

POLS6023: Introduction to Public Policy

[View Online](#)

1

POLS6023: Introduction to Public Policy.

2

Parsons W. Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis. Cheltenham: : Edward Elgar 1995.

3

Analyzing Public Policy. Routledge 2012. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203136218>

4

Paul A. Sabatier. Theories of the policy process. 2nd ed. Boulder, Colo: : Westview Press 2007.

5

Parsons W. Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis. Cheltenham: : Edward Elgar 1995.

6

Sabatier PA. Theories of the policy process. 2nd ed. Boulder, Colo: : Westview Press 2007. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1451128&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

7

Analyzing Public Policy. Routledge 2012. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203136218>

8

Theodore J. Lowi. Four Systems of Policy, Politics, and Choice. *Public Administration Review* ;**32**:298–310.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/974990>

9

Anthony Downs. An Economic Theory of Political Action in a Democracy. *Journal of Political Economy*; **65**:135–50.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1827369>

10

Zaller J. The nature and origins of mass opinion. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1992.
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/nature-and-origins-of-mass-opinion/70B1485D3A9CFF55ADCCDD42FC7E926A>

11

Larry M. Bartels. Homer Gets a Tax Cut: Inequality and Public Policy in the American Mind. *Perspectives on Politics*; **3**:15–31.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3688108>

12

Converse P. The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publics. In: *Ideology and discontent*. London: : Free Press of Glencoe 1964.

13

Delli Carpini MX, Keeter S. What Americans know about politics and why it matters. New Haven, [Conn.]: : Yale University Press 1996.

14

Page BI, Shapiro RY. The rational public: fifty years of trends in Americans' policy preferences. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 1992.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780226644806>

15

Lupia A, et al. Were Bush Tax Cut Supporters 'Simply Ignorant?' A Second Look at Conservatives and Liberals in 'Homer Gets a Tax Cut'. Perspectives on Politics;**5**:773-84.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20446575>

16

Larry M. Bartels. Homer Gets a Warm Hug: A Note on Ignorance and Extenuation. Perspectives on Politics;**5**:785-90.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20446576>

17

Larry M. Bartels. The Irrational Electorate. The Wilson Quarterly (1976-);**32**:44-50.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40262068>

18

Lupia A, McCubbins MD. The democratic dilemma: can citizens learn what they need to know? Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998.

19

Caplan BD. The myth of the rational voter: why democracies choose bad policies. Princeton: : Princeton University Press 2007.
<https://www-jstor-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/j.ctvc4gm4gf2>

20

Analyzing Public Policy. Routledge 2012. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203136218>

21

Olson M. The rise and decline of nations. London: : Yale University Press 1982.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1nprdd>

22

Olson M. The logic of collective action: public goods and the theory of groups. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1971.

23

Dunleavy P, O'Leary B. Theories of the state: the politics of liberal democracy. Basingstoke: : Macmillan Education 1987.

24

Richardson J. The market for political activism: Interest groups as a challenge to political parties. West European Politics 1995;**18**:116–39. doi:10.1080/01402389508425060

25

Grant W. Pressure groups and British politics. Basingstoke: : Macmillan Press 2000.

26

Richardson J. Government, Interest Groups and Policy Change. Political Studies;**48**:1006–25. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.00292

27

Iain McLean. Review Article: The Divided Legacy of Mancur Olson. British Journal of Political Science;**30**:651–68.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/194289>

28

Coen D. Empirical and theoretical studies in EU lobbying. Journal of European Public Policy; **14**:333–45. doi:10.1080/13501760701243731

29

Dunleavy P, O'Leary B. Theories of the state: the politics of liberal democracy. Basingstoke: : Macmillan Education 1987.

30

Strøm K, Müller WC. Policy, office, or votes?: how political parties in Western Europe make hard decisions. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1999.
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/policy-office-or-votes/4E6EBD04A1E3E470552AA62542365236>

31

Analyzing Public Policy. Routledge 2012. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203136218>

32

Paul Burstein. Bringing the Public Back in: Should Sociologists Consider the Impact of Public Opinion on Public Policy? *Social Forces*; **77**:27–62. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3006009>

33

Brooks C, Manza J. Social Policy Responsiveness in Developed Democracies. *American Sociological Review*; **71**:474–94. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30039000>

34

Hakhverdian A. Political Representation and its Mechanisms: A Dynamic Left–Right Approach for the United Kingdom, 1976–2006. *British Journal of Political Science*; **40**:835–56. doi:10.1017/S000712341000013X

35

Warwick PV. Voters, Parties, and Declared Government Policy. *Comparative Political Studies*; **44**:1675–99. doi:10.1177/0010414011407475

36

Kaare Strom. *A Behavioral Theory of Competitive Political Parties. *American Journal of Political Science*; **34**:565–98. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2111461?origin=crossref>

37

Parsons W. *Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis*. Cheltenham: : Edward Elgar 1995.

38

Herbert A. Simon. A Behavioral Model of Rational Choice. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*; **69**:99–118. doi:10.2307/1884852

39

James G. March. Bounded Rationality, Ambiguity, and the Engineering of Choice. *The Bell Journal of Economics*; **9**:587–608. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/3003600?origin=crossref>

40

Charles E. Lindblom. Still Muddling, Not Yet Through. *Public Administration Review*; **39**:517–26. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/976178>

41

Charles E. Lindblom. The Science of 'Muddling Through'. *Public Administration Review*; **19**:79–88. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/973677>

42

Braybrooke D, Lindblom CE. *A strategy of decision: policy evaluation as a social process*. New York: : Free Press 1963.

43

Aaron Wildavsky. If Planning Is Everything, Maybe It's Nothing. *Policy Sciences*; **4**:127–53. doi:10.1007/BF01405729

44

Butler D, Adonis A, Travers T. Failure in British government: the politics of the poll tax. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1994.

45

Patrick Dunleavy. Policy Disasters: Explaining the UK's Record. *Public Policy and Administration*; **10**:52–70. doi:10.1177/095207679501000205

46

Parsons W. Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis. Cheltenham: : Edward Elgar 1995.

47

Terry M. Moe. The New Economics of Organization. *American Journal of Political Science*; **28**:739–77. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2110997?origin=crossref>

48

Wilson JQ. Bureaucracy: what government agencies do and why they do it. [New York]: : Basic Books 1989.

49

Pressman JL, Wildavsky AB. Implementation: how great expectations in Washington are dashed in Oakland. 3rd ed. Berkeley: : University of California Press 1984.

50

Niskanen WA. Bureaucracy & representative government. New Brunswick, N.J.: : Aldine Transaction 2007.

51

Lipsky M. Street-level bureaucracy: dilemmas of the individual in public services. New York: : Russell Sage Foundation 1980. <http://muse.jhu.edu/books/9781610443623/>

52

Weingast BR, Moran MJ. Bureaucratic Discretion or Congressional Control? Regulatory Policymaking by the Federal Trade Commission. *Journal of Political Economy*; **91**:765–800. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1837369>

53

Fabio Franchino. Delegating Powers in the European Community. *British Journal of Political Science*; **34**:269–93. doi:10.1017/S0007123404000055

54

Richard E. Matland. Synthesizing the Implementation Literature: The Ambiguity-Conflict Model of Policy Implementation. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory: J-PART*; **5**:145–74. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1181674>

55

Schofield J, Sausman C. Symposium on Implementing Public Policy: Learning from Theory and Practice. Introduction. *Public Administration*; **82**:235–48. doi:10.1111/j.0033-3298.2004.00392.x

56

Saetren H. Facts and Myths about Research on Public Policy Implementation: Out-of-Fashion, Allegedly Dead, But Still Very Much Alive and Relevant. *Policy Studies Journal*; **33**:559–82. doi:10.1111/j.1541-0072.2005.00133.x

57

Hicks T. Partisan governance and policy implementation: the politics of academy conversion amongst English schools. *Public Administration*; :n/a-n/a.

doi:10.1111/padm.12100

58

Rosenberg GN. The hollow hope: can courts bring about social change? Chicago: : University of Chicago 1991.

59

Stone Sweet A. Governing with judges: constitutional politics in Europe. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0198297718.001.0001>

60

Boix C, Stokes SC. The Oxford handbook of comparative politics. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.
<https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199566020.001.0001>

61

Epp CR. The rights revolution: lawyers, activists, and supreme courts in comparative perspective. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 1998.

62

Ruger TW, et al. The Supreme Court Forecasting Project: Legal and Political Science Approaches to Predicting Supreme Court Decisionmaking. *Columbia Law Review*; **104**:1150–210.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/4099370?origin=crossref>

63

Friedman B. The Politics of Judicial Review. *Texas Law Review*; **84**
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bsu&AN=19469113&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

64

Vanhala L. Making rights a reality?: disability rights activists and legal mobilization. New

York: : Cambridge University Press 2011.

65

Derthick M. Up in smoke: from legislation to litigation in tobacco politics. 3rd ed. Washington, DC: : CQ Press 2012.

66

Sabatier PA. An advocacy coalition framework of policy change and the role of policy-oriented learning therein. *Policy Sciences*; **21**:129–68. doi:10.1007/BF00136406

67

Beth A. Simmons, Frank Dobbin, Geoffrey Garrett. Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. *International Organization*; **60**:781–810. https://www.jstor.org/stable/3877847?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

68

Sabatier PA. The advocacy coalition framework: revisions and relevance for Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy*; **5**:98–130. doi:10.1080/13501768880000051

69

Shipan CR, Volden C. The Mechanisms of Policy Diffusion. *American Journal of Political Science*; **52**:840–57. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2008.00346.x

70

Baccaro L, Simoni M. Policy Concertation in Europe: Understanding Government Choice. *Comparative Political Studies*; **41**:1323–48. doi:10.1177/0010414008315861

71

Cao X. *Networks of Intergovernmental Organizations and Convergence in Domestic Economic Policies. *International Studies Quarterly*; **53**:1095–130. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2009.00570.x

72

Meseguer C. *What Role for Learning? The Diffusion of Privatisation in OECD and Latin American Countries. *Journal of Public Policy*; **24**:299–325.
doi:10.1017/S0143814X04000182

73

Schmitt C. What Drives the Diffusion of Privatization Policy? Evidence from the Telecommunications Sector. *Journal of Public Policy*; **31**:95–117.
doi:10.1017/S0143814X11000018

74

Pierson P. When Effect Becomes Cause: Policy Feedback and Political Change. *World Politics*; **45**:595–628.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2950710?origin=crossref>

75

Mettler S, Soss J. The Consequences of Public Policy for Democratic Citizenship: Bridging Policy Studies and Mass Politics. *Perspectives on Politics*; **2**:55–73.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3688340>

76

Christopher Wlezien. The Public as Thermostat: Dynamics of Preferences for Spending. *American Journal of Political Science*; **39**:981–1000.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2111666?origin=crossref>

77

Joe Soss. Lessons of Welfare: Policy Design, Political Learning, and Political Action. *The American Political Science Review*; **93**:363–80.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2585401?origin=crossref>

78

Christopher Wlezien. Patterns of Representation: Dynamics of Public Preferences and

Policy. *The Journal of Politics*; **66**:1–24. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3449770>

79

Soss J, Schram SF. A Public Transformed? Welfare Reform as Policy Feedback. *American Political Science Review*; **101**. doi:10.1017/S0003055407070049

80

Mettler S. Reconstituting the Submerged State: The Challenges of Social Policy Reform in the Obama Era. *Perspectives on Politics*; **8**:803–24. doi:10.1017/S1537592710002045

81

Campbell AL. Policy Makes Mass Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*; **15**:333–51. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-012610-135202

82

Hicks T. Partisan Strategy and Path Dependence: The Post-War Emergence of Health Systems in the UK and Sweden. *Comparative Politics*; **45**:207–26. doi:10.5129/001041513804634244

83

Parsons W. *Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis*. Cheltenham: : Edward Elgar 1995.

84

Downs A. Up and down with ecology—the ‘issue-attention cycle’. *Public Interest*; **28**:38–50. http://www.nationalaffairs.com/public_interest/detail/up-and-down-with-ecologythe-issue-attention-cycle

85

Kingdon JW. *Agendas, alternatives, and public policies*. 2nd ed. New York: : Longman 2003.

86

Zaller J. The nature and origins of mass opinion. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1992.

<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/nature-and-origins-of-mass-opinion/70B1485D3A9CFF55ADCCDD42FC7E926A>

87

Iyengar S. Is anyone responsible?: how television frames political issues. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 1991.

88

McCombs ME. Setting the agenda: the mass media and public opinion. Cambridge: : Polity 2004.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780745672748>

89

Iyengar S, Kinder DR. News that matters: television and American opinion. Updated ed. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 2010.

90

Page BI, Shapiro RY. The rational public: fifty years of trends in Americans' policy preferences. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 1992.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780226644806>

91

Soroka SN. The Gatekeeping Function: Distributions of Information in Media and the Real World. The Journal of Politics; **74**:514–28. doi:10.1017/S002238161100171X

92

Soroka S, et al. Auntie Knows Best? Public Broadcasters and Current Affairs Knowledge. *British Journal of Political Science*; **43**:719–39. doi:10.1017/S0007123412000555