

LAWS3005: Intellectual Property Law

[View Online](#)

1.

Module Outline & Reading for TERM 1.

2.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

3.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

4.

Christie, Andrew, Gare, Stephen. Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property. 11th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

5.

Dowie-Whybrow M. Core statutes on intellectual property. Fourth edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

6.

Jacob, Robin, Alexander, Daniel, Fisher, Matthew. Guidebook to intellectual property. 6th ed. Oxford: Hart; 2013.

7.

Spence, Michael. Intellectual property. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007.

8.

Waelde, Charlotte. Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

9.

Bainbridge, David I. Intellectual property. 9th ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2012.

10.

Torremans, Paul, Holyoak, Jon. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

11.

Terrell, Thomas, Thorley, Simon. Terrell on the law of patents. 16th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2006.

12.

Fysh, Michael, Roughton, Ashley, Johnson, Phillip, Cook, Trevor M. The modern law of patents. 2nd ed. London: LexisNexis; 2010.

13.

Kitchin, David, Kerly, Duncan Mackenzie, Jacob, Robin. Kerly's law of trade marks and trade names. 14th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2005.

14.

Morcom, Christopher. The modern law of trade marks. 2nd ed. London: LexisNexis

Butterworths; 2005.

15.

Garnett, K. M., Davies, Gillian, Harbottle, Gwilym, Copinger, Walter Arthur, Skone James, E. P. Copinger and Skone James on copyright. 16th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2011.

16.

Laddie, Hugh. The modern law of copyright and designs. London: Butterworths; 2000.

17.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

18.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

19.

Jacob, Robin, Alexander, Daniel, Fisher, Matthew. Guidebook to intellectual property. 6th ed. Oxford: Hart; 2013.

20.

Spence, Michael. Intellectual property. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007.

21.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

22.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

23.

Richard Arnold. Confidence in exclusives: Douglas v Hello! in the House of Lords. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. Case Comment. 2007;29(8):339–343. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5257ADB12B5311DCA0A5F0FD76367280&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

24.

Tanya Aplin. The development of the action for breach of confidence in a post-HRA era. Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2007;19. Available from:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I22D01BE0A82211DB895EE0FA6D085F91&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

25.

Gavin Phillipson. Transforming breach of confidence? Towards a common law right of privacy under the Human Rights Act. Modern Law Review [Internet]. 2003;66(5):726–758. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAB06B7B0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

26.

Rachael Mulheron. A potential framework for privacy? A reply to Hello! Modern Law Review [Internet]. 2006;69(5):679–713. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I2211DAB03F8411DBBD6EEC2A69B1B2FF/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

27.

Alexandra Sims. 'A shift in the centre of gravity': the dangers of protecting privacy through

breach of confidence. Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2005;1:27-51. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84951700E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

28.

N.A. Moreham. Privacy in the common law: a doctrinal and theoretical analysis. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2005;121(Oct):628-656. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICC8D72D0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

29.

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874. Albert (Prince) v Strange (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1 [Internet]. 1849. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDY0-TWW4-20YP&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

30.

Court: Court of Appeal. Seager v Copydex Ltd [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923 [Internet]. 1967. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-204J&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

31.

Court: House of Lords. *Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-JR30-TWW4-21G6&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

32.

Court: Chancery Division. *Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41 [Internet]. 1969. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-205K>

&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

33.

Court: Court of Appeal. Seager v Copydex Ltd [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923 [Internet]. 1967. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-204&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

34.

Court: Chancery Division. *Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41 [Internet]. 1969. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-205K&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

35.

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109 [Internet]. 1990. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

36.

Queen's Bench Division. Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EMLR 20 [Internet]. 2008. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID55150E05EC411DDAB7DC9767090C799&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

37.

Chancery Division. De Maudsley v Palumbo [1996] FSR 447 [Internet]. 1996. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I95BB52B0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

38.

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874. *Albert (Prince) v Strange* (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1 [Internet]. 1849. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDY0-TWW4-20YP&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

39.

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109 [Internet]. 1990. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

40.

Court: Chancery Division. **Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd* [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41 [Internet]. 1969. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-205K&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

41.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). **Faccenda Chicken Ltd v Fowler* [1987] Ch 117 [Internet]. 1987. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA52E8DC1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

42.

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109 [Internet]. 1990. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

43.

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109 [Internet]. 1990. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

44.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Woodward v Hutchins* [1977] 2 All ER 751; [1977] 1 WLR 760 [Internet]. 1977. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I09982950E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

45.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Douglas v Hello! Ltd* [2005] 4 All ER 128; [2005] 3 WLR 881 [Internet]. 2005. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A3764F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

46.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Lion Laboratories Ltd v Evans* [1984] 2 All ER 417 [Internet]. 1984. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE12C1131E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset>

47.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Kaye v Robertson* [1991] FSR 62 [Internet]. 1991. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID1CFE090E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

48.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Douglas v Hello! Ltd (No.1)* QB 967, [2001] 2 WLR 992 [Internet]. 2001. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A3457B1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

49.

House of Lords. *OBG Ltd v Allan* [2008] 1 AC 1, HL [Internet]. 2008. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I35E233B0F92311DB9045877B5F5EF663&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

50.

House of Lords. *Wainwright v Home Office* (AC 406) [Internet]. 2003. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEF2EAFD0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

51.

European Court of Human Rights. **Von Hannover v Germany* (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE52AC120003611DBB3E7976425AFED86/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

52.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *HRH Prince of Wales v Associated Newspapers Ltd* [2008] Ch 57, [2007] 3 WLR 222 [Internet]. 2008. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA4EFF380924111DB8D3DDAA0606E23F1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

53.

Court: House of Lords. **Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd* [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-JR30-TWW4-21G6&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

54.

House of Lords. *S (A Child) (Identification: Restrictions on Publication)* [2005] 1 AC 593 [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I915A7420E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

55.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). **Murray v Express Newspapers Plc* [2009] Ch 481 [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF9C8BCC01CBA11DDB566FF76D66A7C56/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

56.

Queen's Bench Division. **Spelman v Express Newspapers* [2012] EWHC 355 [Internet]. 2012. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0CBAF4D06D9111E1945FEE25069F94B3&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

57.

European Court of Human Rights. **Von Hannover v Germany* (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE52AC120003611DBB3E7976425AFED86/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

58.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Donald v Ntuli* [2011] 1 WLR 294 [Internet]. 2011. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF83A68B0F1E011DF8DDEF4C61C812980/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

59.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). Axel Springer v Germany [2012] EMLR 15 [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC1FFA770881A11E1B306BD6814F5898C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

60.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). Von Hannover v Germany (No 2) [2012] EMLR 16 [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0F55C7C0881B11E1B306BD6814F5898C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

61.

Queen's Bench Division. *Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EWHC 1777 (QB), [2008] EMLR 20 [Internet]. 2008. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID55150E05EC411DDAB7DC9767090C799&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

62.

European Court of Human Rights. Mosley v United Kingdom [2012] EMLR 1 [Internet]. 2011. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB01A71007C4711E09FE9952F1280B01E&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

63.

Court: House of Lords. *Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-JR30-TWW4-21G6&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

64.

Douglas and Zeta Jones v Hello! Ltd [2005] 4 All ER 128 [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4H7S-JMY0-TWP1-605P&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

65.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

66.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

67.

Wadlow, Christopher. The law of passing-off: unfair competition by misrepresentation. 3rd ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2004.

68.

Ricketson S. Reaping without sowing: Unfair Competition and Intellectual Property Rights in Anglo-Australian Law. University of New South Wales Law Journal [Internet]. University of New South Wales Faculty of Law; 1984;7(1). Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fswales&collection=journals>

69.

Jennifer Davis. Why the United Kingdom should have a law against misappropriation. Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. 2010;69(3):561–581. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE598DCA20CB111E0AFBDF1383E1E3727&crumb-action=reset>

70.

Christopher Wadlow. Passing off at the crossroads again: a review article for Hazel Carty, An Analysis of the Economic Torts. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2011;33(7):447–455. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

boleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I4CF8A4E0881111E0B370896DBAF0B922&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

71.

*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731 [Internet]. 1979. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-60FB&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

72.

House of Lords. *Reckitt & Colman v Borden [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491 [Internet]. 1990. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84D5CD80E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

73.

Court: House of Lords. IRC v Muller & Co's Margarine Ltd [1901] AC 217 [Internet]. 1901. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXV-M7D0-TWW4-20B3&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

74.

*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731 [Internet]. 1979. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-60FB&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

75.

House of Lords. *Reddaway v Banham [1896] AC 199 [Internet]. 1896. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84E3FE50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

76.

Court: House of Lords. *Edge (William) & Sons Ltd v William Niccolts & Sons Ltd* [1911] AC 693 [Internet]. 1911. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FG30-TWW4-21GN&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

77.

Court of Session (Outer House). *John Haig & Co Ltd v Forth Blending Co Ltd* (1953) 70 RPC 259 [Internet]. 1953. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICD7279E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

78.

House of Lords. **Reckitt & Colman v Borden* [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491 [Internet]. 1990. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84D5CD80E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

79.

Privy Council. *Cadbury Schweppes Pty Ltd v Pub Squash Co Pty Ltd* [1981] 1 WLR 193 (PC) [Internet]. 1981. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I806103B0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

80.

Court: Chancery Division. *Bollinger v Costa Brava Wine Co Ltd* [1961] RPC 116 [Internet]. 1961. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FGP0-TWW4-2113&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

81.

Court: Chancery Division. Vine Products Ltd v Mackenzie & Co Ltd [1969] RPC 1 [Internet]. 1969. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXN-BPC0-TWW4-219M&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

82.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Diageo v Intercontinental Brands [2010] ETMR 57 [Internet]. 2010. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IACA34D609C3411DF92A7D3B03F532893&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

83.

Court: Court of Appeal. Anheuser-Busch Inc v Budejovicky Budvar Narodni Podnik, Budweiser Case [1984] FSR 413 [Internet]. 1984. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXV-YY50-TWW4-21H2&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

84.

Chancery Division. Waterman (Pete) Ltd v CBS United Kingdom Ltd [1993] EMLR 27 [Internet]. 1993. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I1E2EA890E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

85.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd [2010] RPC 16 [Internet]. 2010. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9139A39021C211DFA41BF0B6F8159676&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

86.

Court: House of Lords. *Spalding (A.G.) & Bros v A.W. Gamage Ltd and Benetfink & Co Ltd (1915) 32 RPC 273 (HL) [Internet]. 1915. Available from:

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-6310-TWW4-20NS&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

87.

Chancery Division. *Irvine v Talksport Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 2355 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICAC470E1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

88.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641 [Internet]. 1993. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC7A6E7C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

89.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Harrods v Harrodian School [1996] RPC 697 [Internet]. 1996. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBAF3FAA0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

90.

H1 Appeal from the High Court (Chancery Division). Arsenal v Reed [2003] RPC 39 [Internet]. 2003. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I676D8860E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

91.

Court: Court of Appeal. *L'Oreal SA v Bellure NV [2007] EWCA Civ 968, [2008] RPC 196

[Internet]. 2007. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4PWD-GRH0-TWW4-21F9&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

92.

*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731 [Internet]. 1979. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-60FB&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

93.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641 [Internet]. 1993. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC7A6E7C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

94.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641 [Internet]. 1993. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC7A6E7C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

95.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Harrods v Harrodian School [1996] RPC 697 [Internet]. 1996. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBAF3FAA0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

96.

Chancery Division. *Irvine v Talksport Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 2355 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid>

=ICAC470E1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

97.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

98.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

99.

Jochen Pagenberg. Trade dress and the three dimensional mark - the neglected children of trade mark law? International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law [Internet]. IPR Verlag GmbH; 2004;35(7):831-843. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2F83C290E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

100.

Bergquist J, Curley D. Shape trade marks and fast-moving consumer goods. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF: Sweet & Maxwell/ESC Publishing; 2008;17-24. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I4605FB80A38411DCA386F3C91B230F0D/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

101.

Liakatou V, Maniatis S. Lego - building a European concept of functionality. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2010;32(12):653-656. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB6FD09E1EED711DFB0EED922B45E4A88&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

102.

Jeremy Phillips. Trade mark law and the need to keep free. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* [Internet]. IPR Verlag GmbH; 2005;36(4):389-401. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2F74CE71E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

103.

Davis J. *The Need to Leave Free for Others to Use and the Trade Mark Common*. Trade mark use. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005.

104.

Patricia Loughlan. Descriptive trade marks, fair use and consumer confusion. *European Intellectual Property Review* [Internet]. 2005;27(12):443-445. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF386C60E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

105.

Intellectual Property Office. *Trade Marks Act 1994* [Internet]. 1994. Available from: <http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>

106.

Trade Marks Directive 2008 [Internet]. 2008. Available from: <http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/details.jsp?id=5206>

107.

Court: European Court of Justice. *Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV*: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587 [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

108.

Intellectual Property Office. Trade Marks Act 1994 [Internet]. 1994. Available from: <http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>

109.

Trade Marks Directive 2008 [Internet]. 2008. Available from: <http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/details.jsp?id=5206>

110.

European Court of Justice. *Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37 [Internet]. 2002. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8480D50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

111.

Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55Y7-JST1-DYBP-N4FG&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

112.

European Court of Justice. *Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37 [Internet]. 2002. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8480D50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

113.

European Court of Justice. *Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37 [Internet]. 2002. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8480D50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

114.

Court of First Instance. Eden SARL v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) [2006] (T-305/04) ETMR 14 [Internet]. 2006. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9F9A2DB1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

115.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). Shield Mark BV v Joost Kist H.O.D.N. Memex (Case C-283/01) [2004] ETMR 33 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA7E1AA60E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

116.

*Libertel v Benelux Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) [2003] ECR I-3793 [2003] ETMR 63 [Internet]. 2003. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE0B97940E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset>

117.

European Court of Justice. *Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81 [Internet]. 2002. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID535C7E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

118.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546 [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

hib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

119.

*Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T19962934462&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T19962934467&backKey=20_T19962934468&csi=296988&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114

120.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546 [Internet]. 2005. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

121.

Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) - [2003] Ch 159 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T19962953981&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T19962953983&backKey=20_T19962953984&csi=296988&docNo=10&scrollToPosition=1710

122.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546 [Internet]. 2005. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

123.

*Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T19962934462&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T19962934467&backKey=20_T19962934468&csi=296988&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114

ey=20_T19962934468&csi=296988&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114

124.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). *Procter & Gamble v OHIM* (Cases C-473/01 P and C-474/01 P) [2004] ETMR 89 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I291ECC80E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

125.

Court: European Court of Justice. **Linde AG, Winward Industries Inc & Rado Uhren AG v Deutsches Patentund Markenamt* (Cases C-53/01, 54/01 & 55/01) [2003] ECR-I 3161, [2003] RPC 803 [Internet]. 2003. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXV-47S0-TWW4-200W&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

126.

European Court of Justice. **OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT)* (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I1233F270E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

127.

European Court of Justice. *Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber* (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205 [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I08B1E800E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

128.

European Court of Justice. *Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber* (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205 [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I08B1E800E4>

2911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true

129.

European Court of Justice. Procter & Gamble Company v OHIM (BABY DRY) (Case C-383/99 P) [2001] ECR I-6251, [2002] Ch 82 [Internet]. 2001. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I291D6CF0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

130.

European Court of Justice. *OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I1233F270E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

131.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546 [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

132.

Merz & Krell (Case C-517/99) [2001] ECR I-6959 [Internet]. 2001. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF9600D10E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset>

133.

European Court of Justice. *Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205 [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I08B1E800E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

134.

European Court of Justice. Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] All ER (EC) 634, [2002] 2 CMLR 1329 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID535C7E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

135.

Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55Y7-JST1-DYBP-N4FG&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

136.

European Court of Justice. *Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID535C7E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

137.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). *Lego Juris v OHIM (Case C-48/09 P) [2010] ETMR 63 [Internet]. 2010. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IACB54990F69B11DFB99CA99461512FB4&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

138.

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person). Re Ghazilian's Trade Mark Application [2002] RPC 33 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE7CC180E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

hib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

139.

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person). Re Basic Trademark SA's Trade Mark Application [2005] RPC 25 [Internet]. 2005. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I701AE840E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

140.

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person). Dennis Woodman v French Connection [2007] RPC 1 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAC0ACD2032B511DB8591EC6659BE7CBE&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

141.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

142.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

143.

Andrew Griffiths. The impact of the global appreciation approach on the boundaries of trade mark protection. Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF: Sweet & Maxwell; 2001;326-360. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I80DC7BD0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

144.

Phillips, Jeremy. Trade mark law: a practical anatomy. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press; 2003.

145.

Joshi R, Isaac B. What does identical mean? European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2005;27(5):184–187. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF4A94D0E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

146.

Phillips J. Strong trade marks and the likelihood of confusion in European law. Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice. 1(6):385–397.

147.

Schechter F. The Rational Basis of Trade Mark Protection. Harvard Law Review [Internet]. Harvard Law School; 1927;40. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fhlr&collection=journals>

148.

Gangjee D, Burrell R. Because You're Worth It: L'Oreal and the Prohibition on Free Riding. Modern Law Review. 73(2):282–295.

149.

Court: European Court of Justice. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587 [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

150.

*Case C-291/00 LTJ Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83 [Internet]. 2003. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

boleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE810E610E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true

151.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Reed Executive Plc v Reed Business Information Ltd* [2004] ETMR 56 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8510B3A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

152.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-251/95 *SABEL v Puma* [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1 [Internet]. 1998. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I97093A00E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

153.

Court: Court of First Instance, EC. *Les Editions Albert Rene v OHIM* (Case T-336/03) [2005] ECR II-4667 [Internet]. 2005. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4HF5-CB60-TWW4-215W&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

154.

Court: European Court of Justice. *Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV*: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587 [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

155.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-39/97 *Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc* [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1 [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I97093A00E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

n=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

156.

Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Opposition Division). Zanella SNC's Community Trade Mark Application (B.42053) [2000] ETMR 69 [Internet]. 2000. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I120C29B0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

157.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1 [Internet]. 1998. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I97093A00E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

158.

Marca Mode CV v Adidas AG and Adidas Benelux (Case C-425/98) [Internet]. 2000. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEE0DCBF0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

159.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1 [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

160.

Court: European Court of Justice. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV:

C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587 [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

161.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1 [Internet]. 1998. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I97093A00E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

162.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1 [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

163.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1 [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

164.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1 [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

165.

Case T-387/06 Inter-Ikea Systems BV v OHIM (IDEA/IKEA) [2009] ETMR 17 [Internet]. 2009. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE1F37F40EF4B11DDABD59220C1484B66&crumb-action=reset>

166.

Advocate Generals Opinion. *Case C-292/00 Davidoff & Cie SA, Zino Davidoff SA v Gofkid Ltd [2003] ECR I 389, [2002] ETMR 99 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I94E230C0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

167.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). *Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5343F131E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

168.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). *Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5343F131E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

169.

European Court of Justice. Case C-375/97 General Motors v Yplon [2000] RPC 572 [Internet]. 2000. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IADFD5850E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

170.

Chancery Division. *Julius Sämann Ltd v Tetrosyl Ltd* [2006] EWHC 529 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID12EBE91E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

171.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). *Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc* [2012] ETMR 1 [Internet]. 2012. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAF651490EAF111E0A275A3ECCA23837C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

172.

**Case C-252/07 Intel Corporation Inc v CPM United Kingdom Ltd* [2009] ETMR 13 [Internet]. 2009. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE1F1D190EF4B11DDABD59220C1484B66&crumb-action=reset>

173.

Benelux Court of Justice. *Colgate-Palmolive BV v Koninklijke Distilleerderijen Erven Lucas Bols NV* (1976) 7 IIC 420 (CLAERYN/KLAREIN) [Internet]. 1979. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I89876421E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

174.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). *Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV* [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ) [Internet]. 2009. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8F8A7AF0652B11DE983DB30BB4733E30&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

175.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV* [2010] ETMR 47 (Court of Appeal) [Internet]. 2010. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I0CE81031654811DFADCD9988CD311A96/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

176.

Intellectual Property Office. Trade Marks Act 1994 [Internet]. 1994. Available from: <http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>

177.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

178.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. *Intellectual property law*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

179.

Helen Norman. Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? *Intellectual Property Quarterly* [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF: Sweet & Maxwell; 2004;1-34. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I80E07370E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

180.

Ilanah Simon. How does 'essential function' doctrine drive European mark trade law? *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* [Internet]. IPR Verlag GmbH; 2005;401-420. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2F701380E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

181.

Andrew Griffiths. The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2007;3:312–349. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I943CD4A0407811DCBD0B8974948FEEE1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

182.

M. Senftlebel. Bringing EU Trademark Law Back Into Shape – Lessons to Learn from Keyword Advertising [Internet]. Brussels, Belgium. 6th Annual Conference of the EPIP Association: Fine-Tuning IPR Debates (8 September 2011); 2011. Available from: <http://www.epip.eu/conferences/epip06/papers/Parallel%20Session%20Papers/>

183.

Andrew Griffiths. The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2007;3:312–349. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I943CD4A0407811DCBD0B8974948FEEE1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

184.

Mothercare v Penguin Books [1988] R.P.C. 113 [Internet]. 1988. Available from: <http://rpc.oxfordjournals.org/content/105/6/113.short?rss=1&ssource=mfr>

185.

Helen Norman. Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF: Sweet & Maxwell; 2004;1–34. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I80E07370E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

186.

*Case C-291/00 LTJ Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83 [Internet]. 2003. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE810E610E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

187.

European Court of Justice. Case C-63/97 BMW v Deenik [1999] ECR I-905, [1999] 1 CMLR 1099 [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I708BF991E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

188.

European Court of Justice. Case C-2/00 Hölterhoff v Ulrich Freiesleben [2002] ETMR 917 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC3117690E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

189.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-206/01 Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed, [2002] ECR I-10273, [2003] ETMR 19 (ECJ) [Internet]. 2003. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I676B8C90E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

190.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed [2003] ETMR 73 (CA) [Internet]. 2003. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I676D8860E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

191.

House of Lords. *R v Johnstone [2004] ETMR 2 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I50612720E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

192.

Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IDB584410131811DCBED6E2488C9C88D7&crumb-action=reset>

193.

Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr) [Internet]. 2010. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y4Y-47W0-Y96Y-H1TV&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

194.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A9597108F5111DC9C26E9F078BBCACB&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

195.

Chancery Division. RxWorks Ltd v Hunter [2007] EWHC 3061 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I93AF3FC0BDAD11DCAF01C913343759EA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

196.

Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr) [Internet]. 2010. Available from:

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y4Y-47W0-Y96Y-H1TV&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

197.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-324/09 L'Oreal SA v eBay International AG [2011] ETMR 53 [Internet]. 2011. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7F3F9920B27F11E0818793785D117705&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

198.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc [2012] ETMR 1 [Internet]. 2012. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAF651490EAF111E0A275A3ECCA23837C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

199.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

200.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

201.

Roughton A. Permitted Infringing Use: the Scope of Defences to an Infringement Action.

Trade mark use. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005.

202.

Ilanah Simon. Nominative use and honest practices in industrial and commercial matters - a very European history. Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF: Sweet & Maxwell; 2007;117-147. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I7237CE70FF5311DB890AD2939FCE442A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

203.

Honestly, neither Celine nor Gillette is defensible! European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF: Sweet & Maxwell/ESC Publishing; 2008;30(7):286-293. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I734626B0286811DD8EF9F64D79DCEEA7&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

204.

Christophe Geiger. Trade marks and freedom of expression - the proportionality of criticism. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law [Internet]. IPR Verlag GmbH; 2007;38(3):317-327. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I2BE7DFB030C111DC94868C93E4A893F7/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

205.

Claire Howell. Trade marks: what constitutes 'genuine use'? Laboratoires Goemar SA v La Mer Technology. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2006;28(2):118-121. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF32C710E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

206.

Phillips, Jeremy. Trade mark law: a practical anatomy. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press; 2003.

207.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A9597108F5111DC9C26E9F078BBCACB&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

208.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd [2010] RPC 16 [Internet]. 2010. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9139A39021C211DFA41BF0B6F8159676&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

209.

Patents County Court. Redd Solicitors LLP v Red Legal Ltd [2012] EWPC 54, [2013] ETMR 13 [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I4ADB2B204A5111E2AFC5ADE6B0249198&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

210.

Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IDB584410131811DCBED6E2488C9C88D7&crumb-action=reset>

211.

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber). Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

boleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE5EB230E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

212.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). *Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67 [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAEF59B00E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

213.

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber). Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE5EB230E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

214.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). *Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67 [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAEF59B00E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

215.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A9597108F5111DC9C26E9F078BBCACB&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

216.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ) [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8F8A7AF0652B11DE983DB30BB4733E30&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

217.

Dowie-Whybrow M. Core statutes on intellectual property. Fourth edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

218.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). *Case C-533/06 O2 Holdings Ltd v Hutchison 3G UK Ltd [2008] ETMR 55 [Internet]. 2008. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I341987E03CF711DDA8E4E8EFC9CB01FD&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

219.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ) [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8F8A7AF0652B11DE983DB30BB4733E30&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

220.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-40/01 Ansul BV and Ajax Brandbeveiliging BV (Minimax) [2003] ECR I-2439, [2003] ETMR 85 [Internet]. 2003. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5E814AC0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

221.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). Case C-259/02 La Mer Technology Inc v Laboratoires Goemar SA [2004] ETMR 47 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID645B140E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

222.

Silberquelle GmbH v Maselli-Strickmode GmbH (C-495/07) [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IED6BA7D01B5111DEAFD6ED60DC0DB1FC/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

223.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). Case C-246/05 Armin Häupl v Lidl Stiftung & Co KG [2007] ETMR 61 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I853010504BAC11DC869CF358B7B5BFD4&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

224.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

225.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

226.

Stothers, Christopher. Parallel trade in Europe: intellectual property, competition and regulatory law. Oxford: Hart; 2007.

227.

Gill Grassie. Parallel imports and trade marks - where are we? Part 1. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2006;28(9):474-479. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8FFA6D10298311DB9C38979DE63AE30C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

228.

Gill Grassie. Parallel imports and trade marks: Part 2: the repackaging cases. *European Intellectual Property Review* [Internet]. 2006;28(10):513–516. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB3C89E9042A811DBBF32AB60305756BD&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

229.

Keeling, David T. Intellectual property rights in EU law: Vol. 1: Free movement and competition law [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198259183.001.0001>

230.

Fhima IS. The Court of Justice's protection of the advertising function of trade marks: an (almost) sceptical analysis. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*. 2011;6(5):325–329.

231.

European Court of Justice. Case 8/74 Procureur du Roi v Dassonville [1974] ECR 837 at 852, [1974] 2 CMLR 436 [Internet]. 1974. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I2937F9D0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

232.

European Court of Justice. Cases C-15 & 16/74 Centrafarm v Sterling Drug, Centrafarm v Winthrop [1974] ECR 1147, 1183, [1974] 2 CMLR 480 [Internet]. 1974. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I839F8CE0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

233.

European Court of Justice. Case C-317/91 Deutsche Renault AG v Audi AG [1993] ECR I-6227, [1995] 1 CMLR 461 [Internet]. 1995. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I98789DF1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

234.

European Court of Justice. Case C-355/96 Silhouette International Schmied GmbH v Hartlauer Handelsgesellschaft mbH [1998] ECR I-4799, [1998] 2 CMLR 953 [Internet]. 1998. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8607750E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

235.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1 [Internet]. 2002. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I123387D0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

236.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1 [Internet]. 2002. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I123387D0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

237.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Mastercigars Direct Ltd v Hunters and Frankau [2007] ETMR 54 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I925173E0163D11DCA571A55D57CB3653&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

hib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

238.

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber). Case C-173/98 Sebago Inc and Ancienne Maison Dubois v GB Unic SA [1999] ETMR 681 [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9F7990E1E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

239.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-16/03 Peak Holding v Axolin-Elinor [2004] ECR I-11313, [2005] Ch 261, [2005] 2 WLR 650 [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I1AF74B50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

240.

Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27 [Internet]. 2011. Available from: <http://indiancaselaws.wordpress.com/2013/09/14/loreal-sa-v-ebay-international-ag-c-32409/>

241.

Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22) [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE642516054A011DE99E188287EC57E09/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

242.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151 [Internet]. 1997. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A9F5210E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

243.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

244.

European Court of Justice. Case C-379/97 Pharmacia & Upjohn SA v Paranova A/S ("Paranova II") [1999] ECR I-6927, [2000] 1 CMLR 51 [Internet]. 2000. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I1E835840E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

245.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

246.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A9F5210E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

247.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

248.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward* [2008] EWCA Civ 83, [2008] ETMR 36 [Internet]. 2008. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA56805E0E10B11DC9179F6B281EA371D&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

249.

European Court of Justice. *Case C-143/00 *Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer I")* [2002] ECR I-3759, [2002] All ER (EC) 581 [Internet]. 2002. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7643E730E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

250.

Chancery Division (Patents Court). *Glaxo Group Ltd v Dowelhurst Ltd (No 2)* [2000] FSR 529 [Internet]. 2000. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAF9E1000E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

251.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). *Case C-348/04 *Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II")*, [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

252.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

253.

Advocate Generals Opinion. *Case C-337/95 Parfums Christian Dior SA v Evora BV [1997] ECR I-1603 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I19C708B0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

254.

Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22) [Internet]. 2009. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE642516054A011DE99E188287EC57E09/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

255.

Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27 [Internet]. 2011. Available from: <http://indiancaselaws.wordpress.com/2013/09/14/loreal-sa-v-ebay-international-ag-c-32409/>

256.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

257.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

258.

Pila J. Copyright and Its Categories of Original Works. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 30(2):229–254.

259.

Spence M. Justifying Copyright. *Dear images: art, copyright and culture*. London: Ridinghouse; ICA; 2002. p. 389–403.

260.

Irini A. Stamatoudi. 'Joy' for the claimant: can a film also be protected as a dramatic work? *Intellectual Property Quarterly* [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF: Sweet & Maxwell; 2000;117–126. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I7FA49810E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

261.

Richard Arnold. Joy: a reply. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF: Sweet & Maxwell; 2001;10–21. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I7FA50D40E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

262.

Richard Arnold. Copyright in photographs: a case for reform. *European Intellectual Property Review* [Internet]. 2005;27(9):303–305. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF37F730E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

263.

Andreas Rahmatian. Music and creativity as perceived by copyright law. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF: Sweet &

Maxwell; 2005;267–293. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/IBD9BC3D0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

264.

Tania S.L. Cheng. Does copyright law confer a monopoly over unpreserved cows?

European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF; 2006;276–281. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF290310E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

265.

Silver I, Lee P. Protecting your Rights – Copyright in Computer Games: Nova Productions and Mazooma Games Ltd. European Intellectual Property Review. 2007;29(6):251–255.

266.

Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 [Internet]. 1988. Available from: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1988/48/contents>

267.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA) [Internet]. 2000. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I108E7FD0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

268.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Baigent & Leigh v Random House [2007] EWCA Civ 247, [2007] FSR 24 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I372331B0DDA411DB89E08052F2CA7868&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

269.

Chancery Division. Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2006] RPC 14 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I114796A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

270.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0B2036B0D2AC11DB97F6EEA8CBB93415&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

271.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Exxon Corp v Exxon Insurance Consultants International Ltd [1982] Ch 119 [Internet]. 1982. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA4F75120E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

272.

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601 [Internet]. 1916. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE619B480E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

273.

*Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV [2012] RPC 1 [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE9F6C360B8A611E08E89E51884D3FC3D&crumb-action=reset>

274.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA) [Internet]. 2000. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I108E7FD0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

275.

Chancery Division. Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2006] RPC 14 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I114796A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

276.

Chancery Division. Lawson v Dundas [Internet]. 1985. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4PN7-RW10-TXX5-50FC&csi=316560&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

277.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281 [Internet]. 2005. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I98427D51E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

278.

Chancery Division. Creation Records v News Group Newspapers [1997] EMLR 444 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8FF0A060E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

279.

Metix (UK) Ltd v G.H. Maughan (Plastics) Ltd [1997] FSR 718 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF98129A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

280.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd* [2007] RPC 25 (CA) [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0B2036B0D2AC11DB97F6EEA8CBB93415&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

281.

Court: Chancery Division. *British Northrop Ltd v Texteam Blackburn Ltd* [1974] RPC 57 [Internet]. 1974. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDW0-TWW4-20KN&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

282.

Merchandising Corporation of America v Harpbond [1983] FSR 32 [Internet]. 1983. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF91A2A70E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

283.

Supreme Court. **Lucasfilms v Ainsworth* [2009] FSR 2 [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0C305000B84211E09CEF84D8174DB20E&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

284.

Supreme Court. **Lucasfilms v Ainsworth* [2009] FSR 2 [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0C305000B84211E09CEF84D8174DB20E&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

hib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

285.

House of Lords. *George Hensher Ltd v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd* [1976] AC 64

[Internet]. 1976. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE3160A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

286.

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601 [Internet]. 1916.

Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE619B480E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

287.

House of Lords. *Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd* [1964] 1 WLR 273

[Internet]. 1964. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID65CE2C1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

288.

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539 [Internet]. 1900. Available from:

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YK00-TWW4-2116&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

289.

Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376 [Internet]. 1990.

Available from:

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-6012&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

290.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013.

291.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

292.

Nigel P. Gravells. Authorship and originality: the persistent influence of *Walter v Lane*. Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2007;3:267–293. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9439A050407811DCBD0B8974948FEEE1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

293.

Zemer L. Contribution and collaboration in joint authorship: too many misconceptions. Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice. 1(4):283–292.

294.

Rebecca Baines. Copyright in commissioned works: a cause for uncertainty. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2005;27(3):122–123. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF37D020E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

295.

David Booton. The informal acquisition of copyright. Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2011;1:28–49. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID6711520345311E0BC47AA7F74E9E5E3&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

296.

Laddie, Justice. Copyright: over-strength, over-regulated, over-rated? European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 1996;18(5):253-260. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID04F5AA0E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

297.

Helberger N, Dufft N, Van Gompel S, Bernt Hugenholtz P. Never forever: why extending the term of protection for sound recordings is a bad idea. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2008;30(5):174-181. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBD3B9C10003511DDA46EB425E5C11227&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

298.

Estelle Derclaye. Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening (C-5/08): wonderful or worrisome? The impact of the ECJ ruling in Infopaq on UK copyright law. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 100 Avenue Road Swiss Cottage London NW3 3PF: Sweet & Maxwell/ESC Publishing; 2010;247-251. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IB72704A030AC11DF9C83BB18AACF6BDB/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

299.

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601 [Internet]. 1916. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE619B480E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

300.

House of Lords. Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273 [Internet]. 1964. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

boleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID65CE2C1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

301.

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539 [Internet]. 1900. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YK00-TWW4-2116&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

302.

Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376 [Internet]. 1990. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-6012&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

303.

Privy Council (Hong Kong). *Interlego AG v Tyco Industries Inc [1989] AC 217 [Internet]. 1989. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICA553451E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

304.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281 [Internet]. 2005. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I98427D51E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

305.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). ZYX Music GmbH v King [1995] 3 All ER 1, [1997] 2 All ER 129 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I124B7CA0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

306.

Chancery Division. Antiquesportfolio.Com Plc v. Rodney Fitch & Company Limited [2001] ECDR 5 [Internet]. 2001. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5E91C580E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

307.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). *Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6 [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB8007600633511E19B1EDECF6B79D5EA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

308.

Patents County Court. *Temple Island Collections Ltd v New English Teas Ltd [2012] FSR 9 [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID00513403D7F11E18561D2A3A042DC41&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

309.

*Infopaq International v Danske Dagblades Forening (Case C-5/08) [Internet]. 2009. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEE144860B3B611DE8E61D7238152E802/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

310.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). *Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6 [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB8007600633511E19B1EDECF6B79D5EA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

hib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

311.

*Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV [2012] RPC 1 [Internet]. 2012.

Available from:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE9F6C360B8A611E08E89E51884D3FC3D&crumb-action=reset>

312.

House of Lords. Newspaper Licensing Agency v Marks & Spencer Plc [2001] Ch 257 (CA); [2003] 1 AC 551 (HL) [Internet]. 2003. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0CD54860E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

313.

Chancery Division. *Robin Ray v Classic FM [1998] FSR 622 [Internet]. 1998. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I848D79E0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

314.

Chancery Division. Brighton v Jones [2005] FSR 16 [Internet]. 2005. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A77F3F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

315.

Chancery Division. Hadley v Kemp [1999] EMLR 589 [Internet]. 1999. Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB88DD011E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

316.

House of Lords. *Fisher v Brooker* [2007] EMLR 9; [2007] FSR 12 (Ch D); [2008] EMLR 13 (CA); [2009] 1 WLR 1764 (HL) [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC84829907D7D11DE8013EC861A6B9FF1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

317.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Beckingham v Hodgens* [2003] ECDR 6 (Ch D); [2003] EMLR 18 (CA) [Internet]. 2003. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I72DD8AB0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

318.

Court: Court of Appeal. *Stevenson (or Stephenson) Jordan and Harrison Ltd v MacDonald and Evans* (1952) 1 TLR 101 [Internet]. 1952. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YJ40-TWW4-20NH&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

319.

Chancery Division. **Noah v Shuba* [1991] FSR 14 [Internet]. 1991. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I0D783F20E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

320.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Griggs Group Ltd v Evans* [2005] FSR 31 [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2E831BE1E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>