

GEOGG065: Environmental GIS

View Online



-
1.
Brewer CA. Designing better maps: a guide for GIS users. Redlands, Calif: ESRI Press; 2005.

 2.
DeMers MN. Fundamentals of geographic information systems. 4th ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley; 2009.

 3.
de Smith M, Longley P, Goodchild M. Geospatial Analysis [Internet]. 6th edn. 2021.
Available from: <https://www.spatialanalysisonline.com/HTML/index.html>

 4.
Heywood I, Cornelius S, Carver S. An introduction to geographical information systems. 3rd ed. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2006.

 5.
Longley P, et al. Geographic information systems & science. 3rd ed. Hoboken, N.J.: John Wiley & Sons; 2011.

 6.
Baily B, Nowell D. Techniques for monitoring coastal change: a review and case study. Ocean & Coastal Management. 1996;32(2):85–95.

7.

Barfoot PJ, Tucker JJ. Geomorphological changes at Blakeney Point. Transactions of the Norfolk and Norwich Naturalists Society. 1980;25(2):49-60.

8.

Bernhardsen T. Geographic information systems: an introduction. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley; 1999.

9.

Bock M. Remote sensing and GIS-based techniques for the classification and monitoring of biotopes: Case examples for a wet grass- and moor land area in Northern Germany. Journal for Nature Conservation. 2003;11(3):145-155.

10.

Boteva D, Griffiths G, Dimopoulos P. Evaluation and mapping of the conservation significance of habitats using GIS: an example from Crete, Greece. Journal for Nature Conservation. 12(4):237-250.

11.

Burningham H. Meso-scale morphological changes in the Loughros More estuary [Internet]. Proceedings of Littoral 2002: The Changing Coast. Friends of Sheskinmore; 2002. p. 265-270. Available from: <http://sheskinmore.wordpress.com/research/>

12.

Dong Z, Wang X, Chen G. Monitoring sand dune advance in the Taklimakan Desert. Geomorphology. 35(3-4):219-231.

13.

Draper D, et al. Application of GIS in plant conservation programmes in Portugal. Biological Conservation. 113(3):337-349.

14.

Ekebom J, Erkkila A. Using aerial photography for identification of marine and coastal habitats under the EU's Habitats Directive. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*. 13(4):287–304.

15.

Fromard F, Vega C, Proisy C. Half a century of dynamic coastal change affecting mangrove shorelines of French Guiana. A case study based on remote sensing data analyses and field surveys. *Marine Geology*. 208(2–4):265–280.

16.

Leeks GJL, et al. The LOIS river monitoring network: strategy and implementation. *Science of The Total Environment*. 194–195:101–109.

17.

Letsinger SL. Evaluation of Riparian Buffer Zones using GIS and Remote Sensing to Target Watershed Restoration Efforts [Internet]. Indiana Geological Survey; 2004. Available from: <http://igs.indiana.edu/WatershedHydrology/RiparianBuffers.cfm>

18.

Koch T. The Map as Intent: Variations on the Theme of John Snow [Internet]. *Cartographica*. 2004. p. 1–15. Available from: [http://www.ph.ucla.edu/epi/snow/cartographica39\(4\)1_14_2004.pdf](http://www.ph.ucla.edu/epi/snow/cartographica39(4)1_14_2004.pdf)

19.

Maddrell RJ. Managed coastal retreat, reducing flood risks and protection costs, Dungeness Nuclear Power Station, UK. *Coastal Engineering*. 28(1–4):1–15.

20.

Manson FJ, Loneragan NR, Phinn SR. Spatial and temporal variation in distribution of mangroves in Moreton Bay, subtropical Australia: a comparison of pattern metrics and

change detection analyses based on aerial photographs. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*. 57(4):653–666.

21.

McGregor SJ. An integrated geographic information system approach for modeling the suitability of conifer habitat in an alpine environment. *Geomorphology*. 21(3–4):265–280.

22.

McKenna et al. J. Obsolete Maps and Coastal Management: Case Studies from Northwest Ireland. *Coastal Management* [Internet]. 2003;31(3):229–246. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bth&AN=9930623&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

23.

Moore T, et al. An Expert System for Integrated Coastal Zone Management: A Geomorphological Case Study. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 37(3–7):361–370.

24.

Nams VO, Mowat G, Panian MA. Determining the spatial scale for conservation purposes – an example with grizzly bears. *Biological Conservation*. 128(1):109–119.

25.

Neilson B, Costello MJ. The Relative Lengths of Seashore Substrata Around the Coastline of Ireland as Determined by Digital Methods in a Geographical Information System. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*. 49(4):501–508.

26.

Duane Nellis M, Harrington JA, Wu J. Remote sensing of temporal and spatial variations in pool size, suspended sediment, turbidity, and Secchi depth in Tuttle Creek Reservoir, Kansas: 1993. *Geomorphology*. 21(3–4):281–293.

27.

Pedersen ÅØ, Nyhuus S, Blindheim T, Krog OMW. Implementation of a GIS-based management tool for conservation of biodiversity within the municipality of Oslo, Norway. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 68(4):429–438.

28.

Perotto-Baldiviezo HL, et al. GIS-based spatial analysis and modeling for landslide hazard assessment in steepplands, southern Honduras. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 103(1):165–176.

29.

Salem BB. Application of GIS to biodiversity monitoring. *Journal of Arid Environments*. 54(1):91–114.

30.

Sear DA, Newson MD. Environmental change in river channels: a neglected element. Towards geomorphological typologies, standards and monitoring. *Science of The Total Environment*. 310(1–3):17–23.

31.

Thompson S, et al. Identifying potential breeding sites for the stone curlew (*Burhinus oedicanus*) in the UK. *Journal for Nature Conservation*. 12(4):229–235.

32.

Thorbjarnarson J, et al. Regional habitat conservation priorities for the American crocodile. *Biological Conservation*. 128(1):25–36.

33.

Walsh SJ, Butler DR, Malanson GP. An overview of scale, pattern, process relationships in geomorphology: a remote sensing and GIS perspective. *Geomorphology*. 21(3–4):183–205.

34.

White K, El Asmar HM. Monitoring changing position of coastlines using Thematic Mapper imagery, an example from the Nile Delta. *Geomorphology*. 29(1-2):93-105.

35.

van der Meulen F, Witter JV, Arens SM. The use of a GIS in assessing the impacts of sea level rise on nature conservation along the Dutch coast: 1990-2090. *Landscape Ecology*. 6(1-2):105-113.

36.

Vitek JD, Giardino JR, Fitzgerald JW. Mapping geomorphology: A journey from paper maps, through computer mapping to GIS and Virtual Reality. *Geomorphology*. 16(3):233-249.

37.

Band LE. Topographic Partition of Watersheds with Digital Elevation Models. *Water Resources Research*. 22(1):15-24.

38.

Carmona CP, Röder A, Azcárate FM, Peco B. Grazing management or physiography? Factors controlling vegetation recovery in Mediterranean grasslands. *Ecological Modelling*. 251:73-84.

39.

Chen CY, Yu FC. Morphometric analysis of debris flows and their source areas using GIS. *Geomorphology*. 129(3-4):387-397.

40.

Dalton T, Thompson R, Jin D. Mapping human dimensions in marine spatial planning and management: An example from Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island. *Marine Policy*. 34(2):309-319.

41.

Dartnell P, et al. Multibeam sonar mapping and modeling of a submerged bryophyte mat in Crater Lake, Oregon [Internet]. US Geological Survey Data Series 366; 2008. Available from: <http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/366/>

42.

Kienzle S. The Effect of DEM Raster Resolution on First Order, Second Order and Compound Terrain Derivatives. *Transactions in GIS*. 8(1):83–111.

43.

Leonard PB, et al. Remote detection of small wetlands in the Atlantic coastal plain of North America: Local relief models, ground validation, and high-throughput computing. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 284:107–115.

44.

Lundblad ER, et al. A Benthic Terrain Classification Scheme for American Samoa. *Marine Geodesy*. 2006;29(2):89–111.

45.

Miller SN, et al. The Automated Geospatial Watershed Assessment tool. *Environmental Modelling & Software*. 22(3):365–377.

46.

Naito AT, Cairns DM. Relationships between Arctic shrub dynamics and topographically derived hydrologic characteristics. *Environmental Research Letters*. 6(4).

47.

Rozo MG, Nogueira ACR, Truckenbrodt W. The anastomosing pattern and the extensively distributed scroll bars in the middle Amazon River. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. 37(14):1471–1488.

48.

Rumsby B. Vertical accretion rates in fluvial systems: -a comparison of volumetric and depth-based estimates. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. 25(6):617-631.

49.

Rumsby BT, Macklin MG. Channel and floodplain response to recent abrupt climate change: The tyne basin, Northern England. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. 19(6):499-515.

50.

Sørensen R, Zinko U, Seibert J. On the calculation of the topographic wetness index: evaluation of different methods based on field observations. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*. 10(1):101-112.

51.

Tarboton DG, Bras RL, Rodriguez-Iturbe I. On the extraction of channel networks from digital elevation data. *Hydrological Processes*. 5(1):81-100.

52.

Teng J, Vaze J, Tuteja NK, Gallant JC. A GIS-Based Tool for Spatial and Distributed Hydrological Modelling: CLASS Spatial Analyst. *Transactions in GIS*. 12(2):209-225.

53.

Wilson MFJ, et al. Multiscale Terrain Analysis of Multibeam Bathymetry Data for Habitat Mapping on the Continental Slope. *Marine Geodesy*. 30(1-2):3-35.

54.

Chaaban F, et al. Using ArcGISH Modelbuilder and Aerial Photographs to Measure Coastline Retreat and Advance: North of France. *Journal of Coastal Research* [Internet]. 2012;28(6):1567-1579. Available from: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-11-00054.1>

55.

Hall ST, Post CJ. Advanced GIS Exercise: Estimating Beach and Dune Erosion in Coastal South Carolina. *Journal of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Education*. 2008;37:49–52.

56.

Wright DJ, Heyman WD. Introduction to the Special Issue: Marine and Coastal GIS for Geomorphology, Habitat Mapping, and Marine Reserves. *Marine Geodesy*. 31(4):223–230.

57.

Greco SE, Plant RE. Temporal mapping of riparian landscape change on the Sacramento river, miles 196–218, California, USA. *Landscape Research*. 28(4):405–426.

58.

Estes JE. *Some applications of aerial infrared imagery*. Lawrence, KS: Allen Press Inc; 1966.

59.

Sheppard CRC, et al. Habitat mapping in the Caribbean for management and conservation: Use and assessment of aerial photography. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*. 5(4):277–298.

60.

Taylor JC, Brewer TR, Bird AC. Monitoring landscape change in the National Parks of England and Wales using aerial photo interpretation and GIS. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 21(13–14):2737–2752.

61.

Kadmon R, Harari-Kremer R. Studying Long-Term Vegetation Dynamics Using Digital Processing of Historical Aerial Photographs. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 68(2):164–176.

62.

Sutherland J. Error analysis of Ordnance Survey map tidelines, UK. Proceedings of the ICE - Maritime Engineering. 165(4):189-197.