

POLS6023: Introduction to Public Policy

View Online



1.

POLS6023: Introduction to Public Policy.

2.

Parsons W. Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 1995.

3.

Analyzing Public Policy [Internet]. Routledge; 2012. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203136218>

4.

Paul A. Sabatier. Theories of the policy process. 2nd ed. Boulder, Colo: Westview Press; 2007.

5.

Parsons W. Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 1995.

6.

Sabatier PA. Theories of the policy process [Internet]. 2nd ed. Boulder, Colo: Westview Press; 2007. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nle>

bk&AN=1451128&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451

7.

Analyzing Public Policy [Internet]. Routledge; 2012. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203136218>

8.

Theodore J. Lowi. Four Systems of Policy, Politics, and Choice. *Public Administration Review* [Internet]. Wiley; 32(4):298–310. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/974990>

9.

Anthony Downs. An Economic Theory of Political Action in a Democracy. *Journal of Political Economy* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 65(2):135–150. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1827369>

10.

Zaller J. The nature and origins of mass opinion [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1992. Available from: <https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/nature-and-origins-of-mass-opinion/70B1485D3A9CFF55ADCCDD42FC7E926A>

11.

Larry M. Bartels. Homer Gets a Tax Cut: Inequality and Public Policy in the American Mind. *Perspectives on Politics* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 3(1):15–31. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3688108>

12.

Converse P. The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publics. *Ideology and discontent*. London: Free Press of Glencoe; 1964.

13.

Delli Carpini MX, Keeter S. What Americans know about politics and why it matters. New Haven, [Conn.]: Yale University Press; 1996.

14.

Page BI, Shapiro RY. The rational public: fifty years of trends in Americans' policy preferences [Internet]. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1992. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780226644806>

15.

Lupia A, et al. Were Bush Tax Cut Supporters 'Simply Ignorant?' A Second Look at Conservatives and Liberals in 'Homer Gets a Tax Cut'. Perspectives on Politics [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 5(4):773-784. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20446575>

16.

Larry M. Bartels. Homer Gets a Warm Hug: A Note on Ignorance and Extenuation. Perspectives on Politics [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 5(4):785-790. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20446576>

17.

Larry M. Bartels. The Irrational Electorate. The Wilson Quarterly (1976-) [Internet]. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars; 32(4):44-50. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40262068>

18.

Lupia A, McCubbins MD. The democratic dilemma: can citizens learn what they need to know? Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.

19.

Caplan BD. The myth of the rational voter: why democracies choose bad policies [Internet]. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 2007. Available from: <https://www-jstor-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/j.ctvcn4gf2>

20.

Analyzing Public Policy [Internet]. Routledge; 2012. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203136218>

21.

Olson M. The rise and decline of nations [Internet]. London: Yale University Press; 1982. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1nprdd>

22.

Olson M. The logic of collective action: public goods and the theory of groups. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1971.

23.

Dunleavy P, O'Leary B. Theories of the state: the politics of liberal democracy. Basingstoke: Macmillan Education; 1987.

24.

Richardson J. The market for political activism: Interest groups as a challenge to political parties. West European Politics. 1995;18(1):116–139.

25.

Grant W. Pressure groups and British politics. Basingstoke: Macmillan Press; 2000.

26.

Richardson J. Government, Interest Groups and Policy Change. Political Studies. 48(5):1006–1025.

27.

Iain McLean. Review Article: The Divided Legacy of Mancur Olson. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 30(4):651–668. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/194289>

28.

Coen D. Empirical and theoretical studies in EU lobbying. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 14(3):333–345.

29.

Dunleavy P, O'Leary B. *Theories of the state: the politics of liberal democracy*. Basingstoke: Macmillan Education; 1987.

30.

Strøm K, Müller WC. *Policy, office, or votes?: how political parties in Western Europe make hard decisions* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1999. Available from: <https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/policy-office-or-votes/4E6EBD04A1E3E470552AA62542365236>

31.

Analyzing Public Policy [Internet]. Routledge; 2012. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203136218>

32.

Paul Burstein. Bringing the Public Back in: Should Sociologists Consider the Impact of Public Opinion on Public Policy? *Social Forces* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 77(1):27–62. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3006009>

33.

Brooks C, Manza J. Social Policy Responsiveness in Developed Democracies. *American Sociological Review* [Internet]. American Sociological Association; 71(3):474–494. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30039000>

34.

Hakhverdian A. Political Representation and its Mechanisms: A Dynamic Left-Right Approach for the United Kingdom, 1976-2006. *British Journal of Political Science*. 40(4):835-856.

35.

Warwick PV. Voters, Parties, and Declared Government Policy. *Comparative Political Studies*. 44(12):1675-1699.

36.

Kaare Strom. *A Behavioral Theory of Competitive Political Parties. *American Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Midwest Political Science Association; 34(2):565-598. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2111461?origin=crossref>

37.

Parsons W. *Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 1995.

38.

Herbert A. Simon. A Behavioral Model of Rational Choice. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 69(1):99-118. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1884852>

39.

James G. March. Bounded Rationality, Ambiguity, and the Engineering of Choice. *The Bell Journal of Economics* [Internet]. RAND Corporation; 9(2):587-608. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/3003600?origin=crossref>

40.

Charles E. Lindblom. Still Muddling, Not Yet Through. *Public Administration Review* [Internet]. Wiley; 39(6):517-526. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/976178>

41.

Charles E. Lindblom. The Science of 'Muddling Through'. Public Administration Review [Internet]. Wiley; 19(2):79–88. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/973677>

42.

Braybrooke D, Lindblom CE. A strategy of decision: policy evaluation as a social process. New York: Free Press; 1963.

43.

Aaron Wildavsky. If Planning Is Everything, Maybe It's Nothing. Policy Sciences [Internet]. Springer; 4(2):127–153. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4531522>

44.

Butler D, Adonis A, Travers T. Failure in British government: the politics of the poll tax. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1994.

45.

Patrick Dunleavy. Policy Disasters: Explaining the UK's Record. Public Policy and Administration [Internet]. 10(2):52–70. Available from: <http://ppa.sagepub.com/content/10/2.toc>

46.

Parsons W. Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 1995.

47.

Terry M. Moe. The New Economics of Organization. American Journal of Political Science [Internet]. Midwest Political Science Association; 28(4):739–777. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2110997?origin=crossref>

48.

Wilson JQ. Bureaucracy: what government agencies do and why they do it. [New York]: Basic Books; 1989.

49.

Pressman JL, Wildavsky AB. Implementation: how great expectations in Washington are dashed in Oakland. 3rd ed. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1984.

50.

Niskanen WA. Bureaucracy & representative government. New Brunswick, N.J.: Aldine Transaction; 2007.

51.

Lipsky M. Street-level bureaucracy: dilemmas of the individual in public services [Internet]. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; 1980. Available from: <http://muse.jhu.edu/books/9781610443623/>

52.

Weingast BR, Moran MJ. Bureaucratic Discretion or Congressional Control? Regulatory Policymaking by the Federal Trade Commission. Journal of Political Economy [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 91(5):765–800. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1837369>

53.

Fabio Franchino. Delegating Powers in the European Community. British Journal of Political Science [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 34(2):269–293. Available from: <http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=204887&fileId=S0007123404000055>

54.

Richard E. Matland. Synthesizing the Implementation Literature: The Ambiguity-Conflict Model of Policy Implementation. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory: J-PART [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 5(2):145–174. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1181674>

55.

Schofield J, Sausman C. Symposium on Implementing Public Policy: Learning from Theory and Practice. Introduction. Public Administration. 82(2):235–248.

56.

Saetren H. Facts and Myths about Research on Public Policy Implementation: Out-of-Fashion, Allegedly Dead, But Still Very Much Alive and Relevant. Policy Studies Journal. 33(4):559–582.

57.

Hicks T. Partisan governance and policy implementation: the politics of academy conversion amongst English schools. Public Administration. :n/a-n/a.

58.

Rosenberg GN. The hollow hope: can courts bring about social change? Chicago: University of Chicago; 1991.

59.

Stone Sweet A. Governing with judges: constitutional politics in Europe [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2000. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0198297718.001.0001>

60.

Boix C, Stokes SC. The Oxford handbook of comparative politics [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007. Available from: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199566020.001.0001>

61.

Epp CR. The rights revolution: lawyers, activists, and supreme courts in comparative perspective. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1998.

62.

Ruger TW, et al. The Supreme Court Forecasting Project: Legal and Political Science Approaches to Predicting Supreme Court Decisionmaking. *Columbia Law Review* [Internet]. Columbia Law Review Association, Inc.; 104(4):1150–1210. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/4099370?origin=crossref>

63.

Friedman B. The Politics of Judicial Review. *Texas Law Review* [Internet]. 84(2). Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bsu&AN=19469113&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

64.

Vanhala L. Making rights a reality?: disability rights activists and legal mobilization. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2011.

65.

Derthick M. Up in smoke: from legislation to litigation in tobacco politics. 3rd ed. Washington, DC: CQ Press; 2012.

66.

Sabatier PA. An advocacy coalition framework of policy change and the role of policy-oriented learning therein. *Policy Sciences*. 21(2-3):129–168.

67.

Beth A. Simmons, Frank Dobbin, Geoffrey Garrett. Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. *International Organization* [Internet]. 60(4):781–810. Available from: https://www.jstor.org/stable/3877847?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

68.

Sabatier PA. The advocacy coalition framework: revisions and relevance for Europe. *Journal*

of European Public Policy. 5(1):98–130.

69.

Shipan CR, Volden C. The Mechanisms of Policy Diffusion. *American Journal of Political Science*. 52(4):840–857.

70.

Baccaro L, Simoni M. Policy Concertation in Europe: Understanding Government Choice. *Comparative Political Studies*. 41(10):1323–1348.

71.

Cao X. *Networks of Intergovernmental Organizations and Convergence in Domestic Economic Policies. *International Studies Quarterly*. 53(4):1095–1130.

72.

Meseguer C. *What Role for Learning? The Diffusion of Privatisation in OECD and Latin American Countries. *Journal of Public Policy*. 24(3):299–325.

73.

Schmitt C. What Drives the Diffusion of Privatization Policy? Evidence from the Telecommunications Sector. *Journal of Public Policy*. 31(1):95–117.

74.

Pierson P. When Effect Becomes Cause: Policy Feedback and Political Change. *World Politics* [Internet]. 45(4):595–628. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2950710?origin=crossref>

75.

Mettler S, Soss J. The Consequences of Public Policy for Democratic Citizenship: Bridging Policy Studies and Mass Politics. *Perspectives on Politics* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 2(1):55–73. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3688340>

76.

Christopher Wlezien. The Public as Thermostat: Dynamics of Preferences for Spending. *American Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Midwest Political Science Association; 39(4):981–1000. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2111666?origin=crossref>

77.

Joe Soss. Lessons of Welfare: Policy Design, Political Learning, and Political Action. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 93(2):363–380. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2585401?origin=crossref>

78.

Christopher Wlezien. Patterns of Representation: Dynamics of Public Preferences and Policy. *The Journal of Politics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 66(1):1–24. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3449770>

79.

Soss J, Schram SF. A Public Transformed? Welfare Reform as Policy Feedback. *American Political Science Review*. 101(1).

80.

Mettler S. Reconstituting the Submerged State: The Challenges of Social Policy Reform in the Obama Era. *Perspectives on Politics*. 8(3):803–824.

81.

Campbell AL. Policy Makes Mass Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science* [Internet]. 15(1):333–351. Available from: <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev-polisci-012610-135202>

82.

Hicks T. Partisan Strategy and Path Dependence: The Post-War Emergence of Health Systems in the UK and Sweden. *Comparative Politics*. 45(2):207–226.

83.

Parsons W. *Public policy: an introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 1995.

84.

Downs A. Up and down with ecology—the 'issue-attention cycle'. *Public Interest* [Internet]. 28(1):38–50. Available from: http://www.nationalaffairs.com/public_interest/detail/up-and-down-with-ecologythe-issue-attention-cycle

85.

Kingdon JW. *Agendas, alternatives, and public policies*. 2nd ed. New York: Longman; 2003.

86.

Zaller J. *The nature and origins of mass opinion* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1992. Available from: <https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/nature-and-origins-of-mass-opinion/70B1485D3A9CFF55ADCCDD42FC7E926A>

87.

Iyengar S. *Is anyone responsible?: how television frames political issues*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1991.

88.

McCombs ME. *Setting the agenda: the mass media and public opinion* [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2004. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780745672748>

89.

Iyengar S, Kinder DR. News that matters: television and American opinion. Updated ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2010.

90.

Page BI, Shapiro RY. The rational public: fifty years of trends in Americans' policy preferences [Internet]. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1992. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780226644806>

91.

Soroka SN. The Gatekeeping Function: Distributions of Information in Media and the Real World. *The Journal of Politics*. 74(2):514–528.

92.

Soroka S, et al. Auntie Knows Best? Public Broadcasters and Current Affairs Knowledge. *British Journal of Political Science*. 43(4):719–739.