

GEOG0086: Advanced Geopolitics

View Online



-
1.
Neocleous, M. Against security. *Radical Philosophy* [Internet]. :7–15. Available from: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_proquest1036571300&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,neocleous%20security&sortby=rank&facet=rtype,include,articles∓offset=0
 2.
Müller M. Assemblages and Actor-networks: Rethinking Socio-material Power, Politics and Space. *Geography Compass*. 2015 Jan;9(1):27–41.
 3.
Barry A. The Translation Zone: Between Actor-Network Theory and International Relations. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2013 Jun;41(3):413–429.
 4.
Walters W. Drone strikes, dingpolitik and beyond: Furthering the debate on materiality and security. *Security Dialogue*. 2014 Apr 1;45(2):101–118.
 5.
Barry A. Ethical Performances. *Material Politics* [Internet]. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; 2013. p. 75–94. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/9781118529065.ch4>

6.

Biemann U. Writing counter-geography | BUALA [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.buala.org/en/to-read/writing-counter-geography>

7.

Latour B. From realpolitik to dingpolitik [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/96-DINGPOLITIK-GB.pdf>

8.

Paglen T. Experimental Geography: From Cultural Production to the Production of Space | The Brooklyn Rail [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://brooklynrail.org/2009/03/express/experimental-geography-from-cultural-production-to-the-production-of-space>

9.

Project - Forensic Architecture [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.forensic-architecture.org/project/>

10.

Salter MB, editor. Making things international: 1: Circuits and motion [Internet]. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press; 2015. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5749/j.ctt14jxw02>

11.

Preziosi D, Farago CJ. Grasping the world: the idea of the museum. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2004.

12.

Bahrani Z. Regarding Art and Art History. The Art Bulletin. 2013 Dec;95(4):516–517.

13.

Rappert B. How to Look Good in a War [Internet]. Pluto Press; 2015. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt183pbws>

14.

Burgess, Adam. The changing character of public inquiries in the (risk) regulatory state. *British Politics* [Internet]. 6:3–29. Available from: https://search-proquest-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/docview/858096419?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

15.

Philipp J. The limits of the visible: the politics of contingency in the photographic work of Trevor Paglen. *Critical studies* [Internet]. 2016; Available from: http://www.criticalstudies.org.uk/uploads/2/6/0/7/26079602/jeandree_csv2.pdf

16.

Horn, E. Knowing the enemy: The epistemology of secret intelligence. *Grey Room* [Internet]. :59–85. Available from: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_scopus2-s2.0-61249225123&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,horn%20knowing%20the%20enemy&sortby=rank&offset=0

17.

Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament - 2016-2017_ISC_AR.pdf [Internet]. Available from: https://b1cba9b3-a-5e6631fd-s-sites.googlegroups.com/a/independent.gov.uk/isc/files/2016-2017_ISC_AR.pdf?attachauth=ANoY7crF1OVvrQdZfgNk9G1HiYyefkgUScTj05iEsCEbXtQncCyid-Du8fqCDYb3HIn0BZcZ1Zcdl4JgVLCqkOhnVyNHWUGi6LjzVmxUfBxOKzdB8p9N_-pYH9CqAp4Dcaxebx_zmtmU0dhF5Me4LXJKoDoR-1Ge_0dFHlzlyeJAeuOgcYoFAJiBVWtQnLcabQ29lHj9zEy7BKv-n9liHV7LCjRk7Kcq6tZQNAQ3-WSOmkyLmvF_LVo%3D&attredirects=0

18.

David Grondin and Nisha Shah. *Secrets*. University of Minnesota Press; Available from: https://www-jstor-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/10.5749/j.ctt1b9s0d9.10?refreqid=excelsior%3Ae3b2612a0b9b79c45007ad22411eed3f&seq=11#metadata_info_tab_contents

19.

Burch S. A VIRTUAL OASIS: TRAFALGAR SQUARE'S ARCH OF PALMYRA. *International Journal of Architectural Research: ArchNet-IJAR*. 2017 Nov 22;11(3).

20.

The Iraq and Afghanistan Memorial official brochure - GOV.UK [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-iraq-and-afghanistan-memorial-official-brochure>

21.

Sumartojo S. The Fourth Plinth: creating and contesting national identity in Trafalgar Square, 2005–2010. *cultural geographies*. 2013 Jan;20(1):67–81.

22.

de Goede M. Preemption contested: Suspect spaces and preventability in the July 7 inquest. *Political Geography*. 2014 Mar;39:48–57.

23.

Report under Rule 43 of The Coroner's Rules 1984 - rule43-report.pdf [Internet]. Available from: <https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20120216072447/http://7julyinquests.independent.gov.uk/docs/orders/rule43-report.pdf>

24.

Merrill S. Walking together? The mediatised performative commemoration of 7/7's tenth anniversary. *Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism*. 2017 Oct 30;

25.

Neocleous, M. Against security. *Radical Philosophy* [Internet]. :7–15. Available from: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_proquest1036571300&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_sco

pe=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,neocleous%20security&sortby=rank&facet=rtype,include,articles∓offset=0

26.

Thomas OD. Good faith and (dis)honest mistakes? Learning from Britain's Iraq War Inquiry. *Politics*. 2017 Nov;37(4):371–385.

27.

Thomas, Owen David. *The Iraq Inquiries*. 2015; Available from: <https://ore.exeter.ac.uk/repository/handle/10871/28720>

28.

Porter P. *Blunder: Britain's war in Iraq* [Internet]. First edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198807964.001.0001>

29.

Report of the Litvinenko Inquiry [Internet]. Available from: <https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20160613090324/https://www.litvinenkoinquiry.org/report>

30.

Miller T. Museums, Ecology, Citizenship. In: Macdonald S, Rees Leahy H, editors. *The International Handbooks of Museum Studies* [Internet]. Oxford, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2013. p. 139–156. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/9781118829059.wbihms108>

31.

Ingram A. Art, Geopolitics and Metapolitics at Tate Galleries London. *Geopolitics*. 2017 Jul 3;22(3):719–739.

32.

Is the Museum a Battlefield? on Vimeo [Internet]. Available from:
<https://vimeo.com/76011774>

33.

Ingram A. Bringing war home: From Baghdad, 5 March 2007 to London, 9 September 2010. *Political Geography*. 2012 Feb;31(2):61–63.

34.

Sahakian R. It is what it is [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<http://www.jadaliyya.com/Details/25300/It-Is-What-It-Is>

35.

It Is What It Is: Conversations About Iraq - Creative Time [Internet]. Available from:
<http://creativetime.org/projects/it-is-what-it-is-conversations-about-iraq/>

36.

Alan Ingram. Rethinking art and geopolitics through aesthetics: artist responses to the Iraq war. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* [Internet]. 2016 Jan;41(1):1–13. Available from: <https://rgs-ibg.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/toc/14755661/2016/41/1>

37.

Halvorsen S. Taking Space: Moments of Rupture and Everyday Life in Occupy London. *Antipode*. 2015 Mar;47(2):401–417.

38.

Halvorsen S. Encountering Occupy London: Boundary Making and the Territoriality of Urban Activism. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. 2015 Apr;33(2):314–330.

39.

Anna Feigenbaum, Fabian Frenzel and Patrick McCurdy. *Protest Camps*. University of

Minnesota Press; Available from:

https://www-jstor-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/10.5749/j.ctt1b9s0d9.7?refreqid=excelsior%3A3b5298ae833ce653b15b0c3dc1fc789c&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents