

GEOGG065: Environmental GIS

View Online



1.

Brewer, C.A.: Designing better maps: a guide for GIS users. ESRI Press, Redlands, Calif (2005).

2.

DeMers, M.N.: Fundamentals of geographic information systems. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ (2009).

3.

de Smith, M., Longley, P., Goodchild, M.: Geospatial Analysis,
<https://www.spatialanalysisonline.com/HTML/index.html>.

4.

Heywood, I., Cornelius, S., Carver, S.: An introduction to geographical information systems. Pearson Education, Harlow (2006).

5.

Longley, P., et al: Geographic information systems & science. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, N.J. (2011).

6.

Baily, B., Nowell, D.: Techniques for monitoring coastal change: a review and case study. Ocean & Coastal Management. 32, 85–95 (1996).

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-5691\(96\)00058-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-5691(96)00058-0).

7.

Barfoot, P.J., Tucker, J.J.: Geomorphological changes at Blakeney Point. Transactions of the Norfolk and Norwich Naturalists Society. 25, 49–60 (1980).

8.

Bernhardsen, T.: Geographic information systems: an introduction. Wiley, New York (1999).

9.

Bock, M.: Remote sensing and GIS-based techniques for the classification and monitoring of biotopes: Case examples for a wet grass- and moor land area in Northern Germany. Journal for Nature Conservation. 11, 145–155 (2003).
<https://doi.org/10.1078/1617-1381-00050>.

10.

Boteva, D., Griffiths, G., Dimopoulos, P.: Evaluation and mapping of the conservation significance of habitats using GIS: an example from Crete, Greece. Journal for Nature Conservation. 12, 237–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2004.09.002>.

11.

Burningham, H.: Meso-scale morphological changes in the Loughros More estuary, <http://sheskinmore.wordpress.com/research/>.

12.

Dong, Z., Wang, X., Chen, G.: Monitoring sand dune advance in the Taklimakan Desert. Geomorphology. 35, 219–231. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X\(00\)00039-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(00)00039-8).

13.

Draper, D., et al: Application of GIS in plant conservation programmes in Portugal.

Biological Conservation. 113, 337–349. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207\(03\)00125-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(03)00125-3).

14.

Ekebom, J., Erkkila, A.: Using aerial photography for identification of marine and coastal habitats under the EU's Habitats Directive. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*. 13, 287–304. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.553>.

15.

Fromard, F., Vega, C., Proisy, C.: Half a century of dynamic coastal change affecting mangrove shorelines of French Guiana. A case study based on remote sensing data analyses and field surveys. *Marine Geology*. 208, 265–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2004.04.018>.

16.

Leeks, G.J.L., et al: The LOIS river monitoring network: strategy and implementation. *Science of The Total Environment*. 194–195, 101–109. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(96\)05356-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05356-9).

17.

Letsinger, S.L.: Evaluation of Riparian Buffer Zones using GIS and Remote Sensing to Target Watershed Restoration Efforts, <http://igs.indiana.edu/WatershedHydrology/RiparianBuffers.cfm>.

18.

Koch, T.: The Map as Intent: Variations on the Theme of John Snow, [http://www.ph.ucla.edu/epi/snow/cartographica39\(4\)1_14_2004.pdf](http://www.ph.ucla.edu/epi/snow/cartographica39(4)1_14_2004.pdf), (2004).

19.

Maddrell, R.J.: Managed coastal retreat, reducing flood risks and protection costs, Dungeness Nuclear Power Station, UK. *Coastal Engineering*. 28, 1–15. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-3839\(95\)00035-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-3839(95)00035-6).

20.

Manson, F.J., Loneragan, N.R., Phinn, S.R.: Spatial and temporal variation in distribution of mangroves in Moreton Bay, subtropical Australia: a comparison of pattern metrics and change detection analyses based on aerial photographs. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*. 57, 653–666. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714\(02\)00405-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(02)00405-5).

21.

McGregor, S.J.: An integrated geographic information system approach for modeling the suitability of conifer habitat in an alpine environment. *Geomorphology*. 21, 265–280. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X\(97\)00066-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(97)00066-4).

22.

McKenna et al., J.: Obsolete Maps and Coastal Management: Case Studies from Northwest Ireland. *Coastal Management*. 31, 229–246 (2003).

23.

Moore, T., et al: An Expert System for Integrated Coastal Zone Management: A Geomorphological Case Study. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 37, 361–370. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X\(99\)00129-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(99)00129-0).

24.

Nams, V.O., Mowat, G., Panian, M.A.: Determining the spatial scale for conservation purposes – an example with grizzly bears. *Biological Conservation*. 128, 109–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.09.020>.

25.

Neilson, B., Costello, M.J.: The Relative Lengths of Seashore Substrata Around the Coastline of Ireland as Determined by Digital Methods in a Geographical Information System. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*. 49, 501–508. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.1999.0507>.

26.

Duane Nellis, M., Harrington, J.A., Wu, J.: Remote sensing of temporal and spatial variations

in pool size, suspended sediment, turbidity, and Secchi depth in Tuttle Creek Reservoir, Kansas: 1993. *Geomorphology*. 21, 281–293.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X\(97\)00067-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(97)00067-6).

27.

Pedersen, Å.Ø., Nyhuus, S., Blindheim, T., Krog, O.M.W.: Implementation of a GIS-based management tool for conservation of biodiversity within the municipality of Oslo, Norway. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 68, 429–438.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046\(03\)00148-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046(03)00148-8).

28.

Perotto-Baldiviezo, H.L., et al: GIS-based spatial analysis and modeling for landslide hazard assessment in steeplands, southern Honduras. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 103, 165–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2003.10.011>.

29.

Salem, B.B.: Application of GIS to biodiversity monitoring. *Journal of Arid Environments*. 54, 91–114. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jare.2001.0887>.

30.

Sear, D.A., Newson, M.D.: Environmental change in river channels: a neglected element. Towards geomorphological typologies, standards and monitoring. *Science of The Total Environment*. 310, 17–23. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(02\)00619-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00619-8).

31.

Thompson, S., et al: Identifying potential breeding sites for the stone curlew (*Burhinus oedicephalus*) in the UK. *Journal for Nature Conservation*. 12, 229–235.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2004.07.002>.

32.

Thorbjarnarson, J., et al: Regional habitat conservation priorities for the American crocodile. *Biological Conservation*. 128, 25–36.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.09.013>.

33.

Walsh, S.J., Butler, D.R., Malanson, G.P.: An overview of scale, pattern, process relationships in geomorphology: a remote sensing and GIS perspective. *Geomorphology*. 21, 183–205. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X\(97\)00057-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(97)00057-3).

34.

White, K., El Asmar, H.M.: Monitoring changing position of coastlines using Thematic Mapper imagery, an example from the Nile Delta. *Geomorphology*. 29, 93–105. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X\(99\)00008-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(99)00008-2).

35.

van der Meulen, F., Witter, J.V., Arens, S.M.: The use of a GIS in assessing the impacts of sea level rise on nature conservation along the Dutch coast: 1990–2090. *Landscape Ecology*. 6, 105–113. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00157750>.

36.

Vitek, J.D., Giardino, J.R., Fitzgerald, J.W.: Mapping geomorphology: A journey from paper maps, through computer mapping to GIS and Virtual Reality. *Geomorphology*. 16, 233–249. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X\(96\)80003-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(96)80003-1).

37.

Band, L.E.: Topographic Partition of Watersheds with Digital Elevation Models. *Water Resources Research*. 22, 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1029/WR022i001p00015>.

38.

Carmona, C.P., Röder, A., Azcárate, F.M., Peco, B.: Grazing management or physiography? Factors controlling vegetation recovery in Mediterranean grasslands. *Ecological Modelling*. 251, 73–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.12.005>.

39.

Chen, C.-Y., Yu, F.-C.: Morphometric analysis of debris flows and their source areas using

GIS. *Geomorphology*. 129, 387–397. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2011.03.002>.

40.

Dalton, T., Thompson, R., Jin, D.: Mapping human dimensions in marine spatial planning and management: An example from Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island. *Marine Policy*. 34, 309–319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2009.08.001>.

41.

Dartnell, P., et al: Multibeam sonar mapping and modeling of a submerged bryophyte mat in Crater Lake, Oregon, <http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/366/>.

42.

Kienzle, S.: The Effect of DEM Raster Resolution on First Order, Second Order and Compound Terrain Derivatives. *Transactions in GIS*. 8, 83–111. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9671.2004.00169.x>.

43.

Leonard, P.B., et al: Remote detection of small wetlands in the Atlantic coastal plain of North America: Local relief models, ground validation, and high-throughput computing. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 284, 107–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.07.034>.

44.

Lundblad, E.R., et al: A Benthic Terrain Classification Scheme for American Samoa. *Marine Geodesy*. 29, 89–111 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01490410600738021>.

45.

Miller, S.N., et al: The Automated Geospatial Watershed Assessment tool. *Environmental Modelling & Software*. 22, 365–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2005.12.004>.

46.

Naito, A.T., Cairns, D.M.: Relationships between Arctic shrub dynamics and topographically derived hydrologic characteristics. *Environmental Research Letters*. 6,.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/045506>.

47.

Rozo, M.G., Nogueira, A.C.R., Truckenbrodt, W.: The anastomosing pattern and the extensively distributed scroll bars in the middle Amazon River. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. 37, 1471–1488. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3249>.

48.

Rumsby, B.: Vertical accretion rates in fluvial systems: -a comparison of volumetric and depth-based estimates. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. 25, 617–631.
[https://doi.org/10.1002/1096-9837\(200006\)25:6<617::AID-ESP99>3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/1096-9837(200006)25:6<617::AID-ESP99>3.0.CO;2-Z).

49.

Rumsby, B.T., Macklin, M.G.: Channel and floodplain response to recent abrupt climate change: The tyne basin, Northern England. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. 19, 499–515. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290190603>.

50.

Sørensen, R., Zinko, U., Seibert, J.: On the calculation of the topographic wetness index: evaluation of different methods based on field observations. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*. 10, 101–112. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-10-101-2006>.

51.

Tarboton, D.G., Bras, R.L., Rodriguez-Iturbe, I.: On the extraction of channel networks from digital elevation data. *Hydrological Processes*. 5, 81–100.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.3360050107>.

52.

Teng, J., Vaze, J., Tuteja, N.K., Gallant, J.C.: A GIS-Based Tool for Spatial and Distributed Hydrological Modelling: CLASS Spatial Analyst. *Transactions in GIS*. 12, 209–225.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9671.2008.01096.x>.

53.

Wilson, M.F.J., et al: Multiscale Terrain Analysis of Multibeam Bathymetry Data for Habitat Mapping on the Continental Slope. *Marine Geodesy*. 30, 3–35.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01490410701295962>.

54.

Chaaban, F., et al: Using ArcGISH Modelbuilder and Aerial Photographs to Measure Coastline Retreat and Advance: North of France. *Journal of Coastal Research*. 28, 1567–1579 (2012).

55.

Hall, S.T., Post, C.J.: Advanced GIS Exercise: Estimating Beach and Dune Erosion in Coastal South Carolina. *Journal of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Education*. 37, 49–52 (2008).

56.

Wright, D.J., Heyman, W.D.: Introduction to the Special Issue: Marine and Coastal GIS for Geomorphology, Habitat Mapping, and Marine Reserves. *Marine Geodesy*. 31, 223–230.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01490410802466306>.

57.

Greco, S.E., Plant, R.E.: Temporal mapping of riparian landscape change on the Sacramento river, miles 196–218, California, USA. *Landscape Research*. 28, 405–426.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0142639032000150149>.

58.

Estes, J.E.: *Some applications of aerial infrared imagery*. Allen Press Inc, Lawrence, KS (1966).

59.

Sheppard, C.R.C., et al: *Habitat mapping in the Caribbean for management and*

conservation: Use and assessment of aerial photography. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*. 5, 277–298. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3270050404>.

60.

Taylor, J.C., Brewer, T.R., Bird, A.C.: Monitoring landscape change in the National Parks of England and Wales using aerial photo interpretation and GIS. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 21, 2737–2752. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160050110269>.

61.

Kadmon, R., Harari-Kremer, R.: Studying Long-Term Vegetation Dynamics Using Digital Processing of Historical Aerial Photographs. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 68, 164–176. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(98\)00109-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00109-6).

62.

Sutherland, J.: Error analysis of Ordnance Survey map tidelines, UK. *Proceedings of the ICE - Maritime Engineering*. 165, 189–197. <https://doi.org/10.1680/maen.2011.10>.