

GEOG0086: Advanced Geopolitics

[View Online](#)

[1]

Neocleous, M, 'Against security', *Radical Philosophy*, pp. 7–15, [Online]. Available: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_proquest1036571300&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,neocleous%20security&sortby=rank&facet=rtype,include,articles∓offset=0

[2]

M. Müller, 'Assemblages and Actor-networks: Rethinking Socio-material Power, Politics and Space', *Geography Compass*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 27–41, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1111/gec3.12192.

[3]

A. Barry, 'The Translation Zone: Between Actor-Network Theory and International Relations', *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 413–429, Jun. 2013, doi: 10.1177/0305829813481007.

[4]

W. Walters, 'Drone strikes, dingpolitik and beyond: Furthering the debate on materiality and security', *Security Dialogue*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 101–118, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.1177/0967010613519162.

[5]

A. Barry, 'Ethical Performances', in *Material Politics*, Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2013, pp. 75–94. doi: 10.1002/9781118529065.ch4.

[6]

U. Biemann, 'Writing counter-geography | BUALA'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.buala.org/en/to-read/writing-counter-geography>

[7]

B. Latour, 'From realpolitik to dingpolitik'. 2005. [Online]. Available: <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/96-DINGPOLITIK-GB.pdf>

[8]

T. Paglen, 'Experimental Geography: From Cultural Production to the Production of Space | The Brooklyn Rail'. [Online]. Available: <https://brooklynrail.org/2009/03/express/experimental-geography-from-cultural-production-to-the-production-of-space>

[9]

'Project - Forensic Architecture'. [Online]. Available: <https://www.forensic-architecture.org/project/>

[10]

M. B. Salter, Ed., Making things international: 1: Circuits and motion. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5749/j.ctt14jxw02>

[11]

D. Preziosi and C. J. Farago, Grasping the world: the idea of the museum. Aldershot: Ashgate, 2004.

[12]

Z. Bahrani, 'Regarding Art and Art History', The Art Bulletin, vol. 95, no. 4, pp. 516–517, Dec. 2013, doi: 10.1080/00043079.2013.10786090.

[13]

B. Rappert, *How to Look Good in a War*. Pluto Press, 2015. doi: 10.2307/j.ctt183pbws.

[14]

Burgess, Adam, 'The changing character of public inquiries in the (risk) regulatory state', *British Politics*, vol. 6, pp. 3-29, [Online]. Available:
https://search-proquest-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/docview/858096419?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

[15]

J. Philpp, 'The limits of the visible: the politics of contingency in the photographic work of Trevor Paglen', *Critical studies*, 2016, [Online]. Available:
http://www.criticalstudies.org.uk/uploads/2/6/0/7/26079602/jeandree_csv2.pdf

[16]

Horn, E., 'Knowing the enemy: The epistemology of secret intelligence', *Grey Room*, pp. 59-85, [Online]. Available:
https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_scopus2-s2.0-61249225123&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,horn%20knowing%20the%20enemy&sortby=rank&offset=0

[17]

'Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament - 2016-2017_ISC_AR.pdf'. [Online]. Available:
https://b1cba9b3-a-5e6631fd-s-sites.googlegroups.com/a/independent.gov.uk/isc/files/2016-2017_ISC_AR.pdf?attachauth=ANoY7crF1OVvrQdZfgNk9G1HiYyefkgUScTj05iEsCEbXtQncCyid-Du8fqCDYb3Hln0BZcZ1Zcdl4JgVLCqkOhnVyNHWUGi6Lj pzVmxUfBxOKzdB8p9N_-pYH9CqAp4Dcaxebx_zmtmU0dhF5Me4LXJKoDoR-1Ge_0dFHlzlyeJAeuOgcYoFAJiBVWtQnLcabQ29lHj9zEy7BKv-n9liHV7LCjRk7Kcq6tZQNAQ3-WSOmKYLmvF_LVo%3D&attredirects=0

[18]

David Grondin and Nisha Shah, 'Secrets', [Online]. Available:
<https://www-jstor-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/10.5749/j.ctt1b9s0d9.10?refreqid=excelsior>

%3Ae3b2612a0b9b79c45007ad22411eed3f&seq=11#metadata_info_tab_contents

[19]

S. Burch, 'A VIRTUAL OASIS: TRAFALGAR SQUARE'S ARCH OF PALMYRA', *International Journal of Architectural Research: ArchNet-IJAR*, vol. 11, no. 3, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.26687/archnet-ijar.v11i3.1401.

[20]

'The Iraq and Afghanistan Memorial official brochure - GOV.UK'. [Online]. Available: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-iraq-and-afghanistan-memorial-official-brochure>

[21]

S. Sumartojo, 'The Fourth Plinth: creating and contesting national identity in Trafalgar Square, 2005–2010', *cultural geographies*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 67–81, Jan. 2013, doi: 10.1177/1474474012448304.

[22]

M. de Goede, 'Preemption contested: Suspect spaces and preventability in the July 7 inquest', *Political Geography*, vol. 39, pp. 48–57, Mar. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.polgeo.2013.08.001.

[23]

'Report under Rule 43 of The Coroner's Rules 1984 - rule43-report.pdf'. [Online]. Available: <https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20120216072447/http://7julyinquests.independent.gov.uk/docs/orders/rule43-report.pdf>

[24]

S. Merrill, 'Walking together? The mediatised performative commemoration of 7/7's tenth anniversary', *Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism*, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.1177/1464884917738414.

[25]

Neocleous, M, 'Against security', *Radical Philosophy*, pp. 7–15, [Online]. Available: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_proquest1036571300&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,neocleous%20security&sortby=rank&facet=rtype,include,articles∓offset=0

[26]

O. D. Thomas, 'Good faith and (dis)honest mistakes? Learning from Britain's Iraq War Inquiry', *Politics*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 371–385, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.1177/0263395716688488.

[27]

Thomas, Owen David, 'The Iraq Inquiries', 2015, [Online]. Available: <https://ore.exeter.ac.uk/repository/handle/10871/28720>

[28]

P. Porter, *Blunder: Britain's war in Iraq*, First edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198807964.001.0001>

[29]

'Report of the Litvinenko Inquiry'. [Online]. Available: <https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20160613090324/https://www.litvinenkoinquiry.org/report>

[30]

T. Miller, 'Museums, Ecology, Citizenship', in *The International Handbooks of Museum Studies*, S. Macdonald and H. Rees Leahy, Eds, Oxford, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2013, pp. 139–156. doi: 10.1002/9781118829059.wbihms108.

[31]

A. Ingram, 'Art, Geopolitics and Metapolitics at Tate Galleries London', *Geopolitics*, vol. 22,

no. 3, pp. 719–739, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1080/14650045.2016.1263186.

[32]

'Is the Museum a Battlefield? on Vimeo'. [Online]. Available: <https://vimeo.com/76011774>

[33]

A. Ingram, 'Bringing war home: From Baghdad, 5 March 2007 to London, 9 September 2010', *Political Geography*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 61–63, Feb. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.polgeo.2011.05.002.

[34]

R. Sahakian, 'It is what it is'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jadaliyya.com/Details/25300/It-Is-What-It-Is>

[35]

'It Is What It Is: Conversations About Iraq - Creative Time'. [Online]. Available: <http://creativetime.org/projects/it-is-what-it-is-conversations-about-iraq/>

[36]

Alan Ingram, 'Rethinking art and geopolitics through aesthetics: artist responses to the Iraq war', *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 1–13, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1111/tran.12099.

[37]

S. Halvorsen, 'Taking Space: Moments of Rupture and Everyday Life in Occupy London', *Antipode*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 401–417, Mar. 2015, doi: 10.1111/anti.12116.

[38]

S. Halvorsen, 'Encountering Occupy London: Boundary Making and the Territoriality of Urban Activism', *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp.

314–330, Apr. 2015, doi: 10.1068/d14041p.

[39]

Anna Feigenbaum, Fabian Frenzel and Patrick McCurdy, 'Protest Camps', [Online].

Available:

https://www-jstor-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/10.5749/j.ctt1b9s0d9.7?refreqid=excelsior%3A3b5298ae833ce653b15b0c3dc1fc789c&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents