8 September 2011).

[233]

Morcom, Christopher 2005. The modern law of trade marks. LexisNexis Butterworths.

[234]

N.A. Moreham 2005. Privacy in the common law: a doctrinal and theoretical analysis. Law Quarterly Review. 121, Oct (2005), 628-656.

[235]

Nigel P. Gravells 2007. Authorship and originality: the persistent influence of Walter v Lane. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 3, (2007), 267-293.

[236]

Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Opposition Division) 2000. Zanella SNC's Community Trade Mark Application (B.42053) [2000] ETMR 69.

[237]

Patents County Court 2013. Redd Solicitors LLP v Red Legal Ltd [2012] EWPCC 54, [2013] ETMR 13.

[238]

Patents County Court 2012. *Temple Island Collections Ltd v New English Teas Ltd [2012] FSR 9.

[239]

Patricia Loughlan 2005. Descriptive trade marks, fair use and consumer confusion. European Intellectual Property Review. 27, 12 (2005), 443-445.

[240]

Phillips, J. Strong trade marks and the likelihood of confusion in European law. Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice. 1, 6, 385-397.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpl038>.

[241]

Phillips, Jeremy 2003. Trade mark law: a practical anatomy. Oxford University Press.

[242]

Phillips, Jeremy 2003. Trade mark law: a practical anatomy. Oxford University Press.

[243]

Pila, J. Copyright and Its Categories of Original Works. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 30, 2, 229-254. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqq009>.

[244]

Privy Council 1981. Cadbury Schweppes Pty Ltd v Pub Squash Co Pty Ltd [1981] 1 WLR 193 (PC).

[245]

Privy Council (Hong Kong) 1989. *Interlego AG v Tyco Industries Inc [1989] AC 217.

[246]

Queen's Bench Division 2008. Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EMLR 20.

[247]

Queen's Bench Division 2008. *Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EWHC 1777 (QB), [2008] EMLR 20.

[248]

Queen's Bench Division 2012. *Spelman v Express Newspapers [2012] EWHC 355.

[249]

Rachael Mulheron 2006. A potential framework for privacy? A reply to Hello! Modern Law Review. 69, 5 (2006), 679–713.

[250]

Rebecca Baines 2005. Copyright in commissioned works: a cause for uncertainty. European Intellectual Property Review. 27, 3 (2005), 122–123.

[251]

Richard Arnold 2007. Confidence in exclusives: Douglas v Hello! in the House of Lords. European Intellectual Property Review. 29, 8 (2007), 339–343.

[252]

Richard Arnold 2005. Copyright in photographs: a case for reform. European Intellectual Property Review. 27, 9 (2005), 303–305.

[253]

Richard Arnold 2001. Joy: a reply. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2001), 10–21.

[254]

Ricketson, S. 1984. Reaping without sowing: Unfair Competition and Intellectual Property Rights in Anglo-Australian Law. *University of New South Wales Law Journal*. 7, 1 (1984).

[255]

Roughton, A. 2005. Permitted Infringing Use: the Scope of Defences to an Infringement Action. Trade mark use. Oxford University Press.

[256]

Schechter, F. 1927. The Rational Basis of Trade Mark Protection. *Harvard Law Review*. 40, (1927).

[257]

Silver, I. and Lee, P. 2007. Protecting your Rights – Copyright in Computer Games: Nova Productions and Mazooma Games Ltd. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 29, 6 (2007), 251–255.

[258]

Spence, M. 2002. Justifying Copyright. *Dear images: art, copyright and culture*. Ridinghouse:, ICA. 389–403.

[259]

Spence, Michael 2007. *Intellectual property*. Oxford University Press.

[260]

Spence, Michael 2007. *Intellectual property*. Oxford University Press.

[261]

Stothers, Christopher 2007. Parallel trade in Europe: intellectual property, competition and regulatory law. Hart.

[262]

Supreme Court 2009. *Lucasfilms v Ainsworth [2009] FSR 2.

[263]

Supreme Court 2009. *Lucasfilms v Ainsworth [2009] FSR 2.

[264]

Tania S.L. Cheng 2006. Does copyright law confer a monopoly over unpreserved cows? European Intellectual Property Review. (2006), 276–281.

[265]

Tanya Aplin 2007. The development of the action for breach of confidence in a post-HRA era. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 19, (2007).

[266]

Terrell, Thomas and Thorley, Simon 2006. Terrell on the law of patents. Sweet & Maxwell.

[267]

Torremans, Paul and Holyoak, Jon 2013. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[268]

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person) 2007. Dennis Woodman v French Connection

[2007] RPC 1.

[269]

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person) 2005. Re Basic Trademark SA's Trade Mark Application [2005] RPC 25.

[270]

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person) 2002. Re Ghazilian's Trade Mark Application [2002] RPC 33.

[271]

Wadlow, Christopher 2004. The law of passing-off: unfair competition by misrepresentation . Sweet & Maxwell.

[272]

Waelde, Charlotte 2014. Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy. Oxford University Press.

[273]

Zemer, L. Contribution and collaboration in joint authorship: too many misconceptions. Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice. 1, 4, 283–292.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpl005>.

[274]

1990. AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109.

[275]

1990. AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109.

[276]

1990. AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109.

[277]

1990. AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109.

[278]

2007. Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33.

[279]

2007. Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33.

[280]

2009. Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22).

[281]

2009. Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22).

[282]

2009. *Case C-252/07 Intel Corporation Inc v CPM United Kingdom Ltd [2009] ETMR 13.

[283]

2003. *Case C-291/00 LTJ Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83.

[284]

2003. *Case C-291/00 LTJ Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83.

[285]

2011. Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27.

[286]

2011. Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27.

[287]

2009. Case T-387/06 Inter-Ikea Systems BV v OHIM (IDEA/IKEA) [2009] ETMR 17.

[288]

1988. Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

[289]

2005. Douglas and Zeta Jones v Hello! Ltd [2005] 4 All ER 128.

[290]

2007. Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34.

[291]

2007. Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34.

[292]

1979. *Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731.

[293]

1979. *Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731.

[294]

1979. *Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731.

[295]

1990. Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376.

[296]

1990. Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376.

[297]

2010. Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr).

[298]

2010. Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr).

[299]

2008. Honestly, neither Celine nor Gillette is defensible! European Intellectual Property Review. 30, 7 (2008), 286–293.

[300]

2009. *Infopaq International v Danske Dagblades Forening (Case C-5/08).

[301]

2002. Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) - [2003] Ch 159.

[302]

2004. *Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83.

[303]

2004. *Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83.

[304]

2003. *Libertel v Benelux Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) [2003] ECR I-3793 [2003] ETMR 63.

[305]

2000. Marca Mode CV v Adidas AG and Adidas Benelux (Case C-425/98).

[306]

1983. Merchandising Corporation of America v Harpbond [1983] FSR 32.

[307]

2001. Merz & Krell (Case C-517/99) [2001] ECR I-6959.

[308]

1997. Metix (UK) Ltd v G.H. Maughan (Plastics) Ltd [1997] FSR 718.

[309]

Module Outline & Reading for TERM 1.

[310]

1988. *Mothercare v Penguin Books* [1988] R.P.C. 113.

[311]

2012. **Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV* [2012] RPC 1.

[312]

2012. **Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV* [2012] RPC 1.

[313]

2009. *Silberquelle GmbH v Maselli-Strickmode GmbH* (C-495/07).

[314]

2008. Trade Marks Directive 2008.

[315]

2008. Trade Marks Directive 2008.

[316]

1916. *University of London Press v University Tutorial Press* [1916] 2 Ch 601.

[317]

1916. *University of London Press v University Tutorial Press* [1916] 2 Ch 601.

[318]

1916. University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601.

[319]

1900. Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539.

[320]

1900. Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539.