

HPSCGA49: Science Policy in an Era of Risk and Uncertainty

View Online



-
1.
Arnoldi J. Risk: An Introduction. Vol Key concepts. Polity; 2009.
 2.
Gigerenzer G. Risk Savvy: How to Make Good Decisions. Viking; 2014.
 3.
Lupton D. Risk. Second edition. Routledge; 2013. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781135090326>
 4.
Burgess A, Alemanno A, Zinn J. Routledge Handbook of Risk Studies. Taylor and Francis; 2016.
 5.
Bammer G, Smithson M. Uncertainty and Risk: Multidisciplinary Perspectives. Vol Earthscan risk in society series. Earthscan; 2008.
 6.
Ulrich Beck. Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity. Vol Theory, culture&society. Sage; 1992.

7.

Jasanoff S. *Designs on Nature: Science and Democracy in Europe and the United States*. Princeton University Press; 2005.

8.

Nowotny H. *The Cunning of Uncertainty*. Polity; 2016.

9.

Slovic P. *The Perception of Risk*. Vol Risk, society, and policy series. Earthscan; 2000.

10.

Gilberto C. Gallopin, Silvio Funtowicz, Martin O'Connor, Jerry Ravetz. Science for the Twenty-First Century: From Social Contract to the Scientific Core. *International Social Science Journal*. 2001;53(168):219-229. doi:10.1111/1468-2451.00311

11.

Gibbons M, Limoges C, Nowotny H, Schwartzman S, Scott P, Trow MA. *The New Production of Knowledge: The Dynamics of Science and Research in Contemporary Societies*. SAGE Publications; 1994. <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=1024114>

12.

J.R. Ravetz. What is Post-Normal Science. *Futures: The journal of policy, planning and futures studies*. 1999;31(7):647-653. doi:10.1016/S0016-3287(99)00024-5

13.

Jerome R Ravetz, Ziauddin Sardar. Rethinking science. *Futures: The journal of policy, planning and futures studies*. 1997;29(6):467-470. doi:10.1016/S0016-3287(97)00023-2

14.

Deborah Dixon, Harriet Hawkins, Mrill Ingram. Art: Blurring the boundaries. *Nature*. 2011;472(7344):417-417. doi:10.1038/472417a

15.

C. J. Fearnley, W. J. McGuire, G. Davies, J. Twigg. Standardisation of the USGS Volcano Alert Level System (VALS): analysis and ramifications. *Bulletin of Volcanology*. 2012;74(9):2023-2036. doi:10.1007/s00445-012-0645-6

16.

Carolina Garcia, Carina J. Fearnley. Evaluating critical links in early warning systems for natural hazards. *Environmental Hazards*. 2012;11(2):123-137. doi:10.1080/17477891.2011.609877

17.

Bruno, Latour. On actor-network theory: A few clarifications. *Soziale Welt*. 1996;47(4):369-381. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40878163>

18.

Mileti DS. *Disasters by Design: A Reassessment of Natural Hazards in the United States*. Vol Natural hazards and disasters. Joseph Henry Press; 1999.

19.

Vaughan D, American Council of Learned Societies. *The Challenger Launch Decision: Risky Technology, Culture, and Deviance at NASA*. University of Chicago Press; 1996. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.01159>

20.

Day S, Fearnley C. A classification of mitigation strategies for natural hazards: implications for the understanding of interactions between mitigation strategies. *Natural Hazards*.

2015;79(2):1219-1238. doi:10.1007/s11069-015-1899-z

21.

D. Jamieson. Scientific Uncertainty and the Political Process. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 1996;545(1):35-43.
doi:10.1177/0002716296545001004

22.

D. J. Spiegelhalter, H. Riesch. Don't know, can't know: embracing deeper uncertainties when analysing risks. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences. 2011;369(1956):4730-4750.
doi:10.1098/rsta.2011.0163

23.

Andrew, Stirling. Risk, precaution and science: towards a more constructive policy debate. Talking point on the precautionary principle. EMBO reports. 2007;8(4):309-315.
doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400953

24.

Stirling A. Risk, precaution and science: towards a more constructive policy debate. Talking point on the precautionary principle. EMBO reports. 2007;8(4):309-315.
doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400953

25.

Douglas M, Wildavsky AB. Risk and Culture: An Essay on the Selection of Technical and Environmental Dangers. University of California Press; 1982.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/j.ctt7zw3mr>

26.

Thomas F. Gieryn. Boundary-Work and the Demarcation of Science from Non-Science: Strains and Interests in Professional Ideologies of Scientists. American Sociological Review. 1983;48(6):781-795. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2095325>

27.

Knight FH. Risk, Uncertainty and Profit. Vol Classic reprint series. Forgotten Books; 2015.

28.

Mayo DG. Error and the Growth of Experimental Knowledge. Vol Science and its conceptual foundations. University of Chicago Press; 1996.

<https://www.vlebooks.com/Vleweb/Product/Index/467948?page=0>

29.

Wisner B, ed. At Risk: Natural Hazards, People's Vulnerability, and Disasters. Second edition. Routledge; 2014. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203714775>

30.

N. Pidgeon, M. O'Leary. Man-made disasters: why technology and organizations (sometimes) fail. Safety Science. 2000;34(1):15-30. doi:10.1016/S0925-7535(00)00004-7

31.

Mitchell M. Complexity: A Guided Tour. Oxford University Press; 2009.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/UCL/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=472328>

32.

H. Nowotny. The Increase of Complexity and its Reduction: Emergent Interfaces between the Natural Sciences, Humanities and Social Sciences. Theory, Culture & Society. 2005;22(5):15-31. doi:10.1177/0263276405057189

33.

Ziauddin Sardar, Jerome R. Ravetz. Complexity: Fad or future? Futures.

1994;26(6):563-567. doi:10.1016/0016-3287(94)90028-0

34.

Taylor PJ. *Unruly Complexity: Ecology, Interpretation, Engagement*. University of Chicago Press; 2005.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780226790398>

35.

J. Urry. The Complexity Turn. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2005;22(5):1-14.
doi:10.1177/0263276405057188

36.

Peter, Adey, Ben, Anderson. Event and anticipation: UK Civil Contingencies and the space – times of decision. *Environment and Planning A*. 2011;43(12):2878-2899.
doi:10.1068/a43576

37.

J. Burgess, A. Stirling, J. Clark, et al. Deliberative mapping: a novel analytic-deliberative methodology to support contested science-policy decisions. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2007;16(3):299-322. doi:10.1177/0963662507077510

38.

Jasanoff S. *Designs on Nature: Science and Democracy in Europe and the United States*. Princeton University Press; 2005. <https://www-jstor-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/j.ctt7spkz>

39.

Paul, Slovic, Baruch, Fischhoff, Sarah, Lichtenstein. Why Study Risk Perception? *Risk Analysis*. 1982;2(2):83-93. doi:10.1111/j.1539-6924.1982.tb01369.x

40.

Michael S. Carolan. Science, Expertise, and the Democratization of the Decision-Making Process. *Society & Natural Resources*. 2006;19(7):661-668.
doi:10.1080/08941920600742443

41.

H.M. Collins, Robert, Evans. The Third Wave of Science Studies. *Social Studies of Science*. 2002;32(2):235-296. doi:10.1177/0306312702032002003

42.

Jasanoff S. States of Knowledge: The Co-Production of Science and Social Order. Vol International library of sociology. Routledge; 2004.

43.

Lash S, Szerszynski B, Wynne B. Risk, Environment and Modernity: Towards a New Ecology . Vol Theory, culture&society. Sage; 1996.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=1023984>

44.

G. A. Bradshaw, Jeffrey G. Borchers. Uncertainty as Information: Narrowing the Science-policy Gap. *Conservation Ecology*. 2000;4(1). doi:10.5751/ES-00174-040107

45.

John R. Durant, Geoffrey A. Evans, Geoffrey P. Thomas. The public understanding of science. *Nature*. 1989;340(6228):11-14. doi:10.1038/340011a0

46.

Culture, Media, Language. Taylor & Francis; 1980. doi:10.4324/9780203381182

47.

G. Rowe. A Typology of Public Engagement Mechanisms. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. 2005;30(2):251-290. doi:10.1177/0162243904271724

48.

S. Shackley, B. Wynne. Representing Uncertainty in Global Climate Change Science and Policy: Boundary-Ordering Devices and Authority. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. 1996;21(3):275-302. doi:10.1177/016224399602100302

49.

Deborah Trumbull et al. Thinking scientifically during participation in a citizen-science project. *Science education*. 84(2):265-275.
[http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-237X\(200003\)84:2%3C265::AID-SCE7%3E3.0.CO;2-5/abstract](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/(SICI)1098-237X(200003)84:2%3C265::AID-SCE7%3E3.0.CO;2-5/abstract)

50.

J. Burgess, A. Stirling, J. Clark, et al. Deliberative mapping: a novel analytic-deliberative methodology to support contested science-policy decisions. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2007;16(3):299-322. doi:10.1177/0963662507077510

51.

Shane J. Cronin, David R. Gaylord, Douglas Charley, Brent V. Alloway, Sandrine Wallez, Job W. Esau. Participatory methods of incorporating scientific with traditional knowledge for volcanic hazard management on Ambae Island, Vanuatu. *Bulletin of Volcanology*. 2004;66(7):652-668. doi:10.1007/s00445-004-0347-9

52.

George E. Marcus. Ethnography in/of the World System: The Emergence of Multi-Sited Ethnography. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1995;24:95-117.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155931>

53.

Andrea J. Nightingale. A Feminist in the Forest: Situated Knowledges and Mixing Methods in Natural Resource Management. *ACME: An International E-Journal for Critical Geographies*.

2003;2(1):77-90. <http://hdl.handle.net/1842/1405>